

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 215 813

RC 013 314

AUTHOR Reid, J. Norman; And Others
TITLE Federal Funds in 1979: Geographic Distribution and Recent Trends.
INSTITUTION Economic Research Service (DOA), Washington, D.C.
REPORT NO ERS-AGES820105
PUB DATE Apr 82
NOTE 118p.; Paper copy not available due to small print size. For related document, see RC 013 313.
AVAILABLE FROM National Technical Information Service, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (PB82-181777, \$10.50).
EDRS PRICE MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS.
DESCRIPTORS Agency Role; Comparative Analysis; *Federal Aid; Federal Programs; *Geographic Distribution; Income; *Public Policy; Regional Characteristics; *Rural Urban Differences; *Trend Analysis
IDENTIFIERS *Counties; *Impact Studies

ABSTRACT

Data from fiscal 1979 provide the basis for an analysis of distribution of Federal dollars among county areas and assessment of major recent trends. Federal per capita funding remains unevenly divided among the regions; however, the advantage of the West and South may be moderating somewhat. The spread of some Federal programs into more rural counties may have helped reduce the nonmetro area funding deficit. Major differences remain in the way specific categories of programs are allocated. Nonmetro areas are behind urban areas in defense funding, but lead in community resources and agricultural programs. Poorer nonmetro counties are especially reliant on income security programs. The report is organized around several major themes: the changing roles of individual Federal agencies; the types of programs providing Federal assistance; the allocation of dollars among regions of the country; the division of funds according to the income level of nonmetro counties; and the distribution of funds among metro and nonmetro areas. Approximately half the document consists of tables and figures. (Author/BRR)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ED215813
United States
Department of
Agriculture
Economic
Research
Service
Economic
Development
Division
April 1982

Federal Funds in 1979

Geographic Distribution and Recent Trends

J. Norman Reid
Charles I. Hendler
Eleanor Whitehead



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

RC 01 3314

Federal Funds In 1979: Geographic Distribution and Recent Trends, by J. Norman Reid, Charles I. Hendler, and Eleanor Whitehead, Economic Development Division, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Washington, D.C. April 1982. ERS Staff Report No. AGES820105.

ABSTRACT

This report summarizes the distribution of Federal dollars in 1979 and assesses recent trends. Federal per capita funding remains unevenly divided among the regions; however, the advantage of the West and South may be moderating somewhat. The spread of some Federal programs into more rural counties may have helped reduce the nonmetro area funding deficit. Major differences remain in the way specific categories of programs are allocated. Nonmetro areas are behind urban areas in defense funding, but lead in community resources and agricultural programs. Poorer nonmetro counties are especially reliant on income security programs.

Keywords: Federal outlays, Federal spending, Federal aid, Federal programs, expenditure analysis, public policy, program analysis, rural development, urban policy.

This paper was prepared for limited distribution to the
research community outside the U.S. Department of Agri-
*culture. *

CONTENTS

Page

SUMMARY	111
INTRODUCTION	1
Growth in Federal Spending	2
Previous Studies	2
Data on Federal Funds	6
Data Used in this Report	7
Interpretation of Federal Funds Data	9
FEDERAL AGENCY ROLES	10
TYPES OF FEDERAL FUNDS	10
REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS	18
Recent Changes	19
Differences by Function	20
Differences in Program Types	22
FEDERAL FUNDS TO LOW-INCOME AREAS	23
Distribution of Low-income Counties	24
Differences Among Income Levels	26
METRO-NONMETRO DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS	30
Trends in Metro-Nonmetro Funding.....	31
Distribution Among Program Types	32
Distribution Among Functions	34
CONCLUSION	40
LITERATURE CITED	74

SUMMARY

This report analyzes the distribution of Federal dollars among county areas during fiscal 1979 and assesses major trends that have occurred in recent years. The report is organized around several major themes: the changing roles of individual Federal agencies; the types of programs providing Federal assistance; the allocation of dollars among regions of the country; the division of funds according to the income level of nonmetro counties; and the distribution of funds among metro and nonmetro areas.

During the last two decades, the Federal budget has grown rapidly, both in total dollars and as a percentage of the Nation's total economic output. Despite its importance, however, the full implications of the budget, and especially its geographic distribution, are not well understood. This results partly from the inadequacy of data on Federal funding. The Federal funds data reported by the Community Services Administration (CSA) are the most complete base of data on the distribution of Federal dollars. However, even these data are not always accurately reported at the county level. As a result, only 87 percent of the Federal funds reported by CSA could be used in this report.

It is easy to draw misleading conclusions from figures on the distribution of Federal funds. Many programs have benefits that accrue to areas broader than those represented in the data. Some programs buy useful services or facilities for a community, while others may only contribute to local payrolls or purchases. Some funds may escape the receiving community without having either sort of benefit.

In 1979 over half of all Federal funds were concentrated in the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (later reorganized into two departments) and the Defense Department. Most other departments made up under five percent of the total. HEW grew the fastest during the seventies; Defense grew slower than any other cabinet department, due mainly to the ending of the Vietnam War.

Transfer payments make up a third of Federal funds and have increased in importance in recent years. Only loan programs have grown faster. Grants-in-aid and administrative costs have lagged behind as a source of growth in Federal funding.

Regional distribution of Federal funds remains uneven, with the West and South leading the Nation in per capita receipts. These differences among regions are narrowing, but only slightly.

The Nation's nonmetro areas include a disproportionately high number of low income counties, most of which are found

in the South. Except for a few high income counties with very high funding, nonmetro counties received nearly identical average per capita dollar amounts regardless of their income level. The functional makeup of funding varies among income levels, however. The poorest counties rely more on income security and community development programs. Wealthier counties rely more on defense and energy funds.

While nonmetro counties lag behind metro areas in per capita funding, the gap has narrowed slightly in the last few years, especially in the most rural areas. They now exceed the national average in per capita funding.

Several important programs have spread into a wider number of counties, so that some nonmetro counties now have greater access to federally-funded services than before. However, central counties of large metro areas remain the best funded areas.

Nonmetro counties rely more heavily on loans and transfer payments; metro areas obtain more of their funds from contracts (especially defense) and from salaries and administrative expenses. Defense funds are much less important to nonmetro counties than metro areas. Income security programs are somewhat more important in nonmetro areas. Not surprisingly, agricultural and natural resources programs are most significant in the most rural counties, where they greatly outrank defense dollars as a source of funds.

FEDERAL FUNDS IN 1979: GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION AND RECENT TRENDS

J. Norman Reid, Charles I. Hendler, and Eleanor Whitehead */

INTRODUCTION

One of the most widely discussed issues in recent years is the size, distribution, and impact of the Federal budget. The discussion has spawned a number of studies reporting on the geographic distribution of Federal funds.^{1/} However, most of these have focused only on funding to broad regions and have omitted consideration of smaller areas, such as cities, counties, depressed areas, or urban-rural groupings. Yet it is at the level of such local area groupings that analysis of funding is the most meaningful. To what extent is Federal funding directed toward low income areas, rather than higher income places? In what ways is the package of funds in rural areas different from that going into the Nation's urban counties? And how have these funding patterns shifted in recent years? These are among the questions that this report seeks to address.

The report is organized in six parts. The remainder of the introduction reviews trends in Federal spending and discusses the data used in this report. The second part considers recent changes in the funding levels of the major Federal departments and the third discusses the types of programs that make up the total. In the fourth section we examine the regional distribution of Federal funds and assess shifts that have occurred in recent years. The fifth section analyzes the distribution of funds among nonmetropolitan areas in relation to their income levels. In the final section, we compare the

*/ Reid and Whitehead are with the State and Local Government Program Area; Economic Development Division; Economic Research Service. Hendler was also with the State and Local Government Program Area at the time the study was initiated.

^{1/} A variety of terms are used to describe the Federal budget and its distribution. Some common ones, such as expenditures and outlays, have precise meanings that are more limited than the subject of this report. Unlike many analyses, this one includes both expenditures and non-expenditure fiscal activity such as loan guarantees. Also, in general the figures represent obligations (commitments) rather than actual budget outlays. To avoid confusion, a general term--Federal funds--is used in this report except where a more specific term is appropriate.

division of Federal funds among metropolitan and nonmetropolitan county groupings.

Growth in Federal Spending

The most salient feature of the Federal budget is its continued growth (figure 1). Since 1960, Federal spending for all functions has risen by 452 percent, from under \$100 billion to more than \$530 billion in fiscal 1979. Much of this increase, of course, can be attributed to the inflation in the economy that has pushed the costs of providing even a constant level of services higher and higher. This can be seen in figure 1, where the curve showing spending in constant dollars rises much more slowly than the curve showing spending in current dollars. Even so, spending in these real terms more than doubled during this period of expansion in the Federal budget.

In relation to the Nation's total economic activity, or Gross National Product (GNP), Federal budget increases have not been radical (figure 2). Nor have they always occurred at a constant pace. Still by 1979, Federal spending made up 22.7 percent of GNP, 3.3 points higher than the level in 1960. This represents a 17 percent increase during the period.

One of the important functions of the Federal government over the years has been to provide support for many services carried out by State and local levels of government. Since 1960 the percentage of State and local budgets financed with Federal grants has risen steadily from less than 12 percent to more than 18 percent (figure 3). The most rapid increases came during the late sixties, when many "Great Society" programs were being implemented, and in the early seventies. The greatest rise was in 1973, the first year of the general revenue sharing program. Except during the height of the Vietnam War in the late sixties, the increase was steady until 1979, when Federal aid declined slightly as a percent of all State and local revenues.

Previous Studies

Despite the continued importance of Federal spending, many aspects of it--in particular, its geographic distribution and local impacts--are not thoroughly understood. There have been relatively few comprehensive reports on the geographic distribution of Federal funds and even fewer that have attempted to measure their effect.^{2/} There are several reasons for this. Not only is the Federal budget large but it is very complex, comprised of thousands of programs that encompass a wide range of specific activities. To comprehend all of these Federal

^{2/} The most comprehensive recent reports are 3, 5, 9, and 10. For an extensive bibliography, see 3, p. 187.

Fig. 1--Federal Spending, 1960-1979




Fig. 2--Federal Spending as a Percent of GNP, 1960-1979

Percent of Gross National Product





Fig. 3--Federal Aid as a Percent of State and Local Government Revenues, 1960-1979



programs is a major task, and to measure the many ways they affect the American economy and public well-being is many times more difficult. The task is made more difficult by the lack of adequate information that identifies and describes Federal programs (7).^{3/}

Basic information on the objectives and operations of individual programs has long been an important need (7) and it continues to be in short supply. Data on the levels of funding for individual programs is more easily obtained, though, as we consider in the next section, what is available has many shortcomings.

Data on Federal Funds

Of the several major sources of Federal funding data, only one provides annual reporting on the geographic distribution of Federal funds that is both comprehensive and highly detailed (for a review of these data sources, see 3, pp. 12-20 and 127-30). That data source--the U.S. Community Services Administration's Geographic Distribution of Federal Funds ^{4/}--is the basis for this report.

The CSA Federal funds data series has several important advantages. First, it is more comprehensive than any other base of data on Federal funding, extending to most executive branch programs and including loans, loans guarantees, and insurance, in addition to the grants-in-aid, transfer payments, contracts, salaries, and other administrative expenditures--programs that are more commonly included in reports on Federal fiscal activity. Second, it is highly detailed, with separate entries for nearly 1,900 program categories in 1979. Third, it is the only source that presents figures on total Federal funding to each of the Nation's counties and its cities over 25,000 population. Finally, it is widely available, being published annually in both printed form and on computer tape.^{5/}

Somewhat offsetting their advantages, the Federal funds data have several important drawbacks. First, much of the data reported for individual counties is based on estimates rather than fiscal accounting; sometimes the estimates use methods that are too inaccurate to permit use of the data.

^{3/} Underscored numbers refer to items in the Literature Cited section at the end of this report.

^{4/} These reports were formerly known as the Federal Outlays reports.

^{5/} The annual reports may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service. The computer tapes may be purchased from the Machine Readable Tapes Division of the National Archives and Records Service.

Second, some funds--though credited to the proper "recipient" locality--have little or no impact on the place to which they are reported, thus overstating the Federal Government's effect on that area. And in still other cases--mainly formula grants to States--the flow of funds has not been traced beyond each State's capital city to the cities and counties that are the ultimate beneficiaries.

Data Used in this Report

Because of these shortcomings, analyses must be limited to those programs judged to be reliably reported. Thus, except where noted, this report is based on the 87 percent of Federal funds contained in the Community Services Administration's reports that are judged to be reliable for county-level analysis.

The programs judged reliable are categorized in table 1 by the function they support. In general, the most usable figures are for community and economic development programs; 99 percent of total funds for that function are included in this report. For most other functions, over 80 percent of total dollars could be used in this report. Only for two categories of programs--"education and social services" and "other" functions--were less than 75 percent of funds reported.

Programs are omitted from the report for several reasons. Some 4.2 percent of the funds involving formula grants to States are reported to the State capital city and are not traced to their ultimate beneficiaries. Another 5.7 percent are estimated using methods judged not reliable enough for analysis. The remainder are funds mainly spent overseas, though reported to a U.S. city (1.9 percent), or that otherwise improperly report the location of fund recipients (1.5 percent). Farm export financing programs are included in the latter group.

The report includes Defense Department contracts, though their reporting basis has been much criticized (3, p. 20). As reported to the Community Services Administration, they are traced only to the location of the prime contractor. However, defense contracts are often complex, and any single contract may involve a number of subcontractors, each of which supplies some portion of the finished product. As a result, by the time the funds are actually spent for salaries and materials, they may be allocated quite differently from the way prime contracts are distributed. Despite this, defense contracts are included in this report because they are such an important part of the total budget. The reader should keep this limitation in mind.

Table 1--Federal funds acceptably reported at the
county level, fiscal 1979

Function	Number of		Federal funds		
	programs				
	Total	Acceptably	Total	Acceptably	
	: reported	: reported	: reported	: reported	
	-Number-		-Billion dollars-	Percent	
Total acceptably reported funds	: 1898	1704	556.7	482.8	87
Agriculture and natural resources	: 260	237	25.2	22.3	89
Agricultural assistance	: 31	28	16.8	14.7	88
Agricultural research and services	: 42	42	1.3	1.3	100
Forest and land management	: 80	65	3.0	2.3	77
Water and recreational resources	: 107	102	4.1	4.0	98
Community resources	: 346	332	95.6	94.3	99
Business assistance	: 45	45	6.2	6.2	100
Community facilities	: 14	13	12.6	12.6	100
Community and regional development	: 51	46	9.4	9.3	99
Housing	: 50	49	25.4	25.3	100
Housing--veterans	: 6	6	17.1	17.1	100
Native Americans	: 41	41	1.4	1.4	100
Revenue sharing	: 2	2	4.5	4.5	100
Transportation	: 137	130	19.0	17.8	94
Human resources	: 218	185	25.7	18.6	72
Education and social services	: 111	93	11.6	5.8	50
Health services	: 74	65	3.1	2.5	81
Training and employment	: 33	27	11.0	10.3	94
Income security	: 72	53	189.1	171.2	91
Medical and hospital benefits	: 6	5	41.7	40.9	98
Public assistance and unemployment	: 48	32	26.1	21.7	83
Retirement and disability	: 18	16	121.3	108.6	90
National resources	: 1002	897	221.1	176.4	80
Defense contracts	: 7	6	62.3	56.3	90
Defense payrolls and administration	: 13	9	43.9	43.9	100
Energy and space	: 214	207	16.4	16.3	99
Higher education and research	: 329	316	11.1	10.6	96
Veterans benefits	: 29	28	20.7	20.7	100
Other functions	: 410	331	66.7	28.6	43

Interpretation of
Federal Funds Data

Some caution should be observed in interpreting data on the geographic distribution of Federal funds. Though one is drawn to the conclusion that areas with the highest total funds receive the most benefits, there are several reasons why this may not always be true.

Areas Served

The benefits from Federal programs are not always limited to the communities where the funds are spent (and where they are reported). Flood control projects constructed in upstream counties have obvious benefits for downstream counties as well, even though no funds may be spent in them. Defense purchases made in manufacturing centers benefit the entire Nation.

In other cases, benefits are more localized. The construction of housing or health clinics, for instance, mainly benefits the community where the funds are spent or loans made.

The Federal funds data themselves indicate only the area in which the dollars are spent or loaned. How general or how localized program benefits may be is impossible to determine without careful examination of individual programs.

**Income and Employment
Effects**

Not only do Federal funds buy a service or public good (such as energy research, a highway, or physical therapy) but they may also contribute to the level of economic activity in the area that receives the funds. Federal funds are used to pay salaries, purchase equipment, and construct facilities, often raising local incomes and leading to higher employment levels. These funds may be respent within the same community, thus having a multiplier effect within the area.

Like program benefits, however, these secondary economic benefits are not always localized. While some programs may pay local salaries or increase local incomes by purchasing locally-produced goods, others are used to hire workers from outside the community (typical on many construction jobs) or to buy products made elsewhere, even in another State. To the extent that Federal funds are spent outside the community, the income and employment benefits from the funds will spill over local boundaries into other areas. Since this is more likely to happen for some programs (purchases of manufactured goods, construction) than for others (salaries or retirement benefits), one cannot assume that each area's economic benefits are proportional to its share of funds, especially since the mix of Federal programs varies significantly from place to place (8).

Loans and Grants

Federal funds are transferred to local areas by several methods. A major distinction can be made between spending and loans. Spending includes transfers such as contracts, grants-in-aid, salaries of Federal employees, retirement

and welfare payments, and various purchases. Expenditures usually need not be returned to the Federal Treasury. Loans, on the other hand, must be repaid (federally-guaranteed loans are repaid to private lenders). Because of this, the value of a loan to a community may be much less than that of an equivalent expenditure for the same purpose (8).

Loans also vary in value, since they differ in both repayment period and amount of interest subsidy. Thus, areas that receive the same gross amount of loans may derive different levels of benefits. A forty-year loan is worth more to a community than a fifteen-year loan. Likewise, a 5-percent interest rate carries a higher subsidy than a 10-percent loan.

These distinctions are important since there is considerable variation from place to place in the ratio of spending to loans. Nonmetropolitan areas generally receive a larger percentage of their funding from loans (including both direct loans and loan guarantees), thus overstating somewhat the level of benefits these areas receive from the Federal funding process (figure 4). There are also some important differences among the Nation's regions (figure 5). Since the data reported here give only the face value of loans and not their true value to the receiving community, comparisons of the benefits among individual areas are difficult.


FEDERAL AGENCY ROLES

The total of Federal funds distributed by individual Federal departments are one indication of their relative importance in the public economy. Such a comparison is made in table 2, which reports funding by major department or agency for fiscal years 1970, 1975, and 1979. Because the figures include property transfers, loans, and loan guarantees, they give a more complete picture of each department's fiscal activity than is provided by looking at expenditures alone.

In fiscal 1979, as indeed throughout the seventies, Federal funding was concentrated in two departments--Health, Education and Welfare (HEW) ^{6/} and Defense--which together accounted for over half of the total. HEW accounted for the largest share--\$182 billion, or nearly a third of all Federal funds. Defense was the only other department with more than \$100 billion in funds and made up just under 20 percent of the total.


^{6/} The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare has since been divided into the Department of Education and the Department of Health and Human Services.

Fig. 4--Types of Federal Funds to Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Areas, Fiscal 1979




*Includes both direct loans and loan guarantees.


Fig. 5--Types of Federal Funds, by Region, Fiscal 1979




Northeast



North Central



South



West

Table 2—Federal funds by department, 1970-79

Federal department:	Fiscal 1970		Fiscal 1975		Fiscal 1979		Change, 1970-79	
	Billion dollars	Percent	Billion dollars	Percent	Billion dollars	Percent	Billion dollars	Percent
Agriculture	14.1	6.8	21.5	6.1	47.7	8.4	33.6	239.0
Commerce	1.2	.6	2.3	.7	5.0	.9	3.8	330.9
Defense	57.7	28.0	77.9	22.2	108.8	19.2	51.1	88.7
Energy	—	—	—	—	11.8 ^{1/}	2.1	11.8	—
Health, Education, and Welfare ^{2/}	52.5	25.5	112.1	32.0	181.6	32.2	129.1	245.8
Housing and Urban Development ^{3/}	11.9	5.8	8.0	2.3	28.1	5.0	16.2	135.7
Interior	2.4	1.2	3.5	1.0	5.8	1.0	3.4	143.1
Justice	.6	.2	1.5	.4	1.7	.3	1.1	195.9
Labor	2.4	1.2	6.8	1.9	15.2	2.7	12.8	530.5
State	.4	.2	.6	.2	1.1	.2	.7	172.5
Transportation	7.2	3.5	13.0	3.7	16.6	2.9	9.5	131.9
Treasury	16.0	7.7	30.9	8.8	39.3	7.0	23.3	146.1
Veterans Adminis- tration	13.4	6.5	25.4	7.2	37.7	6.7	24.3	181.1
All other agencies:	26.3	12.8	47.4	13.5	64.3	11.4	38.0	144.6
Total	206.0	100.0	350.9	100.0	564.8	100.0	358.8	174.2

Note: Columns may not total to 100 percent due to rounding. Table based on 100 percent of Federal funds reported by the Community Services Administration.

-- Not applicable or none.

^{1/} Some Energy Department functions were transferred from other departments.

^{2/} The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare was subsequently divided into the Department of Education and the Department of Health and Human Services.

^{3/} Figures for the Department of Housing and Urban Development may be understated somewhat due to the omission of some of its programs from the Federal funds reports for some years.

Source: U.S. Community Services Administration, Federal Outlays reports for fiscal 1970, 1975; Geographic Distribution of Federal Funds report for fiscal 1979.

Only four other agencies accounted for as much as 5 percent of total Federal funds. Of these, the Agriculture Department had the highest total (8.4 percent), followed by the Treasury Department (7.0 percent), Veterans Administration (6.7 percent), and the Department of Housing and Urban Development (5.0 percent). The remaining agencies accounted for 21.5 percent of the total, or only slightly more than the Defense Department's funding.

The concentration of federally-funded activities in a few major departments continues the pattern that was evident throughout the seventies. In both 1970 and 1975, HEW and Defense distributed over half of all Federal funds, with most of the remaining funds in the hands of very few agencies.

However, there were some important shifts in the relative size of agency activities during the seventies. The major change was the emergence of HEW as the largest funder and the slowed growth of Defense Department funding as the Vietnam War drew to a close. HEW funding grew almost two and a half times during the period, while the Defense Department's budget increased 89 percent, less than any other cabinet department.


Among the cabinet departments, the Labor Department grew the fastest (531 percent), followed by Commerce (331 percent), HEW (246 percent), and Agriculture (239 percent). These changes made Agriculture the third largest funding agency. Labor and Commerce, however, started with small funding totals and even these rapid increases did not place them among the larger agencies.

The five largest agencies had the largest dollar increases and accounted for nearly three-fourths of the growth in funding. HEW's funding rose \$129 billion during the seventies, or more than the total funding for any other department in 1979. Funding for the Defense Department increased \$51 billion, followed by Agriculture (\$34 billion), the Veterans Administration (\$24 billion), and the Treasury Department (\$23 billion).


The increases in funding also brought new roles for many Federal agencies. While these changes are too numerous to describe in detail here, the altered mission of the Department of Agriculture illustrates the point. Traditionally, USDA's programs have concentrated on farmer assistance and related support programs, and in 1970 nearly three-fourths of USDA's budget went for these programs (figure 6). During the seventies, however, USDA assumed new responsibilities in the areas of community and economic development and food and nutrition. Total funding for these programs increased almost seven and a half times between 1970 and 1979; by 1979 they accounted for 53

Fig. 6--USDA Funding by Function, 1970 and 1979

Agricultural Programs



1970



1979

percent of USDA's funding. Though farm-oriented programs grew by 83 percent during this period, they declined in relation to other USDA programs and accounted for only 38 percent of the Department's budget in 1979.

TYPES OF FEDERAL FUNDS

Federal funds include several major program types. The usual image of Federal funding, of course, is spending to cover the salaries of public officials and the costs of administering Federal Government responsibilities. In fact, however, these salaries and administrative expenses made up only 18 percent of all Federal funds in fiscal 1979 (table 3). A much larger share of funds (33 percent) went for transfer payments to the retired or poor and to farmers and businesses. Contracts, mainly for Defense Department purchases, and grants to States, local governments, universities, and private groups each accounted for about a sixth of total funds.

Not all Federal funds are actually expenditures. Loans made directly by the Federal Government and Federal guarantees of loans by private lenders comprise a slightly smaller share of funds than grants-in-aid (14 percent). The remaining Federal funds, made up largely of insurance payments and the value of donated property, amount to less than one percent of the total.

In broad outline, the division of Federal funds among types of programs changed relatively little between 1978 and 1979. As a percentage of all Federal funds, no program type changed in order of importance and none gained or lost more than 1.6 percentage points.

However, this apparent stability masks some rather fundamental recent shifts in Federal fiscal activity. New issues of direct and guaranteed loans have undergone drastic increases in recent years. Between 1978 and 1979, they grew by more than 25 percent and though they still make up under 15 percent of all Federal funds, they are presently the fastest growing program type. The popularity of these measures, due in part to their being handled outside the normal budgetary process, has grown throughout the seventies. Between 1975 and 1978, guaranteed loans increased 98 percent, while direct loans grew by over 478 percent (3, p. 239).

Transfer payments accounted for the largest increase (\$17.9 billion) and the second highest rate of growth (12.5 percent) between 1978 and 1979. These programs too are somewhat exempt from normal budgetary controls, and this may account for some of their growth. Most transfer payments are made under entitlement programs such as social security or medicare; they are considered to be largely uncontrollable parts of the

Table 3--Federal funds by program type, fiscal 1978 and 1979

Program type	Fiscal 1978		Fiscal 1979		Change, 1978-1979
	Billion dollars	Percent	Billion dollars	Percent	Percent
Transfer payments	143.3	33.0	161.2	33.4	12.5
Salaries and expenses	83.7	19.3	88.5	18.3	5.8
Contracts	76.5	17.6	84.0	17.4	9.7
Grants	74.3	17.1	78.6	16.3	5.8
Direct, guaranteed loans	55.1	12.7	69.3	14.3	25.8
All other	1.3	.3	1.3	.3	-.1
Total	434.2	100.0	482.8	100.0	11.2

Source: U.S. Community Services Administration, national analysis summaries for 1978 and 1979, unpublished.

budget, since total expenditures are determined by the number of qualified applicants who make claims during any year and not by budget decisions at the start of that year. Between 1975 and 1978 total transfer payments rose by over 68 percent (3, p. 237).

The rate of increase in other program categories has been much slower. Both grants and Federal salaries and expenses increased less than six percent between 1978 and 1979, less than enough to keep pace with inflation. For grants this is a marked change from earlier trends; grants-in-aid were a major growth item during most of the seventies, rising by 38 percent between 1975 and 1978 (3, p. 237). Contracts, mainly for defense purchases, grew by 9.7 percent between 1978 and 1979, or slightly less than the overall rate of increase for Federal funds.

REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS

Federal funds are distributed rather unevenly among the Nation's regions (table 4). By far the largest amount of 1979 funds (\$172 billion) went to the South. The West (\$107 billion) and the North Central region (\$106 billion) received nearly identical amounts. The Northeast received the least (\$99 billion).

Table 4—Federal funds by Census region, fiscal 1979

Region	:	Federal funds		:	1978 population Millions
	:			:	
	:	Total	Per capita	:	
	:	Bil. Dol.	Dollars	:	
Northeast	:	98.8	2,012	:	49.1
North Central	:	105.6	1,811	:	58.3
South	:	171.8	2,434	:	70.6
West	:	106.6	2,658	:	40.1

Clearly some of these differences among regions result from the intended purposes of the Federal programs. For one thing, the populations of the regions differ considerably. While not all Federal funds ought to be allocated evenly according to population, comparisons of the per-capita distribution of funds is one method, commonly used, of assessing equity in the distribution of dollars.

The West receives the largest share of dollars per capita (\$2,658) since its funds are distributed among fewer people than the other regions. The South, with both the most dollars and the most residents, is next (\$2,434). The Northeast has the fewest dollars but also a relatively small population; it has the third highest per-capita total (\$2,012). The North Central States have 19 percent more population than the Northeast, but only 7 percent more funds, leaving them last (\$1,811).

Recent Changes

These regional differences have persisted over time. The positions of the regions are illustrated in figure 7, which shows the percentage by which each region's per-capita total differs from the national average. Between 1976 and 1979 there was some slight moderation in the differences, and all regions except the South moved closer to the national per-capita average.^{7/} However, except in the West these movements were slight and it is not clear that they signal any fundamental shift in the allocation of Federal funds.

Differences By Function

The division of total Federal funds among functions is by no means uniform across the regions (table 5). The largest function is national resources, comprised mainly of defense and space programs. These programs provide more funds in the West and South than any other category and account for around forty percent of the total in both regions. In the Northeastern and North Central regions, however, income security programs are the largest source of funds, mainly due to the large amounts of support payments in the Northeast and the low levels of defense, space, and energy funding in the North Central region.

In all regions, community resources programs--including business development, community development, housing, and public infrastructure programs--ranked as the third most important source of Federal funding; they accounted for about a fifth of all funds nationally. Housing programs make up the largest portion of this category and are the main reason why per-capita community resources funding is so high in the West. The South also ranks high in community resources funds due to high funding levels for business and community development and transportation.

Agricultural and natural resources programs total less than five percent of all funds nationally. They are especially important in the North Central region, where--due to large

^{7/} This finding parallels similar trends reported by ACIR for the 1969-1976 period. See 1, p. 60.

Fig. 7--Regional Differences in Per Capita Funding




Table 5—Per capita Federal funds, by region, fiscal 1979

Function	: United : : States :	: Northeast :	: North : : Central :	: South :	: West :
			-Dollars-		
Agriculture and natural resources	: 102	20	127	117	143
Agricultural assistance	: 68	9	108	79	61
Agricultural research and services	: 6	2	5	11	4
Forest and land management	: 11	2	5	8	34
Water and recreational resources	: 18	7	10	19	43
Community resources	: 432	294	337	523	580
Business assistance	: 28	19	23	40	26
Community facilities	: 58	31	70	73	45
Community and regional development	: 43	43	26	69	21
Housing	: 116	66	87	116	219
Housing--veterans	: 78	23	48	105	143
Native Americans	: 6	0.3	4	4	22
Revenue sharing	: 21	24	19	19	22
Transportation	: 82	87	60	96	81
Human resources	: 85	91	68	90	95
Education and social services	: 27	24	20	32	31
Health services	: 11	12	8	15	9
Training and employment	: 47	55	39	44	55
Income security	: 785	912	762	753	719
Medical and hospital benefits	: 188	245	183	167	161
Public assistance and unemployment	: 99	115	78	104	103
Retirement and disability	: 498	553	501	482	455
National resources	: 809	695	518	951	1121
Defense contracts	: 258	314	175	213	392
Defense payrolls and administration	: 201	95	93	303	311
Energy and space	: 75	28	28	103	150
Higher education and research	: 48	55	33	60	43
Veterans benefits	: 95	85	78	115	96
Other functions	: 131	119	111	158	129
All functions	: 2214	2012	1811	2434	2658
			-Million-		
Population	: 218.1	49.1	58.3	70.6	40.1

Note: Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

amounts of payments to farmers--they make up 7 percent of the total. However, larger outlays for land management and natural resources leave per-capita levels of funding highest in the West. This function makes up only a very small part of the funds going to the Northeastern region, which has neither extensive Federal land holdings as the West nor a major agricultural economy as in the North Central or Southern regions.

What we call human resource programs--education, health, and employment programs--account for the smallest portion of Federal dollars. More of these funds per capita go to the West than to any other region; the least go to the North Central States.

Differences in Program Types

The regions differ greatly in the kinds of programs that are important to them (figure 5). While transfer payments are the most widely used program type nationally, they are much more important to the Northeast and North Central regions--where they make up over 40 percent of all funds--than in the South and West, where they account for 30 and 26 percent respectively. Federal employee salaries, on the other hand, are more significant to Southern and Western States, and they make up almost twice as large a percentage as in the "frostbelt" States. Contracts--mainly for defense purchases--are a very important part of Federal funding in the West; they are much less critical, though still significant, to the other regions.

Taken together, grants and loans make up what may be called Federal "assistance." Grants are mainly made to State and local governments, while loans and loan guarantees include--in addition to governments--credit for farmers, home purchasers, and businesses. This assistance made up a third of Federal funds in the North Central region, more than in any other region. The West was second (31.5 percent), followed by the South (30 percent). The Northeast relied on these Federal assistance programs the least (27.9).

The distinction between grants and loans is an important one, since loans must be repaid by the recipient, while grants are not. The Northeast derives only a small part of its funds (6.6 percent) from loan programs, while the other regions rely on loans for around 16 or 17 percent. By contrast, grants are much more important in the Northeast, making up 21 percent of Federal funds. The North Central States obtain 17 percent of their Federal funds from grants, while the South and West each get about 14 percent from this source.

Perhaps surprisingly, the Northeast ranks highest in per-capita grants (\$428), followed by the West (\$375) and the South (\$345); the North Central States obtain the smallest per-capita

amount from grants (\$312). ^{8/} This finding contradicts the widely held belief that the Northeast has been overlooked in distributing Federal grants, but it is consistent with other recent studies (1, pp. 88-89; 8, p. 99).

It can be argued that the economic stimulus value of expenditure programs (such as grants, contracts, and salary expenditures) is higher than for a corresponding level of loans or loan guarantees, if only because the community that receives the loan must eventually pay it back (8). If this is true, then overall figures on Federal funding probably understate the relative amount of stimulus the Northeast receives from the Federal budget since that region derives such a small percentage of its funds from loan programs.

FEDERAL FUNDS TO LOW-INCOME AREAS

There are a number of reasons to expect Federal funding to treat low-income areas differently from higher-income areas. For a number of years, Federal policy has included a range of grant and loan programs to induce economic and community development in the Nation's most depressed counties. These programs have supported a variety of activities, including business assistance, development planning, and job creation through public works projects.

At the same time, one expects to find higher concentrations of social welfare expenditures in depressed counties, since they tend to experience higher concentrations of poor and dependent residents. Funding for such programs as social security, public assistance, job training, and health care is expected to be higher in low-income areas than in places with higher average resident incomes. Overall, higher spending totals might be likely in the poorer areas as well.

What, in fact, is the record of Federal funding to the Nation's poorer areas? In this section we review the record of funding to nonmetropolitan counties to see how funding varies among areas with differing levels of income.

The analysis in this section concentrates on the Nation's 2,510 counties (or equivalent areas) that lie outside the boundaries of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA's). Income differences are measured by average per capita income; in order to avoid errors caused by annual fluctuations in farm

^{8/} These include grants to State and local governments, universities, and non-profit groups. It must be remembered that these figures omit 55 programs, mainly formula grants to State governments, totalling \$23.6 billion that have been excluded from the study for reasons discussed earlier.

prices, an average for 1977 and 1978 was used.^{9/} The income categories are shown in table 6.

Table 6—Distribution of nonmetropolitan counties by region and income level

Per capita	:	:	Census region			
income,	:	U.S.	:	:	:	:
1977-78	:	:	Northeast	North Central	South	West
	:		<u>Percent</u>			
	:					
\$0-4499	:	12.2	0.0	4.6	21.2	6.3
\$4500-5999	:	42.7	45.3	35.2	51.9	31.6
\$6000-7499	:	35.8	51.3	48.5	22.0	42.9
\$7500-8999	:	7.8	3.4	11.2	4.2	12.1
\$9000 & above	:	1.6	.0	.6	.6	7.1
	:					
Total	:	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	:					
	:		<u>Number</u>			
	:					
Number of counties	:	2510	117	877	1136	380
Note: Figures may not add to 100 percent due to rounding.						

Note: Figures may not add to 100 percent due to rounding.

These income categories reflect both a county's current income position and, to an important degree, its historical circumstances as well. The categories are closely related with the "persistent low income" designations reported by Davis (4). Davis identified 255 nonmetro counties whose per capita incomes consistently placed them in the bottom 20 percent of nonmetro counties during the 1950-1975 period. Each of these counties had a 1977-78 income level under \$6,000 per-capita, and over two-thirds averaged less than \$4,500. At the same time, nearly 60 percent of counties with less than \$4,500 per-capita income were designated "persistent low income," meaning that their position has changed little over the preceding quarter century. By contrast, less than 8 percent of the counties with incomes of from \$4,500 to \$6,000 experienced persistently low average incomes.

Distribution of Low-Income Counties

Most low-income counties are located in the South, which has 79 percent of the poorest counties and 55 percent of those in the \$4,500-\$6,000 category (table 6). In part this is because the South has more counties than any other region. But the South also has the greatest concentration of low income

^{9/} Residence-adjusted personal income, as reported by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 7—Distribution of nonmetropolitan counties and 1978
population by metro status and per capita income

Per capita income, 1977-78	All nonmetro- politan counties	Metropolitan status of county 1/												
		Urbanized				Less urbanized				Totally rural				
		Adjacent to		Not adjacent		Adjacent to		Not adjacent		Adjacent to		Not adjacent		
		SMSA		to SMSA		SMSA		to SMSA		SMSA		to SMSA		
		Counties	Pop.	Counties	Pop.	Counties	Pop.	Counties	Pop.	Counties	Pop.	Counties	Pop.	Counties
<u>Percent</u>														
\$0-4499	12.2	6.8	.5	.5	2.1	1.5	6.7	5.2	9.3	7.9	23.6	25.8	21.8	26.4
\$4500-5999	42.7	38.3	23.8	21.5	28.4	28.1	45.5	46.5	45.6	48.5	50.4	50.9	42.8	46.6
\$6000-7499	35.8	45.6	60.6	63.5	57.4	61.6	39.1	41.0	35.3	35.1	20.7	19.1	26.7	21.3
\$7500-8999	7.8	8.7	14.5	14.2	10.6	7.5	8.2	7.1	8.2	7.7	3.7	3.1	5.9	4.2
\$9000 and above	1.6	.7	.5	.3	1.4	1.4	.5	.2	1.5	.8	1.6	1.0	2.9	1.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Figures may not add to 100 percent due to rounding.

1/ The county classification system is explained in 6.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

residents and areas. Most of these are found east of the Mississippi River. The largest number of higher income counties is found in the North Central region, which also has the second largest number of very poor counties. The concentration of extremely high income counties in the West--mainly the Pacific States--is due in part to the high cost of living and inflated incomes common in Alaska.

The distribution of counties and population by metropolitan status is shown in table 7. Being adjacent to an SMSA does not seem to relate to county income, but degree of urbanization does. The more urbanized nonmetro counties (with 20,000 or more "urban" residents in 1970) are far more likely to average \$6,000 or more per capita in income than the less urbanized nonmetro counties (with 2,500 to 20,000 urban residents). These in turn have higher average incomes than the totally rural counties, over 60 percent of which fall into the lowest two income groups.

Differences Among Income Levels

With the exception of the highest income category, per capita levels of Federal funding are remarkably even across the income categories (table 8). Those counties with average incomes over

Table 8—Per capita Federal funds by income level,
nonmetro areas, fiscal 1979

Per capita income, 1977-78	:	Total Federal funds
	:	Dollars
\$0-4499	:	1,962
\$4500-5999	:	1,981
\$6000-7499	:	1,967
\$7500-8999	:	1,999
9000 & above	:	4,873
	:	
Total	:	1,994
	:	

\$9,000 received very high levels of funding for energy, space, defense, community resources, and agricultural assistance. They averaged more than twice the per capita level of funding of any other group of counties. These high income counties, few in number, are clearly unusual cases.

Even more interesting is the fact that the other nonmetro county groups received nearly equal per capita funding. In no case did the overall totals for these groups differ by more than \$40, or 2 percent of the total.

Despite this equivalence in overall totals, the composition of Federal funding differs rather significantly across the county groups (figure 8, table 9). The chief distinction is between the very poorest and the very richest counties, though the great majority of the nonmetro counties that lie between these extremes are also distinct in some respects. Perhaps not surprisingly, counties with 1977-78 incomes less than \$4,500 per capita get almost 43 percent of their Federal dollars from income security programs. More than half of these dollars come from retirement and disability programs that are not particularly associated with serving low income populations. What makes these poor counties unique, however, is a much heavier than average reliance on public assistance and unemployment programs and, to a lesser degree, medical and hospitalization benefits. The higher income counties, on the other hand, rely less on income security programs, and especially public aid and unemployment programs.


The national resources programs, which include mainly defense and space programs (but also programs for veterans, energy research, higher education and research, and general government administration), are most important for the highest income nonmetro counties, where they account for 41 percent of Federal funds. They are correspondingly less important for the poorest counties. Wealthy counties rely to an exceptional degree on energy and space funding and, to a lesser extent, on defense contracts. The lowest income counties get little funding from any of the sources except veterans programs. Counties in the \$4,500-\$7,500 range are near the nonmetro average for these programs.

Community resources programs are more significant components of the funding picture for both the lowest and highest income counties than for those in the middle categories. However, the mix of programs differs between them. High income counties rely more on transportation, housing, and business assistance programs, while low income counties rely on more developmental programs and those designed to build public facilities. This is not surprising since many of the latter programs were targeted to poorer areas. Both rich and poor counties rely much more than average on programs for American Indians.

Agricultural and natural resource programs make up a relatively small share of funding for all of the income groups. As a percent of all funds they are most significant to the lowest income nonmetro counties and least important to the majority of counties in the middle categories. Agricultural assistance and water and recreational programs account for most of this. Among the wealthiest counties, forest and land management programs also rank high.

**Fig. 8--Functional Makeup of Federal Funding, by Income Level,
Nonmetro Areas, Fiscal 1979**

Percent of Federal Funds



1977-78 P.C. Income

Table 9—Per capita Federal funds to nonmetro areas, by income level and function, fiscal 1979

Function	Per capita income, 1977-78					
	All nonmetro : counties	\$0-4499	\$4500-5999	\$6000-7499	\$7500-8999	\$9000 and above
		-----Dollars-----				
Agriculture and natural resources	259	330	237	253	297	696
Agricultural assistance	198	264	187	184	248	399
Agricultural research and services	6	3	6	6	2	5
Forest and land management	25	22	19	28	19	192
Water and recreational resources	31	41	25	34	28	100
Community resources	425	512	435	388	427	1424
Business assistance	47	49	44	49	44	177
Community facilities	128	204	146	102	118	293
Community and regional development	31	40	38	24	24	72
Housing	91	89	90	91	84	213
Housing--Veterans	38	14	35	40	55	138
Native Americans	15	56	17	8	6	132
Revenue sharing	20	23	20	20	19	27
Transportation	54	37	46	54	78	372
Human resources	53	76	46	52	59	191
Education and social services	27	53	30	21	19	95
Health services	6	6	4	7	8	10
Training and employment	20	17	12	24	32	85
Income security	806	841	802	809	794	567
Medical and hospital benefits	194	231	198	188	178	128
Public assistance and unemployment	88	176	100	70	59	93
Retirement and disability	524	435	504	551	556	346
National resources	452	202	461	465	421	1996
Defense contracts	81	25	75	90	95	253
Defense payrolls and administration	153	34	174	150	145	330
Energy and space	51	2	54	47	16	1090
Higher education and research	22	14	23	24	17	29
Veteran benefits	77	69	73	82	72	101
Other functions	68	58	62	72	75	193
All functions	1994	1962	1981	1967	1999	4873

Note: Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

**METRO-NONMETRO
DISTRIBUTION OF
FUNDS**

Human resource programs (other than income security programs categorized separately) make up the smallest part of Federal funding for all income groups but are more significant for the richest and poorest groups. Training and employment programs are a larger part of the total for the three higher income categories, groups, and poorer counties rely on these programs much less than average. Education and social services programs are most important in the low income counties, followed by those with the highest incomes.

On the average, metropolitan counties receive more Federal funds per capita than do nonmetropolitan counties (table 10). Metropolitan counties received an average of \$2,300 per capita, while nonmetro counties averaged \$1,994, ten percent less than the U.S. average.

Table 10—Federal funds per capita, by metropolitan status, fiscal years 1976, 1978, 1979

	1976		1978		1979	
Metropolitan status ^{1/}	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent
	per capita	of U.S.	per capita	of U.S.	per capita	of U.S.
Metropolitan	1555	105.4	2069	103.1	2300	103.9
Greater	1600	108.4	2148	107.0	2376	107.3
Core	1778	120.5	2401	119.6	2646	119.5
Fringe	1202	81.4	1619	80.7	1818	82.1
Medium	1474	99.9	1951	97.2	2203	99.5
Lesser	1558	105.6	2019	100.6	2215	100.1
Nonmetropolitan	1271	86.1	1845	91.9	1994	90.1
Urbanized	1421	93.6	1910	95.2	2020	91.2
Adjacent to:						
SMSA	1361	92.2	1756	87.5	1903	86.0
Not Adjacent:	1532	103.8	2191	109.2	2212	99.9
Less Urbanized:	1163	78.8	1716	85.5	1885	85.1
Adjacent to:						
SMSA	1098	74.4	1613	80.4	1768	79.9
Not adjacent:	1228	83.2	1818	90.6	2000	90.3
Totally rural	1306	88.5	2145	106.9	2341	105.7
Adjacent to:						
SMSA	1177	79.7	1912	95.3	2103	95.0
Not adjacent:	1374	93.1	2272	113.2	2472	111.7
United States	1476	100.0	2007	100.0	2214	100.0

^{1/} The county classification system is explained in 6.

There are significant variations within the broad metro-metro-nonmetro categories, however. Only two groups of counties exceed the national average by more than a few dollars: the central counties of the largest SMSA's (nearly 20 percent above the U.S. average) and totally rural counties not adjacent to a metropolitan area (12 percent above the U.S. average). All other groups of counties are at or below the national average.

On the whole, metro counties receive more uniformly high levels of Federal funding than nonmetro counties. Only fringe counties of the largest SMSA's, which received 82 percent of the U.S. average, fall significantly below that average. By contrast, only one category of nonmetro counties (totally rural, not adjacent to an SMSA) exceeded the U.S. per capita average, while another (urbanized, not adjacent) was at the average; two of the six groups of nonmetro counties were 14 percent or more below the national per capita level.

Trends in Metro-Nonmetro Funding

There is some evidence that the funding advantage has shifted slightly away from metro areas during recent years (table 10). Between 1976 and 1979, metro areas declined two percentage points relative to the U.S. per capita average, while nonmetro counties gained four points overall. Totally rural counties appear to be the biggest gainers, though less urbanized counties also showed gains. The chief losers were the largest nonmetro counties and the smallest SMSA's.

It would be wrong to overemphasize this observation in light of the short time period studied and the evidence of a more modest shift favoring metro areas between 1978 and 1979. Furthermore, differences in the range of programs included for 1976 as compared with 1978 and 1979 may also partly explain the apparent trend. Nonetheless, the shift is large enough to merit continued watching.

Information from another source supports the conclusion that greater shares of Federal funds are being directed to rural areas. Anton and his associates studied Federal programs in 1975 and 1978 and found that several Federal departments greatly increased the number of counties they aided during the period (3, p. 61).

The major growth was made by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), which increased the number of counties it funded from 2,768 in 1975 to 3,025 in 1978, and by the Small Business Administration (SBA), which increased its range of operations from 2,473 to 2,799 counties. Among individual programs experiencing major expansion in territory served

are the HUD Section 8 housing assistance program (269 to 2,070 counties), USDA emergency disaster loans (1,132 to 2,111), SBA physical disaster loans (778 to 1,662), and school aid to federally affected areas (942 to 1,537). Anton concludes that rural areas have been the major beneficiaries of this "spreading effect" (3, pp. 70-71).

There are two primary implications of these trends. First, the increased spread of Federal funding activity into smaller rural counties seems likely to yield more equitable treatment than these counties have previously enjoyed. In fact, these figures may understate the advantage to rural counties since they largely exclude Federal dollars paid to State agencies, which are subsequently "passed-through" to local recipients. Rural areas tend to rely more heavily than urban areas on these pass-through dollars.

Second, the spread of activity into a larger number of counties reflects the development of broader clientele by some Federal agencies. Certain agencies--among them the Departments of Agriculture, Health, Education, and Welfare ^{10/}, Labor, Transportation, and the Veterans Administration--serve nearly all U.S. counties to some extent. Others have traditionally focused their operations on a more select set of areas. The expansion of HUD and SBA into more areas suggests that the political and governmental processes associated with serving these areas may now include a larger number of institutions, a shift that may have far-reaching implications for the range of services available to residents of these areas.

Distribution Among Program Types

There are some important differences in the mixture of program types used to fund metro and nonmetro counties (table 11). Transfer payments, the leading type of program, account for nearly 40 percent of nonmetro funds but only about 31 percent in metro areas. In percentage terms, loans and loan guarantees are nearly twice as important in nonmetro as in metro areas. In metro areas, contracts (especially for defense projects) are more than twice as frequent; salaries and expenses also comprise a larger share of metro funding totals. Grants are only slightly more important to metro areas.

There is much variation among the detailed metro and nonmetro categories as well. In particular, loans are much more common in the more rural county areas, where they comprise one-fourth to one-third of all Federal funds. In none of the metro categories, by contrast, do loans amount to as much as 15

^{10/} Subsequently divided into the Department of Education and the Department of Health and Human Services.

Table 11--Federal funding by program type and metropolitan status, fiscal 1979

Metropolitan status	Program type						
	Total	Transfer payments	Loans	Grants	Salaries and expenses	Contracts	Other
	--Percent--						
Metropolitan	100.0	31.3	11.8	16.6	19.8	20.3	0.2
Greater	100.0	30.3	10.3	16.9	19.0	23.3	0.2
Core	100.0	29.9	8.9	18.9	18.6	23.4	0.2
Fringe	100.0	31.6	14.4	11.0	19.9	22.9	0.3
Medium	100.0	32.6	13.7	16.4	20.0	17.1	0.2
Lesser	100.0	32.1	14.3	15.4	23.5	14.4	0.2
Nonmetropolitan	100.0	39.8	21.9	15.4	13.9	8.7	0.3
Urbanized	100.0	36.9	14.4	16.1	20.6	11.8	0.3
Adjacent to SMSA	100.0	39.7	13.7	16.8	18.2	11.3	0.3
Not adjacent	100.0	32.3	15.5	15.0	24.5	12.6	0.2
Less urbanized	100.0	43.1	25.1	15.2	9.8	6.4	0.3
Adjacent to SMSA	100.0	44.6	24.6	14.6	9.3	6.8	0.3
Not adjacent	100.0	41.9	25.7	15.8	10.2	6.0	0.4
Totally rural	100.0	37.0	32.2	14.6	8.6	7.3	0.3
Adjacent to SMSA	100.0	37.3	28.1	14.9	7.1	12.3	0.2
Not adjacent	100.0	36.9	34.3	14.3	9.2	4.9	0.4
United States	100.0	33.4	14.3	16.3	18.3	17.4	0.3

Note: Figures may not total 100 percent due to rounding.

percent. The difference is significant. Since loans and loan guarantees--unlike the other methods of funding--must be repaid, including them in overall funding totals overstates the amount of permanent transfers of Federal dollars to an area. As these figures reveal, this overstatement is greatest for the most rural counties and is least for the most urban ones.

Transfer payments comprise a fairly even percentage of funds to metro counties; there is greater fluctuation among nonmetro county groups, but the variations do not seem to adhere to any regular pattern. Grant payments are the most significant to core counties of large SMSA's. Except for the fringes of these same areas, grants make up a fairly even percent of funding across the other county groups, declining slightly among the more rural counties. Salaries tend to be more significant to the smaller SMSA's and the more urbanized nonmetro counties. They are considerably less important to the more rural counties, where Federal administrative offices are less likely to be located. Contracts too tend to decline in importance in the more rural nonmetro counties; they are most important in the largest SMSA's.

A few minor shifts have occurred since 1978 in the types of programs used to aid metro and nonmetro areas (the 1978 figures are reported in 5, p. 3). In both metro and nonmetro areas, transfer payments and loans grew slightly as a percent of total, while salaries and contracts declined slightly in importance. Only grants, which grew in importance in nonmetro areas and declined in metro areas, moved in opposite directions. Whether this shift in grants signals a redirection of assistance efforts toward rural areas, and if so, whether it will be maintained, is impossible to assess from these data.

Distribution Among Functions

There are significant metro-nonmetro differences in the functions served by the funding. Per capita funding levels are shown for five broad functions and 24 smaller functional categories in table 12. Table 13 at the end of this report shows the metro-nonmetro distribution of funds for individual programs making up each category.

Agriculture and Natural Resources

Federal funding for agricultural and natural resource programs totalled \$102 per capita in fiscal 1979, making it the fourth largest function. About two-thirds of the funding for this function consists of agricultural assistance payments; the remainder is largely comprised of resource management and recreational programs, with a smaller share devoted to research.

As might be expected, per capita funding levels are generally much higher in nonmetro counties than in metro areas. The

Table 12--Per capita Federal outlays in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties, fiscal 1979 ^{1/}

Function	Metropolitan								Nonmetropolitan							
	U.S.	Total	Greater			Medium	Lesser	Total	Urbanized	Less Urbanized		Totally Rural				
	total:		Total:	Core:	Fringe:				Adja	cent:	Nonad	cent:	Nonad	cent:		
	Dollars per capita															
Agriculture and natural resources	102	42	29	27	34	49	81	219	100	167	249	348	351	617		
Agricultural assistance	68	17	6	3	14	25	45	193	66	96	204	269	257	514		
Agricultural research and services	6	6	6	6	6	5	9	6	10	8	3	5	3	4		
Forest and land management	11	5	4	5	3	4	11	25	11	27	15	37	29	52		
Water and recreational resources	18	14	12	13	11	15	16	31	14	37	27	38	62	46		
Community resources	432	435	427	466	348	457	414	425	331	411	385	455	584	675		
Business assistance	28	21	18	19	17	20	34	47	29	54	44	59	61	65		
Community facilities	58	30	23	21	27	34	55	128	74	73	120	139	229	326		
Community and regional development	43	47	43	56	15	63	29	31	22	28	34	32	81	24		
Housing	116	126	120	126	107	144	108	91	79	98	87	102	86	91		
Housing--veterans	78	94	89	82	106	100	98	38	52	67	30	25	31	16		
Native Americans	6	3	3	4	1	3	3	15	8	11	9	17	8	61		
Revenue sharing	21	21	22	26	15	20	19	20	20	21	19	21	19	22		
Transportation	82	92	109	133	59	74	67	54	48	58	41	61	70	71		
Human resources	85	98	96	120	46	101	99	53	72	66	33	47	33	58		
Education and social services	27	27	26	33	13	26	29	27	24	31	23	29	24	36		
Health services	11	14	15	19	6	12	12	6	8	11	3	4	3	3		
Training and employment	47	58	55	68	26	63	58	20	39	25	7	14	6	19		
Income security	785	777	797	900	585	757	739	806	786	737	811	843	800	843		
Medical and hospital benefits	188	185	201	238	124	167	162	194	178	161	204	208	204	215		
Public assistance and unemployment	99	104	116	147	52	91	85	88	79	89	85	95	95	96		
Retirement and disability	498	488	481	515	410	499	492	524	528	487	523	540	501	532		
National resources	809	948	1,027	1,134	805	838	881	452	613	830	289	308	335	277		
Defense contracts	258	327	390	449	269	260	222	81	144	133	38	43	42	57		
Defense payrolls and administration	201	220	156	143	182	284	345	153	235	387	75	64	49	49		
Energy and space	75	84	102	100	108	56	74	51	51	104	38	35	109	14		
Higher education and research	48	59	74	89	43	39	42	22	36	37	11	17	5	12		
Veterans benefits	95	102	103	119	71	100	102	77	87	84	70	77	59	59		
Other functions	131	156	201	235	133	100	96	68	61	85	56	72	72	88		
All functions	2,214	2,300	2,376	2,646	1,818	2,203	2,215	1,994	1,903	2,212	1,768	2,000	2,103	2,472		

^{1/} The county classification system is explained in Fred K. Hines, David L. Brown, and John Zimmer, *Social and Economic Characteristics of the Population in Metro and Nonmetro Counties, 1970*. AER-272. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Res. Serv., Mar. 1975.

Note: Detail may not agree with totals due to rounding.

exception is research, which is divided evenly on a per capita basis between metro and nonmetro areas. Funding levels for the remaining categories are especially heavy in the more rural counties and in those counties not adjacent to a metro area.

In relation to other program categories, the agricultural and natural resource programs are especially important to nonmetro counties, where they comprise 13 percent of all Federal funds (figure 9). By contrast, these programs make up under two percent of metro area funding. Among metro counties, these programs are most important in the smaller SMSA's. In nonmetro areas, agricultural programs are most important in the more rural counties and in those not contiguous to an SMSA. Totally rural counties not adjacent to SMSA's derive fully one-fourth of their funds from these programs.


Community Resources

Programs supporting community, business, and regional development make up the third largest function, with just under 20 percent of all Federal funds. Comparing per capita totals, community resources programs are nearly equal between metro and nonmetro areas. More important differences exist between individual metropolitan status groupings, however. Among nonmetro counties, community resources programs are most important in the totally rural counties where they greatly exceed the U.S. per capita average and make up more than a quarter of all Federal funds these counties receive. These programs decline in importance among more urbanized nonmetro counties and those located close to an SMSA. Among metro counties per capita funding is more nearly equal; it is highest for the core counties of the largest SMSA's and for medium-sized metro areas. Fringe counties of large SMSA's receive the lowest level of any group metro area county group.

Housing programs, mainly operated by the Departments of Agriculture, Housing and Urban Development, and the Veterans Administration, are the largest component of this function nationally. Housing programs are more important in metro areas, where they make up just under 10 percent of all Federal funds; housing funds account for 6.4 percent of the nonmetro total and on a per capita basis they make up less than three-fifths as much as in SMSA's.

These figures may somewhat understate the level of housing aid going to nonmetro residents. Data on housing loans can be traced to the county of the financial institution handling the loan. Many times, however, the lender is located in a different county than the borrower. For example, in less urbanized areas, home buyers may seek loans in nearby urban counties. Where this occurs, loans that benefit rural residents will be credited to urban counties. The size of this bias is not known.

Fig. 9--Relative Importance of Federal Funds by Function and Metro Status, Fiscal 1979



Programs supporting community facilities are also significant in nonmetro areas, where they equal funding for housing. These programs are most significant in totally rural counties. Business assistance programs also operate at higher levels in nonmetro areas. They are largely offset, however, by transportation programs, which provide almost twice as much funding per resident in metro areas.

Human Resources

As defined for this study, human resource programs include Federal support for education, social services, health, job training, and employment. The category excludes income security programs such as public aid, social security, disability, unemployment compensation, and medical assistance; these are classified separately. It also excludes a number of formula grants to States, including the Title XX Social Services Program, the funds for which could not be traced to individual county areas (see table 1, above, and the accompanying text for more information).

Human resource programs make up the smallest of the five functions, totalling \$85 per capita or just under four percent of all Federal funds. They are considerably more important in metro counties, where they average \$98 per capita, compared with \$53 in nonmetro areas. Human resource programs tend to be more important in the more urban of the nonmetro counties and in the central counties of major metro areas.

Training and employment programs make up over half the national total for this function. These funds are highly concentrated in metro areas, and in nonmetro counties they are less significant than education and social service programs. Health services programs are also more highly concentrated in metro counties.

Income Security

Programs providing various forms of income security are the second largest function nationally, totalling \$785 per capita or more than a third of all Federal funds. However, these programs are even more significant for nonmetro areas, where they average more than \$800 per capita and account for four of every ten Federal dollars. On a per capita basis, the most income security dollars go to central counties of large SMSA's. The next largest shares go to the less urbanized and totally rural counties, especially those not adjacent to a metropolitan area.

Retirement and disability programs make up nearly two-thirds of funds for this function. These programs are somewhat more concentrated in nonmetro areas where they make up a quarter of all Federal dollars. Fringe counties of large metro areas receive the least from these programs on a per capita basis.

Medical and hospital benefits are also slightly weighted to nonmetro areas. While the largest per capita amounts go to core counties of large metro areas, all other metro categories receive far less than the national average of \$188. The most urbanized nonmetro counties are also below the national average. The most rural nonmetro categories are all funded at a little over \$200 per capita.

Public assistance programs make up the smallest part of this function, averaging \$99 per capita and comprising under five percent of all Federal funds. Central counties of large SMSA's receive by far the most per capita from this function (\$147). Nonmetro areas overall average less than metro areas, though funding in the more rural counties averages more than in the smaller SMSA's. The suburban fringes receive the fewest public assistance dollars.

National Resources

The national resources category is comprised of various programs--principally defense, energy, space, and administrative programs--that tend to have national, rather than localized, program benefits. This is the largest function, totalling slightly more than funding for income security. It is far more significant in metro areas, however, and in nonmetro counties it ranks behind income security programs, which are nearly twice as important to these counties. National resources programs make up only about 23 percent of all nonmetro funds, compared with 40 percent for income security programs.

National resources funding varies widely across individual metro status categories. The less urbanized and totally rural nonmetro counties average well under half of the U.S. average and far below the most urbanized nonmetro counties, which are more nearly like metro counties with respect to funding. The low per capita levels in the more rural nonmetro counties result mainly from the low levels of defense outlays in these areas, but all national resources programs contribute.

On the other hand, the highest per capita levels are found in the largest SMSA's--mainly in their core counties--where funding is 25 percent above the national average. Defense contracts account for most of this advantage, though these counties are above the average in most other programs as well. The exception is defense administration, which appears to be more concentrated in smaller SMSA's and in the more urbanized nonmetro counties.

These differences have significant implications for the makeup of Federal funding to each area. At one extreme, the largest SMSA's derive over 43 percent of their Federal dollars from national resources programs; smaller SMSA's are not far

behind. Among the detailed functions, defense contracts make up 14 of all metro area funds and are the second largest source of funds in these areas. Retirement and disability programs account for 21 percent of metro area funds.

The picture in nonmetro counties--and especially the most rural ones--is quite different. Not only do income security programs greatly exceed national resources programs, but the latter are barely more important than community resources programs. Defense contracts make up 4.1 percent of the nonmetro total; many other functions are far more important to nonmetro counties.

At the extreme, totally rural counties not adjacent to an SMSA rely very slightly on these national resources programs, which make up only 11 percent of their funds. More than twice as much of their funding comes from agricultural programs, even though these make up but a small part of the national funding picture. In totally rural counties, per capita agricultural assistance payments are more than 9 times as high as defense contracts.

These figures on the distribution of defense contracts may overstate the metro area advantage. The Defense Department reports its contracts to the location of the prime contractor for each contract. Most of these are subcontracted to smaller suppliers who may be located in different counties. The eventual distribution of subcontracts is not known, but it is likely that small firms in nonmetro areas receive a larger share than is reflected by the figures on prime contracts.

CONCLUSION

This report has surveyed the pattern of Federal funding to local areas during fiscal 1979. In doing so it has touched on a number of facets of the Federal funding process, the growth in Federal funding levels, changes in the financial mechanisms that have been used, the allocation of funds among regions of the country, and variations in funding patterns to counties with differing income levels and metropolitan status. The principal story of the report is that large variations lie beneath overall national funding totals and that these have potentially great importance for individual local areas.

Little attempt has been made here to explain these differences--why they occur as they do--nor to spell out their full meaning for localities. Even if adequate space and time were available, knowledge about the effects of Federal funding is not sufficiently precise to produce the needed answers to many questions. These will have to await future studies. It is hoped that this report will serve as a baseline and that it has raised questions in a way that will generate interest in their resolution.

The report should be useful as a benchmark in another way. Recent budget actions signal the probability of major, long-term shifts in the shape of Federal funding. Future analyses will trace the path of these shifts. This report will serve as a base against which to measure these new directions.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES					NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							
				TOTAL	GREATER		MEDIUM: LESSER		TOTAL	URBANIZED		LESS		TOTALLY RURAL		
					TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE	ADJA		ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA		ADJA	
																PERCENT
-MIL DOLS-				--PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL--												
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.8	48.8	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2
INSPECTION AND WEIGHING SERVICES, FGIS	--	8	25.1	77.6	54.5	44.5	10.1	16.6	6.5	22.4	4.6	1.3	16.3	0.2	0.0	0.0
LIVESTOCK & POULTRY MARKET SUPERVISION, AMS	10.159	8	7.4	100.0	74.8	53.9	20.9	20.3	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MARKET NEWS, AMS	10.153	8	13.5	93.4	78.3	66.5	3.8	15.0	8.1	6.6	2.1	1.8	1.2	1.4	0.0	0.0
MARKET SUPERVISION, AMS	10.154	8	6.8	100.0	76.4	63.2	13.2	17.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISCELLANEOUS CONTRIBUTED FUNDS, ESCS	--	8	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISCELLANEOUS CONTRIBUTED FUNDS, SEA	--	8	1.2	73.7	36.7	24.1	12.6	26.2	10.8	26.3	11.3	13.0	0.4	1.6	0.0	0.0
MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS, APHS	--	8	1.0	93.0	90.0	85.4	4.7	1.6	1.3	7.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	5.2	0.0	0.0
OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL, USDA	--	8	8.6	96.1	66.1	31.2	34.9	19.5	10.6	3.9	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, USDA	--	8	27.2	100.0	87.4	41.1	46.3	0.0	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, USDA	--	8	3.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PAYMENTS TO AGRIC EXPR STA (HATCH), SEA	10.078	1	101.3	53.3	7.5	6.0	1.5	25.7	20.2	46.7	30.2	10.0	0.0	6.5	0.0	0.0
PAYMENTS-1890 COLLEGES & TUSKEGEE INST, SEA	10.888	2	15.9	51.6	8.8	0.0	8.8	27.3	15.5	48.4	15.6	0.0	22.8	3.5	0.0	6.5
PERISHABLE AG. COMMODITIES ACT FUND, AMS	--	8	1.9	100.0	91.8	91.4	8.3	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PLANT & ANIMAL DISEASE & PEST CONT., APHS	10.025	8	180.9	85.1	27.4	14.8	12.5	35.5	22.3	14.9	10.4	2.0	0.5	2.0	0.0	0.0
S & E. FEDERAL GRAIN INSPECTION SERVICE	--	8	21.7	93.9	75.4	72.5	2.8	14.3	4.2	6.1	1.0	1.0	3.9	0.1	0.0	0.0
S & E. OFFICE OF INTERNATL CO-OP AND DEV	--	8	9.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S & E. OFFICE OF TRANSPORTATION, USDA	--	8	1.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO COOPERATIVES, ESCS	10.251	8	3.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TECHNICAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS, SEA	10.883	8	6.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VOLUN COMM INSP & GRDG PGS-TRUST FDS, FSGS	10.478	8	46.5	91.4	46.8	41.3	5.5	25.7	18.9	8.6	5.4	0.1	0.4	2.7	0.0	0.0
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, USDA	--	8	34.0	88.6	88.6	76.8	11.9	0.0	0.0	11.4	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WORLD FOOD & AG. OUTLOOK & SITUATION BOARD	--	8	1.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FOREST AND LAND MANAGEMENT	--	--	2289.6	34.2	15.9	11.6	4.4	9.1	9.2	65.8	7.4	9.7	9.9	24.0	3.5	11.2
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	--	--	2152.5	32.1	15.2	10.8	4.5	7.7	9.1	67.9	6.6	10.1	10.5	25.2	3.6	11.9
ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND	--	8	2.6	18.8	5.0	3.5	1.5	9.4	4.5	81.2	6.0	10.4	34.5	28.0	0.5	1.9
ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND-FY 1978	--	8	3.8	52.0	11.2	6.5	4.7	17.6	23.2	48.0	5.2	4.1	22.4	12.8	1.2	2.4
ACQ. OF LANDS FOR NAT'L FORESTS, SPEC ACTS	--	7	0.2	98.6	95.7	95.7	0.0	2.2	0.7	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM, ASCS	10.063	2	231.7	21.5	4.1	1.2	2.9	9.3	8.2	78.5	7.9	6.2	19.6	23.1	5.5	16.1
ASSISTANCE TO STATES FOR TREE IMPROVEMENT	--	8	0.8	81.6	25.9	20.0	6.0	22.1	33.5	18.4	2.8	15.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0
ASST. TO STATES FOR TREE IMPROVEMENTS, FS	10.664	2	0.6	62.9	15.1	11.8	3.3	32.4	15.4	37.1	16.9	18.5	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0
BRUSH DISPOSAL, FS	--	8	34.1	23.3	14.8	10.3	4.6	2.8	6.4	76.7	9.2	12.0	0.2	32.8	4.6	10.0
CONSERVATION OPERATIONS, SCS	--	8	2.1	54.3	12.3	6.7	5.6	21.8	20.2	45.7	6.7	10.8	6.4	13.2	2.4	6.1
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, FS	--	8	1.2	67.9	23.6	21.3	2.3	25.9	18.4	32.1	19.2	12.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.1
CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION, FS	--	7	45.0	40.6	27.6	13.4	14.2	6.8	6.2	59.4	6.2	5.5	8.4	22.3	2.8	14.2
COOPERATIVE WORK, FS	--	8	88.4	24.6	11.6	9.0	2.6	3.0	18.0	75.4	9.5	12.9	8.8	25.9	6.9	11.4
FOREST PROTECTION AND UTILIZATION, FS	--	8	888.2	37.5	20.7	15.8	4.9	8.9	8.0	62.5	5.6	11.7	7.6	23.4	2.9	11.2
FOREST ROADS AND TRAILS, FS	--	8	260.6	26.4	13.0	9.4	3.6	4.5	8.8	73.6	5.8	10.2	11.4	29.8	3.1	13.5
FOREST ROADS, FS	--	8	216.9	21.9	7.9	1.2	6.6	1.6	12.6	78.1	8.7	9.6	8.4	38.0	2.9	10.4
FORESTRY COOPERATIVE RESEARCH, FS	10.664	2	8.4	61.4	23.5	16.1	7.4	33.7	4.2	38.6	22.3	12.1	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0
FORESTRY INCENTIVES PROGRAM, ASCS	10.064	2	16.1	18.7	2.2	0.9	1.3	7.7	8.0	81.3	7.3	6.9	24.4	21.6	9.1	12.0
FORESTRY RESEARCH, FS	10.652	2	1.2	62.1	7.4	7.4	0.0	12.2	42.6	37.9	13.8	15.1	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0
GENERAL FORESTRY ASSISTANCE, FS	10.664	2	6.5	86.8	18.6	18.6	0.0	51.8	16.4	13.2	9.8	1.5	1.5	0.4	0.0	0.0
GREAT PLAINS CONSERVATION PROGRAM, SCS	--	8	6.9	16.2	4.6	4.4	0.2	1.9	9.7	83.8	3.4	11.0	9.8	30.5	3.9	25.4
GREAT PLAINS CONSERVATION, SCS	10.900	4	11.8	7.7	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.4	7.1	92.3	1.9	6.4	10.5	30.8	4.6	38.1
LAND INVENTORY AND MONITORING, SCS	10.908	8	8.9	39.1	9.9	3.5	6.4	18.0	11.2	60.9	9.6	10.8	11.3	19.3	3.1	6.9
LICENSEE PROGRAM, FS	--	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISC CONTR FUNDS (CONSERV & LAND MGT), SCS	--	8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	39.5	0.0	53.5
OREGON AND CALIFORNIA GRANT LANDS	--	8	4.3	22.1	7.1	6.1	1.0	8.0	15.0	77.9	13.7	25.2	8.0	39.0	0.0	8.0
PLANT MATERIALS FOR CONSERVATION, SCS	10.905	8	2.5	27.5	6.9	0.3	0.6	16.5	10.2	72.5	12.7	10.0	18.7	15.6	6.8	8.7
RANGELAND IMPROVEMENTS, FS	--	8	4.6	8.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	6.2	1.7	91.5	2.7	12.2	7.7	34.4	4.6	29.9
RESOURCE APPRAISAL AND PROGRAM DEV, SCS	10.909	2	1.6	66.8	16.2	13.7	2.5	35.8	14.9	33.2	6.7	13.4	2.2	5.1	2.5	3.3
RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT, SCS	10.901	2	13.4	18.9	2.2	0.5	1.7	6.3	10.4	81.1	3.0	2.7	14.8	39.8	2.5	18.3
RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT, SCS	--	8	10.8	37.1	13.4	10.0	3.4	14.5	9.3	62.9	9.1	12.6	7.2	21.7	3.7	8.5
RURAL ABANDONED MINE PROGRAM, SCS	10.910	4	3.6	15.4	4.7	0.0	4.7	2.5	8.2	84.6	27.2	9.5	11.2	32.5	8.0	4.4

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES						NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES						TOTAL	
				TOTAL	GREATER		MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED		TOTAL	URBAN	RURAL			
					CORE	FRINGE				INON-	ADJA-				INON-	ADJA-	
																	TOTAL
				-----PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----													
POPULATION, 1978	3/			72.6	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	26.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2	
*ANADROMOUS FISH CONSERVATION, FWS	115.600	8	0.1	100.0	99.6	90.8	8.9	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*ANTHROPIC DAMAGE CONTROL, FWS	115.601	8	8.7	73.6	18.7	12.2	6.5	42.7	12.2	26.4	5.9	11.4	0.2	8.6	0.1	0.3	
*ARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION & SALVAGE, HCRS	115.412	8	1.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*COLORADO RIVER BASIN PROJECT	---	7	126.2	40.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	60.0	3.0	3.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*COLORADO RIVER BASIN PROJECT	---	8	6.8	87.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.9	0.0	13.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJ	---	7	34.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	97.8	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.4	
*COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJ	---	8	3.1	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	0.0	93.5	0.0	83.3	0.1	8.3	3.0	1.9	
*COMMEMORATIVE ACTIVITIES FUND, NPS	---	8	0.7	93.6	90.9	90.8	0.1	2.7	0.0	6.4	0.1	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*CONSERVATION LAW ENFORCEMENT TRG ASST, FWS	115.602	8	3.0	91.4	65.2	58.7	6.5	19.3	6.8	8.6	1.6	4.4	0.4	2.0	0.1	0.1	
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, FWS	---	8	11.2	63.5	36.1	29.7	6.4	15.9	11.6	36.5	17.4	3.9	1.5	10.1	2.2	1.3	
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, LBR	---	7	33.7	65.5	21.3	21.0	0.3	41.6	2.6	34.5	3.5	12.5	0.0	19.4	0.0	1.1	
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, LBR	---	8	6.9	41.8	0.1	0.1	0.0	38.1	3.7	58.2	2.4	6.5	1.4	44.1	0.6	3.1	
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NPS	---	8	0.4	46.0	13.0	2.5	18.4	21.5	11.5	54.0	36.3	0.0	17.7	8.0	0.0	0.0	
*CONSTRUCTION AND ANADROMOUS FISH	---	7	71.7	94.8	85.0	52.6	32.4	7.9	1.9	5.2	0.2	3.7	1.0	2.2	0.3	0.4	
*CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION, LBR	---	6	56.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	6.1	0.0	81.0	0.0	12.3	
*CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION, LBR	---	7	151.2	72.6	17.6	14.8	2.8	52.8	2.2	27.4	5.4	4.0	8.2	4.1	2.2	3.5	
*CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION, LBR	---	8	48.1	56.4	1.6	1.4	0.1	38.0	24.9	43.6	1.1	23.8	8.2	7.1	1.2	2.3	
*CONSTRUCTION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS-CIVIL	---	7	4.3	50.7	50.7	50.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.3	0.0	49.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*CONSTRUCTION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	---	7	25.8	25.3	16.1	0.0	16.1	4.7	4.5	74.7	0.0	51.6	0.7	19.3	0.0	3.1	
*CONSTRUCTION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	---	8	60.6	59.3	55.6	24.5	31.1	2.7	1.0	40.7	2.5	9.9	6.7	15.8	0.5	5.1	
*CONTRIBUTED FUNDS, FWS	---	8	2.2	19.9	11.7	10.7	1.0	1.0	7.2	80.1	0.0	50.3	18.6	10.8	8.4	0.0	
*CONTRIBUTION TO DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMM.	---	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*CONTRIBUTION TO SUSQUEHANNA RIV BASIN COMM	---	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*CONVERT ABANDONED RR RIGHTS-OF-WAY, HCRS	---	2	1.1	100.0	86.0	45.3	41.5	9.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*DONATIONS, HCRS	---	8	0.3	100.0	97.4	97.4	0.0	2.6	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*EMERGENCY FUND, BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	---	7	2.1	87.4	84.0	82.7	1.3	0.0	3.4	12.6	3.8	3.9	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	
*ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION, FWS	115.612	2	4.2	93.6	21.1	19.5	1.5	59.5	13.0	6.4	5.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	3.0	0.9	
*ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION, FWS	115.612	8	0.3	99.6	98.4	75.4	23.0	1.2	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	
*ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINANT EVALUATION, FWS	115.607	8	8.6	96.9	52.6	9.5	43.2	4.7	39.5	3.1	1.0	0.1	0.1	1.5	9.0	0.5	
*FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION	---	8	0.7	78.1	40.3	18.8	21.6	26.4	11.3	21.9	0.1	1.5	0.5	19.7	0.1	0.0	
*FISH RESTORATION (OJ), FWS	115.605	8	1.0	100.0	77.1	46.6	30.5	10.3	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*FISHERY RESEARCH INFORMATION, FWS	115.604	8	10.5	42.6	11.6	11.6	0.0	0.0	6.8	24.2	57.4	14.8	4.4	21.7	13.3	0.0	
*GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, LBR	---	7	2.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.5	25.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, LBR	---	8	9.9	100.0	18.9	0.0	18.9	36.5	44.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS, LBR	---	7	6.9	83.3	4.2	0.7	3.5	36.6	42.5	16.7	2.7	10.1	0.3	3.1	0.0	0.5	
*GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS, LBR	---	8	5.3	60.3	1.5	0.1	1.4	42.3	16.6	39.7	0.0	21.8	0.3	15.9	9.7	1.9	
*IRRIGATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM LOANS, LBR	115.501	5	7.9	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	95.7	0.0	0.0	46.5	49.3	3.0	0.9	
*IRRIGATION SYSTEMS REHAB & BETTERMENT, LBR	115.502	5	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	3.0	0.0	
*J.F. KENNEDY CTR. FOR PERFORMING ARTS, NPS	---	8	3.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR	---	7	231.3	39.0	23.9	22.8	1.2	14.4	0.6	61.0	18.5	0.4	2.5	44.6	1.0	2.8	
*LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND, BOR	---	8	36.2	97.6	90.6	44.1	46.6	5.2	1.9	2.4	0.1	1.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	
*LOAN PROGRAM, BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	---	8	0.2	98.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.5	20.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MIGRATORY BIRD BANDING & DATA ANALYSIS, FWS	115.606	8	3.5	100.0	100.0	40.7	59.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MIGRATORY BIRD CONSERVATION ACCOUNT	---	8	5.4	72.0	37.0	40.9	16.1	7.9	7.1	28.0	0.1	9.3	2.5	15.1	0.9	0.1	
*MISC. PERMANENT APPROPRIATIONS, NPS	---	8	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	94.4	3.0	5.5	
*MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS, NPS	---	8	1.2	87.8	45.6	44.2	1.4	36.2	6.0	12.2	2.2	2.2	1.6	5.4	0.0	1.3	
*NATIONAL HISTORIC LANDMARKS, HCRS	115.414	8	0.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES, HCRS	115.416	8	1.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, LBR	---	7	36.2	51.1	15.2	14.9	0.3	32.6	3.4	48.9	2.6	31.3	4.6	9.5	0.1	0.7	
*OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, LBR	---	8	36.3	42.6	9.0	8.9	0.2	29.4	4.1	57.4	3.2	35.8	4.1	13.4	0.0	0.9	
*OPERATION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM	---	8	335.0	59.4	46.0	37.3	8.7	9.5	3.9	40.6	6.4	5.7	5.8	12.6	1.7	8.3	
*OUTDOOR RECREATION-AQUIST, DEV & PLG, HCRS	115.400	2	396.1	61.6	31.8	19.6	12.2	21.1	8.6	38.4	7.9	4.6	10.8	9.1	1.6	4.5	
*PLANNING, DEV. & OPER. OF REC. FAC., NPS	---	8	13.7	19.8	13.5	9.9	3.7	4.8	1.4	80.2	4.6	11.9	19.2	12.0	3.8	28.7	
*PROCEEDS FROM SALES, WATER RES. DEV. PROJ.	---	8	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	99.5	0.0	69.4	2.2	0.0	27.9	0.1	
*RECLAMATION TRUST FUNDS	---	7	8.6	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.6	0.0	87.4	0.0	21.2	0.0	66.2	0.0	0.0	
*RECLAMATION TRUST FUNDS	---	8	0.4	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	92.9	0.0	56.0	3.9	33.0	0.0	0.0	
*RECREATIONAL & FISH & WILDLIFE FACILITIES	---	7	6.7	70.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	70.2	29.7	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.4	0.0	28.6	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFOA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES			NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED		LESS		TOTALLY RURAL		
									ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA		ADJA	ADJA
					TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE		NON-	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA
									CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT
-41L DOLS-															
-----PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----															
POPULATION, 1978			3/	72.0	46.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.0	1.2 2.2
*RECREATIONAL & FISH & WILDLIFE FACILITIES		8	0.2	70.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	70.2	29.7	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.4	0.0 28.5
*RESOURCE MANAGEMENT, FWS		8	126.0	59.3	37.1	27.5	9.6	12.0	10.2	40.7	6.5	5.0	6.1	14.6	2.4 6.2
*ROAD CONSTRUCTION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE		7	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	11.5	0.0 86.4
*ROAD CONSTRUCTION, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE		8	1.4	79.9	73.4	0.9	72.5	5.1	1.3	20.1	0.0	1.4	4.7	5.5	0.0 8.5
\$ & E. ADV. COUNCIL-HISTORIC PRESERVATION		8	1.4	100.0	100.0	97.3	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
\$ & E. DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION		8	0.1	100.0	97.0	97.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
\$ & E. HCS		8	11.3	100.0	84.2	74.4	9.8	5.7	10.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
\$ & E. OFFICE WATER RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY		8	28.1	79.5	53.7	48.2	5.4	14.4	11.4	20.5	13.1	3.7	0.0	3.8	0.0 0.0
*SMALL RECLAMATION PROJECTS, LBR	115.503	5	23.0	84.3	31.5	31.5	0.0	43.8	9.0	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	14.8	0.0 0.0
*SPORT FISH TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, FWS	115.608	8	1.1	17.1	6.0	1.6	4.4	6.7	4.4	82.9	22.8	5.8	14.7	37.5	1.9 0.2
*UPPER COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT		7	65.6	55.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.9	19.1	45.0	0.4	7.6	0.7	18.2	0.0 18.1
*UPPER COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT		8	16.3	55.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.5	18.8	44.8	0.4	7.5	1.1	17.9	0.0 17.9
*WILDLIFE RESEARCH INFORMATION, FWS	115.610	8	14.2	65.2	50.6	0.3	42.2	7.9	6.7	34.8	12.3	5.3	0.4	16.4	0.0 0.5
*WILDLIFE RESTORATION, FWS	115.611	8	2.2	59.9	87.7	59.5	28.3	8.9	3.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
*WILDLIFE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, FWS	115.609	8	0.3	45.6	1.0	0.0	1.0	23.9	19.9	54.4	10.4	9.1	5.6	21.6	2.5 5.2
OTHER PROGRAMS		--	0.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
DEPARTMENT OF STATE		--	16.5	84.3	33.1	33.1	0.0	34.7	16.5	15.7	4.4	4.9	3.6	1.1	1.6 0.9
CONSTRUCTION, IB & WC		8	6.1	98.6	87.0	87.0	0.0	8.1	3.7	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5 0.0
\$ & E. IB & WC		8	18.3	75.7	1.0	1.0	0.0	50.6	24.1	24.3	7.1	7.7	5.8	1.4	2.2 0.0
OTHER PROGRAMS		--	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
OTHER AGENCIES		--	8.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
COMMUNITY RESOURCES		--	94254.2	72.5	39.6	29.1	10.5	24.4	8.6	27.5	5.4	3.7	6.0	7.2	1.7 3.5
BUSINESS ASSISTANCE		--	6176.0	53.1	26.9	18.3	7.7	16.3	10.8	46.9	7.2	7.3	10.4	14.2	2.7 5.2
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		--	1208.4	23.0	4.0	0.3	3.8	9.1	10.6	76.2	14.1	16.4	16.4	20.0	3.1 6.2
BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS, FHMA	110.422	0	1198.8	23.0	4.1	0.3	3.7	9.1	10.6	76.2	14.1	16.5	16.4	20.0	3.1 6.2
INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT GRANTS, FHMA	110.424	2	9.6	15.2	2.6	0.6	2.0	7.7	4.9	84.8	13.5	5.3	23.8	25.5	9.5 7.1
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE		--	360.3	80.8	60.2	50.6	9.6	16.0	4.5	19.2	5.2	3.3	2.6	4.8	0.5 2.7
ECON DEV-BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT ASST, EDA	111.301	0	78.4	96.6	92.0	77.3	15.5	2.7	1.2	3.4	1.7	1.1	0.0	0.6	0.0 0.0
ECON DEV-BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT ASST, EDA	111.301	5	89.7	60.5	34.8	29.6	5.2	21.8	3.9	39.5	13.2	7.1	3.7	4.9	1.1 9.6
ECON DEV-TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE, EDA	111.309	0	6.2	51.9	32.2	21.5	10.7	0.0	19.7	40.1	22.6	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
ECON DEV-TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE, EDA	111.309	2	14.7	96.9	80.9	70.9	10.0	16.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0 0.0
ECON DEV-TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE, EDA	111.309	5	56.3	86.7	66.1	53.4	14.7	9.8	0.8	13.3	5.3	1.8	4.6	1.6	0.0 0.2
ECON DEV-TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE, EDA	111.309	7	2.8	79.1	50.4	39.7	10.7	27.6	1.1	20.9	7.0	3.2	8.2	2.5	0.0 0.0
*FISHERY VESSEL OBLIGATION GUARANTEES, NMFS	111.415	0	65.6	67.9	30.0	20.0	9.2	32.1	5.8	32.1	2.3	6.0	2.1	17.2	1.5 3.9
MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE, OMBE	111.000	2	39.2	94.2	77.8	73.3	4.5	14.3	2.1	5.8	2.3	1.3	0.2	1.5	0.1 0.4
MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE, OMBE	111.000	7	15.8	90.1	63.7	62.9	0.9	24.5	9.8	1.9	0.4	0.1	0.0	1.0	0.0 0.5
MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE, OMBE	111.000	8	9.5	100.0	97.6	97.6	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
OTHER PROGRAMS		--	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION		--	4608.0	58.6	29.1	20.5	8.6	18.2	11.4	41.4	5.6	5.3	9.4	13.3	2.7 5.1
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL LOANS	159.025	0	1.6	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL LOANS	159.025	5	1.7	72.1	16.3	10.7	5.6	26.5	29.3	27.9	17.0	0.0	0.0	10.9	0.0 0.0
BASE CLOSING ECONOMIC INJURY LOANS	159.020	0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	79.4	20.6	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
BASE CLOSING ECONOMIC INJURY LOANS	159.020	5	0.2	43.0	63.8	63.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.2	31.9	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
CONSUMER PROTECTION LOANS	159.017	0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 100.2
CONSUMER PROTECTION LOANS	159.017	5	1.5	53.0	51.2	51.2	0.0	2.7	0.0	46.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.3	35.9 0.0

6 SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES					NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	LESS URBANIZED	TOTAL	URBANIZED	LESS URBANIZED	TOTAL			
				TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE					ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA		
											SCENT	SCENT	SCENT	SCENT	SCENT		
POPULATION, 1978				3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2
DISPLACED BUSINESS LOANS	59-001	0	1.9	76.6	42.6	0.0	42.6	38.3	3.6	23.4	0.0	13.1	0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	
DISPLACED BUSINESS LOANS	59-001	5	12.8	93.3	61.4	53.3	8.1	26.9	5.0	6.7	2.6	3.7	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	
ECONOMIC DISLOCATION LOANS	59-027	5	4.4	64.2	17.3	8.2	9.1	32.4	14.5	35.8	10.7	3.6	7.6	12.5	0.7	0.0	
ECONOMIC INJURY DISASTER LOANS	59-002	0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.5	0.0	73.5	
ECONOMIC INJURY DISASTER LOANS	59-002	5	48.4	47.4	19.4	14.3	5.1	18.8	9.2	52.6	10.9	11.7	7.3	15.7	2.9	4.1	
ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY LOANS	59-003	0	32.3	65.3	34.0	23.1	10.9	22.2	9.1	34.7	5.6	6.0	5.3	13.3	9.5	4.0	
ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY LOANS	59-003	5	54.0	83.1	48.2	36.8	11.4	24.7	10.1	16.9	3.9	3.0	3.3	3.9	0.8	2.9	
EMERGENCY ENERGY SHORTAGE LOANS	59-022	0	0.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EMERGENCY ENERGY SHORTAGE LOANS	59-022	5	0.7	100.0	63.1	0.0	63.1	36.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HANDICAPPED ASSISTANCE LOANS	59-021	0	1.3	44.7	1.7	1.7	0.0	11.2	31.9	55.3	6.8	14.7	14.5	13.6	5.6	0.0	
HANDICAPPED ASSISTANCE LOANS	59-021	5	20.3	70.8	34.1	21.7	12.4	21.8	14.8	29.2	5.5	9.6	4.3	7.9	1.4	0.6	
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH LOANS	59-010	0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH LOANS	59-010	5	4.2	51.2	0.9	0.9	0.0	37.6	12.8	48.8	11.8	27.9	4.9	4.2	0.0	0.0	
PHYSICAL DISASTER LOANS	59-008	5	1292.4	40.0	13.5	8.1	5.4	11.5	15.1	60.0	3.6	4.8	16.5	19.6	6.5	9.1	
REGULATORY-OTHER LOANS	59-020	5	1.7	59.5	53.6	53.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.7	0.0	0.0	28.8	0.0	0.0	
S & E, SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	59-030	0	191.4	97.8	78.4	71.8	6.5	15.0	4.5	2.2	0.7	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.9	
SMALL BUSINESS ENERGY LOANS	59-030	5	4.8	45.4	9.9	2.8	7.1	19.9	15.9	54.6	9.5	12.9	10.5	11.1	0.0	10.7	
SMALL BUSINESS ENERGY LOANS	59-030	5	13.4	77.5	43.8	29.5	14.3	25.4	8.3	22.5	3.4	3.9	0.3	14.3	0.6	0.0	
SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT COMPANIES	59-011	5	108.4	98.1	74.9	62.0	12.9	19.9	3.3	1.9	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	
SMALL BUSINESS LOANS	59-012	0	2534.8	68.6	28.8	19.0	9.7	21.2	10.6	39.4	7.0	6.1	7.9	12.8	1.4	4.1	
SMALL BUSINESS LOANS	59-012	5	281.1	79.7	46.7	32.8	13.8	22.5	10.5	20.3	6.6	2.9	4.2	4.1	1.0	1.5	
STATE AND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LOANS	59-013	0	29.4	72.4	55.9	43.5	12.4	12.4	4.1	27.6	2.3	3.8	4.6	8.7	0.4	7.0	
STATE AND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LOANS	59-013	5	39.8	74.3	55.7	50.7	5.0	14.6	4.0	25.7	3.2	7.7	3.7	7.3	0.7	3.9	
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL LOANS	59-024	0	8.5	86.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.6	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL LOANS	59-024	5	4.0	73.6	8.9	8.9	0.0	38.8	34.0	26.4	0.0	3.8	0.0	21.7	0.9	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	59-024	--	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
COMMUNITY FACILITIES	59-024	--	12576.0	37.8	15.6	9.6	6.0	13.6	8.6	62.2	9.2	4.9	14.0	16.5	4.9	12.7	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	59-024	--	8231.1	22.4	5.6	0.5	5.1	7.3	9.4	77.6	8.6	4.9	18.4	21.1	6.9	17.7	
COMMUNITY FACILITIES LOANS, FHMA	10-423	0	233.0	27.9	7.5	1.4	6.1	9.7	10.7	72.1	7.5	5.3	21.2	22.9	4.3	10.4	
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FACILITIES GRTS, FHMA	11-300	2	4.7	26.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.9	73.9	0.0	0.0	31.6	23.0	9.9	9.5	
RURAL ELECTRIC LOANS & LN. GUARANTEES, REA	10-850	0	6429.7	19.9	4.5	0.2	4.3	6.1	9.4	80.1	7.0	4.5	18.6	22.2	7.2	19.8	
RURAL TELEPHONE BANK LOANS, REA	10-852	5	130.8	39.4	11.6	0.0	11.6	18.1	9.7	68.6	12.6	0.9	13.8	14.5	10.5	8.2	
RURAL TELEPHONE LNS. & LN. GUARANTEES, REA	10-851	0	249.9	12.3	1.7	0.0	1.7	4.8	5.8	87.7	6.0	10.2	13.4	23.4	11.6	23.2	
WATER & SEWER GRTS-APPALACHIAN SUPP, FHMA	23-002	2	23.3	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	0.1	88.9	17.7	4.1	15.4	31.1	8.5	12.1	
WATER & SEWER GRTS-FOUR CORNERS SUPP, FHMA	38-003	2	5.3	19.3	3.8	0.0	3.8	4.3	11.2	80.7	9.1	0.4	18.6	27.4	12.6	12.5	
WATER AND WASTE DISP. SYSTEMS LOANS, FHMA	10-418	0	873.2	38.9	13.9	2.5	11.3	14.4	10.6	61.1	14.9	6.3	17.9	12.6	3.4	6.1	
WATER AND WASTE DISPOSAL GRANTS, FHMA	10-418	2	281.1	23.7	5.5	1.7	3.7	9.6	8.7	76.3	9.1	7.4	19.7	21.4	5.9	12.8	
OTHER PROGRAMS	59-024	--	0.0	67.8	40.7	34.4	6.3	13.9	13.2	32.2	2.7	9.3	0.0	20.2	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	59-024	--	226.6	53.6	25.2	20.9	4.3	20.8	7.6	46.4	6.5	6.8	10.3	16.2	1.2	5.4	
ECON DEV-GRANTS & LOANS-PUBLIC WORKS, EDA	11-300	2	190.9	55.9	26.9	22.5	4.4	21.3	7.7	44.1	7.1	6.2	9.3	15.4	1.2	4.9	
ECON DEV-PUBLIC WORKS IMPACT PROJECTS, EDA	11-304	2	27.7	37.2	13.0	9.3	3.6	17.4	6.9	62.8	2.8	10.8	17.2	21.7	1.0	9.3	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	59-024	--	4118.3	67.9	35.2	27.2	8.0	25.8	6.9	32.1	10.4	4.8	5.4	7.3	1.2	3.0	
CONST GRTS FOR WASTEWATER TREAT WORKS, VMM	66-418	2	4118.3	67.9	35.2	27.2	8.0	25.8	6.9	32.1	10.4	4.8	5.4	7.3	1.2	3.0	
COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT	59-024	--	9338.4	79.8	39.8	35.1	4.7	33.8	6.1	20.2	3.6	2.5	5.4	5.1	2.3	1.3	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	59-024	--	235.4	42.6	23.6	20.3	3.3	10.9	8.0	57.4	7.4	7.6	13.3	18.4	3.2	7.4	
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEV. PROGRAM, ASCS	23-001	4	0.1	26.5	0.6	0.0	0.6	15.4	10.6	73.5	7.9	7.5	9.1	11.0	19.4	10.5	
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	23-001	2	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	75.4	24.6	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES						NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							
				TOTAL	GREATER		MEDIUM		LESS	TOTAL	URBANIZED		LESS		TOTALLY RURAL		
					TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE	TOTAL	URBANIZED		NON-	TOTAL	URBANIZED	NON-			
																ADJA-	ADJA-
				PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL													
POPULATION, 1978				72.0	40.8	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.	
RURAL DEVELOPMENT PLANNING GRANTS, FMHA	:10.426	2	4.9	44.4	7.1	4.5	2.6	21.3	16.0	55.6	12.5	11.5	6.9	16.4	4.6	3.9	
S & E. FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION	: --	8	209.5	30.1	19.1	15.7	3.4	11.0	7.9	62.0	7.8	8.0	14.4	20.1	5.4	A.2	
S & E. RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMIN.	: --	8	28.7	89.5	74.0	71.4	2.6	7.4	8.1	10.5	1.2	3.1	2.9	2.1	0.5	0.5	
OTHER PROGRAMS	: --	--	8.0	8.0	0.0	8.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	8.0	
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE				251.5	68.7	36.5	32.2	4.3	22.7	9.5	31.3	8.9	7.4	4.0	7.4	1.8	1.8
*APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYS., O/S	:23.003	2	0.2	188.8	188.0	188.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
APPALACHIAN HOUSING TECHNICAL ASST., O/S	:23.005	2	8.2	42.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.8	0.0	57.2	57.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*APPALACHIAN LOCAL DEV. DISTRICT ASST., O/S	:23.009	2	5.4	20.0	3.8	1.2	2.7	10.4	5.8	80.0	7.5	2.9	21.3	19.6	9.1	19.6	
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL ECON DEV PROGRAM, ARC	:23.001	2	10.8	42.9	1.4	1.4	0.0	37.9	3.2	57.1	13.2	0.0	21.7	15.9	3.9	2.3	
*APPALACHIAN STATE HOUSING TECH. ASST., O/S	:23.005	2	7.2	92.3	16.2	12.1	4.2	56.5	19.6	7.7	5.2	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*APPALACHIAN STATE RES. TECH AST & DEMO, O/S	:23.011	2	14.2	68.2	20.8	7.7	13.2	35.2	12.1	31.8	17.0	1.9	6.3	4.5	0.6	1.5	
*APPL SPEC TRANS REL PLAN-RES & DEMO, O/S	:23.017	2	0.3	69.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	69.0	0.0	31.0	0.0	31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*COASTAL ENERGY IMPACT FUND	: --	8	14.1	91.2	71.6	70.1	1.5	14.9	4.6	8.8	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, ARC	: --	2	2.8	89.5	34.6	21.4	13.2	53.5	1.3	10.5	3.1	1.3	0.0	4.6	0.1	1.5	
ECON DEV-DISTRICT OPERATIONAL ASST., EDA	:11.306	2	0.6	54.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	21.0	45.6	10.5	5.3	7.9	15.8	0.0	6.2	
ECON DEV-SPECIAL ECON DEV & ADJ ASST., EDA	:11.307	2	84.6	74.6	47.0	42.5	4.5	18.2	9.4	25.4	8.1	9.7	1.3	3.3	2.5	0.4	
ECON DEV-STATE & LOCAL ECON DEV PLNGG, EDA	:11.305	2	16.3	89.3	45.4	38.5	6.8	36.7	7.2	10.7	5.3	2.6	1.2	1.5	0.0	0.0	
ECON DEV-SUPPORT FOR PLANNING ORGS, EDA	:11.302	2	21.0	29.7	2.4	2.4	0.7	14.5	12.3	70.3	11.8	14.6	8.7	23.6	2.4	9.1	
ECON DEV-TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, EDA	:11.303	2	14.5	81.6	53.4	47.7	5.7	19.8	7.7	19.0	4.9	5.3	3.3	3.2	0.3	2.1	
ECON DEV-TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, EDA	:11.303	7	0.9	98.8	98.0	98.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	1.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	
MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS, ARC	: --	2	4.5	180.0	99.9	97.1	2.8	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*REGIONAL ACTION PLANNING COMMISSIONS, O/S	: --	2	46.2	63.5	24.8	23.3	3.5	23.1	13.6	36.5	12.1	9.7	2.5	11.1	9.4	9.6	
REGIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	: --	2	5.3	31.5	5.6	5.6	0.0	22.4	3.9	68.1	9.9	17.0	14.7	13.0	10.9	2.5	
S & E. APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION	: --	8	2.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	: --	--	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE				58.8	74.8	20.8	16.8	4.0	36.0	17.2	26.0	8.9	2.0	7.7	5.0	1.7	0.4
APPALACHIAN HEALTH PROGRAMS, HSA	:23.004	2	13.7	46.6	11.9	4.1	7.8	28.4	14.4	53.4	16.0	6.4	10.9	11.7	6.6	1.7	
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	: --	8	8.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT, OS	:23.001	2	12.0	45.3	20.9	14.7	6.2	22.0	22.4	34.7	7.9	2.3	19.2	2.6	0.7	1.0	
APPALACHIAN SUPP. TO FED. GRANT-IN-AID, OE	:23.002	2	7.7	77.2	10.7	3.9	6.9	37.2	29.2	22.8	0.0	0.0	9.8	13.1	0.0	0.0	
APPALACHIAN VOC ED-FAC & OPERATIONS, OE	:23.012	2	28.0	93.4	26.4	26.4	0.0	54.8	12.2	6.6	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PUBLIC WORKS & ECON DEV-REG DEV PROG, OE	:11.300	7	4.6	83.4	25.3	25.3	0.0	41.6	16.5	16.6	16.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT				4219.8	84.8	53.4	44.8	8.6	23.6	7.7	15.2	4.2	2.7	2.9	4.1	9.3	1.0
COMM DEV BLOCK GRNTS-ENTITLEMENT, H	:14.218	1	2749.5	93.8	57.2	46.9	10.3	27.2	9.3	6.2	2.4	1.7	0.8	1.3	0.0	0.9	
COMM DEV BLOCK GRNTS-SMALL CITIES/DISCRY, H	:14.219	2	669.4	33.5	13.6	8.1	5.5	11.9	8.0	66.5	15.4	9.2	13.7	20.1	2.0	6.1	
S & E. DEPT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT	: --	8	523.8	99.8	85.3	85.2	2.2	13.5	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANTS, CPD	:14.221	2	286.2	99.3	50.2	39.4	10.8	34.9	4.3	10.7	4.3	2.0	2.7	1.6	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR				3.8	35.5	19.4	18.6	0.7	7.2	8.9	64.5	5.2	4.3	6.7	32.4	4.5	11.5
APPALACHIAN MINE AREA RESTORATION, BM	:23.010	1	0.1	180.0	180.0	180.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	: --	8	0.6	180.0	180.0	95.3	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT, MCBS	:23.001	2	1.7	31.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.8	15.4	68.8	11.5	4.5	2.6	36.0	9.9	4.3	
REGIONAL ACTION PLANNING COMMISSION, MCBS	: --	2	1.3	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	94.5	0.0	6.4	15.6	45.5	3.0	27.3	
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				235.8	31.3	1.9	0.4	1.5	15.2	14.2	68.7	8.2	6.5	11.2	17.4	18.9	6.6
DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYSTEM-APPL REG, FMHA	:23.003	1	234.7	31.3	1.8	0.4	1.4	15.3	14.2	68.7	8.2	6.2	11.2	17.4	19.0	6.6	
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS	: --	2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	: --	2	0.3	43.8	43.8	0.0	43.8	0.0	0.0	56.2	56.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER PROGRAMS	: --	--	0.8	180.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES					NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES						
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	LESS	TOTAL	URBANIZED	LESS	TOTAL		
				TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE			ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA		
									CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	
				-MIL DOLS-	PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL											
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY	--	--	4333.1	80.3	30.1	28.8	1.2	46.6	3.7	19.7	2.1	1.6	7.2	4.4	3.4	0.9
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY FUND	--	7	4333.1	80.3	30.1	28.8	1.2	46.6	3.7	19.7	2.1	1.6	7.2	4.4	3.4	0.9
OTHER AGENCIES	--	--	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HOUSING	--	--	25326.9	78.2	41.4	29.3	12.1	28.5	8.3	21.8	4.8	3.3	5.1	6.0	0.9	1.9
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	--	--	3703.1	24.6	5.2	1.5	3.7	11.5	7.4	76.0	11.5	6.6	20.3	25.1	4.2	8.2
ABOVE MODERATE INCOME HOUSING LOANS, FMHA	10-429	0	11.9	8.8	2.6	0.0	2.6	4.6	1.6	91.2	9.4	8.6	24.4	30.2	10.5	8.1
FARM LABOR HOUSING LOANS, FMHA	10-405	0	36.3	53.5	3.1	0.1	2.9	33.6	16.9	46.5	15.9	4.7	7.0	15.7	1.9	2.2
FARM LABOR HOUSING GRANTS, FMHA	10-405	2	32.4	60.4	3.1	0.0	3.1	35.2	22.2	39.6	15.2	2.2	0.9	18.0	3.2	0.9
LOW TO MODERATE INCOME HOUSING LOANS, FMHA	10-410	0	2716.7	23.3	5.8	1.3	3.7	10.6	7.6	76.7	11.7	7.1	19.6	25.5	4.3	8.5
RURAL HOUSING SITE LOANS, FMHA	10-411	0	3.0	23.8	6.8	0.0	0.0	14.1	9.7	76.2	16.4	2.4	2.4	18.5	2.9	11.6
RURAL HOUSING SUPERVISORY ASST GRTS, FMHA	--	2	2.4	37.5	4.2	4.2	0.0	16.7	16.7	62.5	4.2	8.3	8.3	20.8	4.2	16.7
RURAL RENTAL HOUSING LOANS, FMHA	10-415	0	864.0	24.1	6.9	2.3	3.7	12.4	5.7	75.9	10.8	5.1	23.7	24.8	3.8	7.7
RURAL SELF-HELP HOUSING TECH. ASST., FMHA	10-420	2	5.5	34.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.7	9.6	65.7	22.0	5.8	13.4	11.5	2.7	10.3
SELF-HELP HOUSING LAND DEV. LOANS, FMHA	10-411	5	1.7	31.3	5.1	5.1	0.0	19.3	7.0	68.7	13.3	25.9	16.1	13.4	0.0	0.0
VERY LOW-INCOME HOUSING REPAIR GRTS., FMHA	10-417	2	17.5	14.2	2.5	0.4	2.1	5.7	6.0	85.8	7.3	3.7	23.1	29.1	6.0	16.7
VERY LOW-INCOME HOUSING REPAIR LOANS, FMHA	10-417	5	11.8	12.6	1.4	0.5	0.9	5.5	5.7	87.4	7.1	4.8	21.2	32.7	7.0	14.5
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT	--	--	21501.1	87.5	47.5	33.9	13.6	31.5	8.5	12.5	3.7	2.7	2.5	2.6	0.4	0.7
LOAN INSURANCE-MAJOR HOME IMPROVEMENTS, H	14-108	0	0.2	73.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	73.5	0.0	26.5	9.8	10.6	0.0	6.1	3.0	0.7
MOBILE HOME LOAN INSURANCE, H	14-110	0	378.1	51.4	16.2	5.9	18.3	20.5	14.8	48.6	8.3	6.7	14.2	11.9	2.9	4.5
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-CONDOMINIUM HOMES, H	14-133	0	72.1	98.2	61.9	56.1	5.8	30.3	6.3	1.8	1.0	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.1
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-EXPERIMENTAL HOMES, H	14-152	0	0.1	100.0	17.5	17.5	0.0	82.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-HOME COINSURANCE PRG., H	14-161	0	113.5	93.0	71.7	43.1	28.6	14.6	6.7	7.0	6.1	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.7
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-HOMES, H	14-117	0	9600.4	88.8	42.4	29.3	13.1	37.8	8.5	11.2	3.3	3.0	1.8	2.2	0.3	0.4
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-MOBILE HOME PARKS, H	14-127	0	1.4	32.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.8	0.0	67.2	0.0	67.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-NURSING HOMES, H	14-129	0	91.4	73.2	44.3	32.5	11.8	24.0	5.0	26.8	17.0	2.4	0.0	1.8	3.5	1.9
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-REDEVELOPMENT HOMES, H	14-122	0	0.4	73.3	49.0	20.6	28.3	24.3	0.0	26.7	0.0	10.5	7.9	0.0	0.0	8.3
MTGE INS-CONDOMINIUM HOUSING PROJECTS, H	14-112	0	9.6	97.9	68.0	63.4	4.6	29.9	0.0	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
MTGE INS-GRADUATED PAYMENT MORTGAGE PRG., H	14-159	0	5119.0	93.4	57.4	46.3	17.1	27.2	8.8	6.6	3.1	1.7	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.1
MTGE INS-HOMES FOR SPECIAL CREDIT RISKS, H	14-140	0	1.6	99.3	75.2	44.8	30.4	20.7	3.4	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	1.0	0.7
MTGE INS-HOMES IN DECLINING AREAS, H	14-123	0	144.6	97.0	73.3	64.9	8.5	18.9	4.8	3.0	1.6	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0
MTGE INS-HOMES IN OUTLYING AREAS, H	14-121	0	1.0	57.9	9.8	9.0	0.0	48.9	0.0	42.1	0.0	3.1	5.3	12.1	2.6	19.1
MTGE INS-LAND DEVELOPMENT & NEW COMMUN, H	14-125	0	0.8	50.6	50.6	6.0	50.6	0.0	0.0	49.4	0.0	27.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
MTGE INS-LOW/MOD INC HOUS-MKT INT RATE, H	14-137	0	1755.5	78.7	44.2	29.9	14.3	27.0	7.6	21.3	5.3	3.0	5.9	5.6	0.3	1.1
MTGE INS-LOW/MODERATE INCOME FAM HOMES, H	14-120	0	636.2	95.0	49.0	38.5	10.6	38.6	7.3	5.0	2.3	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.1	0.1
MTGE INS-LOWER INCOME FAMILY HOMES, H	14-105	0	439.9	88.6	19.3	12.2	7.0	53.5	15.8	11.4	4.4	3.3	1.7	1.6	0.1	0.4
MTGE INS-MULTIFAMILY SUPPLEMENTAL LOANS, H	14-151	0	2.0	100.0	9.7	9.7	0.0	90.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MTGE INS-PROJECTS WITH 2-YR OPER LOSSES, H	--	0	1.2	190.0	7.8	7.8	0.0	92.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MTGE INS-PURCHASE/REFIN EXISTING HOUS, H	14-155	0	420.0	98.3	92.9	84.2	8.7	3.5	2.0	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
MTGE INS-REDEVELOPMENT HOUSING PROJECTS, H	14-139	0	67.4	100.0	83.9	83.9	0.0	11.3	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MTGE INS-RENTAL HOUSING ASST PROJECTS, H	14-103	0	11.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MTGE INS-RENTAL HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY, H	14-138	0	57.1	83.7	50.2	45.1	5.0	30.2	3.4	16.3	2.8	0.0	7.1	6.3	0.0	0.0
MTGE INS-RENTAL HOUSING PROJECTS, H	14-134	0	5.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MTGE INS-SALES TYPE CO-OP PROJECTS, H	14-115	0	2.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PROPERTY IMPROVEMENT LOAN INSURANCE, H	14-142	0	1390.5	77.8	50.4	33.7	16.7	19.2	8.3	22.2	5.0	3.8	4.7	6.1	0.8	1.7
PUBLIC HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, H	14-146	2	1008.1	75.9	42.6	37.0	5.6	25.1	8.2	24.1	5.5	3.5	5.7	6.8	0.6	2.3
SECTION 312 REHABILITATION LOANS, CPD	14-220	5	161.1	86.5	40.1	33.7	6.4	32.3	14.1	13.5	6.0	2.8	2.0	2.3	0.3	0.2
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.1	100.0	58.6	0.0	58.6	0.0	41.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFOA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES				NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES											
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	LESS URBANIZED	TOTAL	URBANIZED	LESS URBANIZED	TOTAL						
															TOTAL		URBANIZED		LESS URBANIZED	
															ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA
				COPE	FRINGE			CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT							
				PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
				CENT																
</																				

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	: CPDA : NUMBER : 1/	: TYPE : CODE : 2/	: UNITED : STATES	: TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES			NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							: TOTALLY : RURAL				
					: GREATER	: MEDIUM	: LESSER	: TOTAL	: URBANIZED	: URBANIZED	: LESS	: TOTALLY							
													: ADJA	: ADJA		: ADJA	: ADJA	: ADJA	: ADJA
-----PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----																			
POPULATION, 1978	: --	--	3/	72.0	48.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.4			
INDIAN LOANS-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, BIA	:15-124	2	5.0	18.7	5.3	4.1	1.2	13.4	0.0	81.3	8.6	3.7	23.3	32.0	0.0	13.7			
INDIAN SOCIAL SERVICES-CHILD WELFARE, BIA	:15-103	4	15.7	28.2	2.3	2.3	0.0	16.9	1.0	79.0	3.9	4.4	3.7	22.9	1.2	43.6			
INDIAN SOCIAL SERVICES-GENERAL ASST., BIA	:15-113	4	53.0	13.9	1.3	1.1	0.2	8.7	3.9	86.1	3.6	3.6	6.9	21.2	1.0	49.5			
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, INDIAN IRRIG SYS	: --	8	9.4	37.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	28.4	63.0	16.5	14.4	8.4	28.0	0.0	2.8			
OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS, BIA	: --	2	6.8	84.1	73.5	73.5	0.0	10.1	0.6	15.9	0.0	5.3	1.2	3.0	0.0	6.0			
OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS, BIA	: --	4	45.7	13.0	6.8	6.4	0.4	3.0	3.2	87.0	10.2	1.7	21.9	16.2	6.6	38.3			
OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS, BIA	: --	7	100.0	31.5	21.2	21.0	0.2	7.0	2.5	68.5	6.2	6.3	14.2	18.9	1.0	21.1			
OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS, BIA	: --	8	500.6	28.6	12.6	10.3	2.3	11.0	4.3	71.4	7.0	8.2	11.5	22.5	1.1	21.2			
POWER SYSTEMS, INDIAN IRRIGATION PROJECT	: --	8	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	37.2	25.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.2			
REVOLVING FUND FOR LOANS, BIA	: --	5	0.2	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	90.1	60.1	0.0	0.0	29.9	0.0	0.0			
ROAD CONSTRUCTION, BIA	: --	8	77.9	25.0	18.0	18.0	0.0	5.1	2.7	74.2	6.0	7.1	8.9	28.2	1.3	22.7			
S & E, NAVAJO-HOPI RELOCATION COMMISSION	: --	8	4.5	99.8	98.0	98.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
OTHER PROGRAMS	: --	--	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	: --	--	143.2	32.6	0.7	7.4	1.3	18.5	5.5	67.4	6.6	4.8	10.2	15.2	1.6	29.0			
*INDIAN EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING PROGRAM, ETA	:17-234	1	143.2	32.6	0.7	7.4	1.3	18.5	5.5	67.4	6.6	4.8	10.2	15.2	1.6	29.0			
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	: --	--	3.8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
INDIAN TRUST ACCOUNTING	: --	8	3.8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
REVENUE SHARING	: --	--	4549.4	73.0	42.7	33.0	9.7	22.1	8.2	27.0	6.7	3.9	6.2	6.8	1.1	2.4			
DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY	: --	--	4549.4	73.0	42.7	33.0	9.7	22.1	8.2	27.0	6.7	3.9	6.2	6.8	1.1	2.4			
ANTIRECESSION FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FUND	: --	1	0.3	51.0	19.9	16.3	3.5	27.3	3.8	49.0	12.4	2.8	12.0	10.2	0.3	11.3			
STATE AND LOCAL GOV FISCAL ASST TRUST FUND	: --	1	4549.1	73.0	42.7	33.0	9.7	22.1	8.2	27.0	6.7	3.9	6.2	6.8	1.1	2.4			
TRANSPORTATION	: --	--	17791.6	81.6	53.4	43.9	9.5	28.8	7.3	18.4	4.1	2.7	3.4	5.1	1.1	1.9			
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	: --	--	11.1	34.0	22.1	21.0	0.3	11.0	1.7	65.2	5.3	3.0	21.2	30.8	1.4	3.5			
*FEDERAL AID HIGHWAYS (TRUST FUND)	: --	8	10.4	36.1	22.6	22.3	0.3	11.7	1.0	63.9	5.6	3.2	22.5	27.4	1.5	3.7			
HIGHLAND SCENIC HIGHWAY, FS	: --	8	0.6	13.7	13.7	13.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.3	0.0	0.0			
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	: --	--	2044.4	98.8	74.3	60.1	14.3	28.1	4.3	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0			
ADMIN. OF CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION FUND, MAR	:11-500	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
AID TO STATE MARINE SCHOOLS, MAR	:11-506	2	5.3	72.5	35.6	35.1	0.5	14.7	22.3	27.5	9.6	0.0	0.0	17.9	0.0	0.0			
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, MAR	: --	7	7.2	100.0	35.8	10.4	25.4	63.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
DOMESTIC WATERBORNE TRANSPORT SYSTEMS, MAR	:11-509	8	0.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
*FEDERAL SHIP FINANCING FUND, MAR	: --	7	31.5	100.0	91.4	91.4	0.0	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
*FEDERAL SHIP FINANCING GUARANTEES, MAR	:11-502	0	1204.8	98.0	68.2	55.1	13.1	22.9	6.9	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
*MARITIME WAR RISK INSURANCE, MAR	:11-503	6	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
OPER. OF U.S. MERCHANT MARINE ACADEMY, MAR	:11-507	8	9.7	97.1	95.0	37.4	57.6	1.6	0.4	2.9	2.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0			
OPERATIONS AND TRAINING, MAR	: --	8	30.8	99.9	84.8	81.1	3.8	9.6	5.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
PORTS & INTERMODAL TRANSPORT SYSTEMS, MAR	:11-501	8	0.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
*RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, MAR	: --	7	10.1	98.6	78.3	28.6	49.8	16.1	4.1	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
*SEA GRANT SUPPORT, NOAA	:11-417	2	0.3	56.6	14.9	14.9	0.0	0.5	41.1	43.4	43.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
*SHIP CONSTRUCT-DIFFERENTIAL SUBSIDIES, MAR	:11-508	4	413.0	100.0	84.4	59.2	25.2	15.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

---CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES				NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES						
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	LESS		TOTAL	URBANIZED	URBANIZED	LESS	TOTAL
					TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE		ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA
									CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT
					-----PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----										
POPULATION, 1978			3/		72.0	40.0	26.7	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	1.2
*SHIP OPERATING-DIFFERENTIAL SUBSIDIES, MAR	:11-504	4		320.0	100.0	82.3	78.5	3.8	17.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*SPECIAL STUDIES, SERVICES, & PROJECTS, MAR	: --	7		0.4	100.0	100.0	38.4	61.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*VESSEL OPERATIONS REVOLVING FUND, MAR	: --	7		1.9	100.0	73.9	73.9	0.0	26.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OTHER PROGRAMS	: --	--		0.1	100.0	4.3	4.2	0.1	95.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	: --	--		1.2	52.4	6.5	2.1	4.5	1.7	44.1	47.6	0.0	34.5	0.0	4.9
*FEDERAL AID HIGHWAYS (TRUST FUND)	: --	8		1.2	52.4	6.5	2.1	4.5	1.7	44.1	47.6	0.0	34.5	0.0	4.9
DEPARTMENT OF STATE	: --	--		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OTHER PROGRAMS	: --	--		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	: --	--		15110.9	78.6	49.8	40.9	9.0	20.9	7.9	21.4	4.7	3.2	4.0	1.2
ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION & IMPROVE., CG	: --	7		164.3	98.2	85.6	78.6	7.0	11.6	1.0	1.8	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0
AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT AID PROGRAM, FAA	:20-102	2		591.0	73.9	35.9	36.5	5.4	25.3	12.7	26.1	3.9	7.6	2.7	0.8
AIRPORT PLANNING GRANT PROGRAM, FAA	:20-103	2		33.7	63.0	16.0	15.5	1.4	12.7	34.2	36.2	1.1	13.1	2.7	14.6
ALASKA RAILROAD REVOLVING FUND, FRA	: --	8		35.8	55.9	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	55.5	44.1	0.0	19.5	0.0	15.4
ALTERATION OF BRIDGES, CG	: --	7		4.7	95.0	95.8	2.5	93.3	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS, UMTA	:20-500	1		0.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS, UMTA	:20-500	2		1915.2	99.5	96.2	88.9	1.4	8.7	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1
COAST GUARD GENERAL GIFT FUND	: --	7		0.2	100.0	94.2	94.2	0.0	1.5	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COAST GUARD SUPPLY FUND	: --	7		40.8	91.8	81.6	77.1	4.5	7.9	2.3	8.2	2.1	0.9	1.8	3.0
COAST GUARD YARD FUND	: --	8		27.1	98.6	94.5	90.5	4.0	3.9	0.2	1.4	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.1
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, TSC	: --	7		61.4	99.0	88.8	79.6	4.4	10.7	0.3	1.0	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.0
CONSTRUCTION, UMA, FAA	: --	7		4.3	85.7	28.7	9.7	12.0	42.4	14.7	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
FACILITIES & EQUIP., A/A TRUST FUND, FAA	: --	7		278.0	89.6	49.0	26.5	22.5	25.3	15.3	10.4	3.5	3.4	0.8	1.7
FACILITIES, ENGINEERING & DEVELOPMENT, FAA	: --	7		13.2	100.0	45.3	32.4	13.0	28.9	25.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FORMULA GRANTS PROGRAM, UMTA	:20-507	1		1139.9	97.9	68.2	66.1	2.1	24.7	5.0	2.1	1.9	0.0	0.2	0.0
HIGHWAY BEAUTIFICATION, FHWA	:20-214	1		12.8	58.6	5.2	2.7	2.5	39.3	14.0	41.4	17.6	4.7	7.0	6.8
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION, FHWA	:20-205	1		2.1	92.2	90.8	90.8	0.0	1.2	0.2	7.8	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION, FHWA	:20-205	1		7126.6	63.0	31.0	20.1	18.9	23.1	9.0	37.0	7.7	4.8	7.8	10.0
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION, FHWA	:20-205	2		20.9	100.0	95.4	72.4	22.6	3.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HIGHWAY STUDIES, FHWA	:20-205	1		24.9	95.9	67.0	59.5	4.4	16.9	11.2	4.1	2.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
MANAGERIAL TRAINING PROGRAM, UMTA	:20-503	2		0.4	98.0	60.1	55.1	5.0	35.3	2.7	2.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTHEAST CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	: --	7		435.9	99.7	99.3	88.0	11.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0
NORTHEAST CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	: --	8		1.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR, FRA	: --	7		1.7	95.3	44.8	36.4	8.4	49.9	0.6	4.7	0.0	0.2	0.1	2.1
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR, FRA	: --	8		5.3	100.0	99.8	99.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, D.O.T.	: --	7		0.2	100.0	100.0	96.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, D.O.T.	: --	8		7.3	100.0	91.8	86.2	5.6	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OPERATING EXPENSES, CG	: --	8		757.0	79.7	53.1	48.1	5.0	19.1	7.5	20.3	5.9	2.1	0.7	10.3
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, UMA, FAA	: --	8		21.2	96.9	72.6	7.0	65.6	19.8	4.5	3.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
OPERATIONS, FAA	: --	8		1691.9	93.3	50.1	36.3	13.7	31.9	11.3	6.7	1.2	3.0	0.2	1.5
RAIL SERVICE ASSISTANCE, FRA	: --	7		6.7	96.4	96.1	66.4	29.7	0.2	0.0	3.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
RAIL SERVICE ASSISTANCE, FRA	: --	8		2.4	100.0	97.6	94.9	2.6	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RAIL SERVICE OPERATING, UMTA	: --	2		0.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RAILROAD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, EBA	: --	7		38.9	96.4	40.7	27.3	13.3	43.3	12.4	3.6	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.4
RAILROAD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, FRA	: --	8		4.7	100.0	75.3	75.3	0.0	0.0	24.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RAILROAD SAFETY, FRA	: --	2		1.5	82.1	69.2	69.2	0.0	12.5	0.4	17.9	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
RAILROAD SAFETY, FRA	: --	7		9.0	99.7	87.4	19.8	67.7	12.1	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
RAILROAD SAFETY, FRA	: --	8		9.9	99.0	69.4	62.7	6.8	26.4	3.2	1.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PRGS., TRANSPORTATION	: --	2		2.5	87.6	10.9	10.2	0.6	56.1	20.5	12.4	7.9	3.5	0.7	0.3
RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PRGS., TRANSPORTATION	: --	7		6.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PRGS., TRANSPORTATION	: --	8		7.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RESEARCH, DEV., TESTING, & EVALUATION, CG	: --	7		17.8	98.5	49.2	37.9	11.3	19.8	29.4	1.5	1.1	0.4	0.0	0.0

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION: FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES			NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES			TOTAL			LESS			TOTALLY								
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	URBANIZED	TOTAL	URBANIZED	URBANIZED	TOTAL	URBANIZED	URBANIZED									
																	TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE	TOTAL	URBANIZED	URBANIZED	TOTAL	URBANIZED	URBANIZED
POPULATION, 1978																									
RESEARCH, ENG. & DEV. A/A TRUST FUND, FAA	7	62.2	99.8	45.5	23.0	22.6	13.4	40.9	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
RETIRED PAY, CG	4	176.8	71.6	39.2	27.9	11.3	20.5	11.9	28.4	7.6	3.9	4.3	7.8	1.0	3.7										
S & E OFFICE OF SECRETARY, TRANSPORTATION	7	1.8	100.0	100.0	99.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
S & E OFFICE OF SECRETARY, TRANSPORTATION	8	21.9	100.0	100.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
SERVICE METHODS AND DEMONSTRATION, UMTA	20-506	2	115.4	99.3	89.3	84.9	4.4	7.6	2.4	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ST LAURENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	8	7.6	5.9	5.9	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.1	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
TECHNICAL STUDIES PROGRAM, UMTA	20-505	2	67.5	98.4	55.9	51.4	4.5	17.5	25.0	1.6	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1									
TRAFFIC AND HIGHWAY SAFETY, NHTSA	7	35.7	97.0	53.6	31.3	22.3	34.0	18.2	2.2	1.8	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
TRAFFIC AND HIGHWAY SAFETY, NHTSA	8	49.0	100.0	99.9	99.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING, RESEARCH, & DEV.	7	6.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING, RESEARCH, & DEV.	8	1.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
UNIVERSITY RESEARCH AND TRAINING, UMTA	20-502	2	2.0	87.6	54.6	48.1	6.4	30.2	3.1	12.2	9.7	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, O/S, TRANSPORTATION	7	24.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, O/S, TRANSPORTATION	8	10.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	0.0	85.2	48.7	0.0	40.7	18.5	25.9	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.8	0.0	0.0										
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMIN.																									
AEROSPACE EDUCATION SERVICES PROJECT	343-001	8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	99.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
CONST OF FAC-AIR TRANSPORTATION	7	57.2	90.5	52.9	58.8	2.1	37.6	0.8	9.5	1.4	0.0	7.8	0.0	0.3	0.0										
RES & PROG MAN-AIR TRANSPORTATION	8	163.0	98.1	55.8	40.7	15.1	29.4	12.8	1.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
RESEARCH & DEV-AIR TRANSPORTATION	7	299.2	97.8	74.8	70.5	4.3	21.9	1.1	2.2	1.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0										
OTHER AGENCIES																									
	--	184.3	100.0	97.2	96.9	8.2	2.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
HUMAN RESOURCES																									
	--	18600.5	82.6	44.8	37.8	7.0	27.4	10.4	17.4	6.0	3.0	2.6	3.8	0.5	1.5										
EDUCATION AND SOCIAL SERVICES																									
	--	5033.6	71.7	39.5	33.0	6.5	22.7	9.6	28.3	6.5	4.4	5.8	7.3	1.1	3.0										
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE																									
	--	5205.6	71.2	38.6	32.0	6.6	23.0	9.7	28.8	6.6	4.4	5.9	7.3	1.2	3.5										
ADOPTION OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAM, OS	113-652	2	5.0	96.3	55.4	55.4	0.0	10.3	30.6	3.7	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION, OE	113-420	2	2.8	100.0	93.3	75.1	18.2	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
AMERICAN PRINTING HOUSE FOR BLIND-GRTS, OS	--	2	3.9	100.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
BILINGUAL EDUCATION, OE	113-403	2	142.6	82.1	50.7	45.4	5.4	23.4	0.0	17.9	6.6	2.7	3.6	3.0	0.3	1.0									
BILINGUAL VOCATIONAL TRAINING, OE	113-558	2	2.7	100.0	100.0	91.8	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
CAPACITY BLDG FOR STAT ACTIVITY IN SEA, OE	113-922	2	1.9	74.2	12.9	10.2	2.7	39.6	21.7	25.8	5.8	7.2	3.7	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
CHILD ABUSE & NEGLECT PREV & TREATMENT, OS	113-628	2	18.7	87.1	33.9	40.9	3.1	33.4	9.8	12.9	6.3	4.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.5								
CHILD DEVELOPMENT-HEAD START, OS	113-608	2	640.8	67.6	35.1	29.1	6.8	21.6	18.9	32.4	7.1	5.7	7.1	9.1	0.6	2.9									
CHILD WELFARE RESEARCH AND DEMO GRANTS, OS	113-608	2	15.9	92.8	74.3	67.2	7.1	11.7	6.7	7.4	2.3	1.0	0.8	3.7	0.0	0.3									
CHILD WELFARE SERVICES TRAINING GRANTS, OS	113-648	2	8.1	89.7	49.6	47.3	2.3	25.8	14.3	10.3	4.5	3.0	0.2	1.9	0.0	0.0									
CIVIL RIGHTS TECHNICAL ASST. AND TRNG., OE	113-405	2	36.9	86.6	48.5	45.8	2.7	29.6	8.5	13.4	6.6	5.4	0.3	0.8	0.2	0.9									
DEV DISABILITIES-SPECIAL PROJECTS, OS	113-631	2	12.1	92.8	72.1	69.5	2.6	18.7	10.8	7.2	4.1	0.7	0.0	2.1	0.8	0.4									
DEV DISABILITIES-UNIV AFFILIATED PROGS, OS	113-632	2	7.4	74.3	47.8	37.3	18.5	16.2	10.3	25.7	14.9	6.2	0.0	2.8	0.0	2.6									
EDUC DEPRIVED CHILDREN-LEA'S, OE	113-428	1	2371.1	64.6	36.5	30.6	6.5	19.8	8.3	35.4	6.7	4.3	8.7	9.8	2.0	4.0									
EDUC DEPRIVED CHILDREN-STATE ADMIN, OE	113-430	1	42.1	88.2	16.4	16.4	0.0	53.8	18.0	11.8	8.0	2.1	0.5	1.1	0.0	0.0									
EDUCATION FOR THE HANDICAPPED, OE	--	8	0.1	100.0	88.8	84.3	4.5	0.0	11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
EDUCATIONAL BROADCASTING FACILITIES, OE	111-550	2	2.7	93.2	72.1	71.7	0.4	16.7	4.5	6.8	0.0	0.9	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0									
ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUC-EVALUATION, OE	--	7	14.1	99.7	66.1	55.3	4.8	21.3	18.3	8.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION, OE	113-522	2	3.1	84.6	44.7	39.6	5.1	22.2	17.7	15.4	1.7	6.6	2.8	2.7	0.8	1.7									
ESAA-BASIC GRTS TO LOCAL EDUC AGENCIES, OE	113-525	2	137.0	84.7	47.5	40.2	7.4	29.1	8.1	15.3	3.4	2.6	3.4	3.5	0.6	1.6									
ESAA-BILINGUAL EDUCATION PROJECTS, OE	113-403	2	8.6	93.5	54.4	64.4	0.0	17.2	12.0	6.5	2.6	1.5	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESAA-EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION, OE	113-530	2	6.4	100.0	100.0	95.3	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESAA-EVALUATION, OE	--	7	1.2	100.0	99.6	99.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESAA-MAGNET SCHOOLS AND UNIVERSITIES, OE	113-589	2	23.7	92.4	78.4	71.9	6.5	12.2	1.9	7.6	6.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7								
ESAA-PILOT PROGRAMS (SPEC PRGS & PRJS), OE	113-526	2	31.9	81.8	47.7	45.2	2.6	26.3	7.7	18.2	1.2	1.5	4.1	5.9	1.8	3.6									
ESAA-SPECIAL PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS, OE	113-529	2	17.2	91.4	54.8	52.0	2.7	32.5	4.1	8.6	2.3	2.1	1.7	2.6	0.0	0.0									

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

10

--CONTINUED

79

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES				NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							TOTALLY			
					GREATER		MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	URBANIZED	LESS	URBANIZED	LESS	URBANIZED	RURAL			
					CORE		FRINGE			NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-			
					CENT		CENT	CENT	CENT	ADJA-	ADJA-	ADJA-	ADJA-	ADJA-	ADJA-	ADJA-			
				-MIL DOLS-				--PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL--											
POPULATION, 1974	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2			
HEALTH FINANCING RES. DEMO AND EXPR. HCFA	113.766	2	16.4	43.3	10.7	7.2	3.5	25.7	6.9	56.7	15.5	15.3	8.4	12.4	0.8	4.3			
HEALTH MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATION DEV. ASH	113.256	2	23.8	92.9	49.6	29.5	20.1	32.7	10.5	7.1	0.6	2.4	3.1	1.0	0.0	0.0			
HEALTH PLANNING-HEALTH SYSTEMS AGCIES. HRA	113.294	2	105.0	85.6	42.1	36.0	6.1	34.4	9.0	14.4	4.0	5.0	1.2	3.0	0.3	0.7			
HEALTH SERVICES. HSA	--	8	32.2	74.9	67.8	67.2	0.6	4.4	2.7	25.1	7.3	6.3	3.2	4.7	1.4	2.1			
HOME HEALTH SERVICES GRANT PROGRAM. HSA	113.888	2	6.0	63.8	23.9	19.7	4.2	31.1	8.8	36.2	6.2	5.0	6.3	10.9	3.7	7.9			
HYPERTENSION PROGRAM. HSA	113.882	2	9.5	88.9	23.8	21.0	2.9	46.6	10.5	11.1	8.5	1.5	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.9			
INFLUENZA PROGRAMS. CDC	113.979	2	5.8	89.0	31.7	29.3	2.4	41.3	16.0	11.0	8.4	2.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.9			
MEDICAL FAC CONSTRUCTION-FORMULA GRTS. HRA	113.887	2	41.2	87.1	73.8	21.3	52.4	6.8	6.5	12.9	8.1	0.7	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.7			
MENT HLTH-COMMUNITY MENTAL HLTH CYS. ADMHA	113.295	2	49.3	71.5	28.2	25.2	3.0	23.3	20.0	28.5	8.5	12.7	1.3	5.9	0.1	0.0			
MENT HLTH-HOSPITAL IMPROVEMENT GRTS. ADMHA	113.237	2	1.8	49.0	27.7	16.5	11.2	16.5	4.7	51.0	16.5	8.9	14.6	11.0	0.0	0.0			
MENT HLTH-HOSPITAL STAFF DEV GRANTS. ADMHA	113.238	2	4.6	80.6	46.2	29.5	25.8	29.5	4.9	19.4	3.8	5.0	8.9	1.1	0.5	0.9			
MENTAL HEALTH-CHILDREN'S SERVICES. ADMHA	113.295	2	17.9	73.3	36.6	35.5	1.2	26.4	10.3	26.7	5.5	10.1	2.8	8.2	0.0	0.9			
MIGRANT HEALTH GRANTS. HSA	113.246	2	31.0	61.7	10.1	9.4	0.7	24.9	26.7	38.3	22.1	3.8	4.6	6.5	1.2	0.2			
NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE CORPS. HSA	113.258	4	6.7	130.1	98.7	44.6	54.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
OPERATION OF COMM MENTAL HLTH CTRS. ADMHA	113.295	2	234.6	69.4	36.4	28.2	8.2	23.0	10.1	30.6	9.8	8.0	5.6	6.9	0.0	0.4			
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS REVIEW ORGS. HCFA	113.776	3	109.1	87.9	41.8	31.4	10.5	36.5	9.6	12.1	6.1	5.3	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0			
RESIDENCY TRNG-GEN DENTISTRY PRACTICE. HRA	113.897	2	4.5	99.6	68.2	62.4	5.8	30.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
S & E. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION	--	8	236.4	100.1	91.4	88.5	2.8	0.0	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
SPFC ALCOHOLISM PROJ-IMPL UNIF ACT. ADMHA	113.290	2	9.5	76.2	18.9	18.9	0.0	33.4	24.0	23.8	12.0	5.8	2.0	3.9	0.0	0.0			
STATE HEALTH PLANNING & DEV. AGENCIES. HRA	113.293	2	28.3	84.6	15.0	13.3	2.0	52.8	16.8	15.4	7.5	4.1	1.2	2.6	0.0	0.0			
STATE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNITS. HCFA	113.775	1	14.1	80.5	58.4	49.2	4.3	16.9	5.0	19.5	6.1	2.5	4.5	4.5	0.6	1.4			
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME INFO PRG. HSA	113.292	2	2.8	95.2	52.0	50.2	1.8	33.2	10.1	4.8	2.6	0.7	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.9			
URBAN RAT CONTROL. CDC	113.267	2	12.3	100.0	48.3	45.5	2.8	46.7	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT	--	--	142.8	100.0	75.1	60.8	14.3	19.7	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
MORTGAGE INSURANCE-HOSPITALS. H	114.128	0	142.8	100.0	75.1	60.8	14.3	19.7	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	--	--	191.8	90.2	57.1	51.5	5.8	27.0	6.2	9.8	2.3	2.5	1.7	2.1	0.3	1.1			
*COAL MINE HEALTH AND SAFETY GRANTS. MSHA	117.600	2	6.0	90.4	8.9	8.3	0.6	68.9	12.6	9.6	0.0	0.4	6.8	3.2	0.0	0.0			
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND. OSHA	--	8	3.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
*MINE HLTH & SAFETY COUNSELING & T/A. MSHA	117.601	7	11.7	97.7	79.6	37.0	42.6	0.8	17.3	2.3	1.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0			
*MINE HLTH & SAFETY COUNSELING & T/A. MSHA	117.601	8	1.1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
*MINE HLTH & SAFETY EDUCATION & TRNG. MSHA	117.602	8	2.1	55.8	24.4	10.9	13.5	27.0	4.4	44.2	22.6	3.7	4.3	13.5	0.0	0.0			
OPERATIONAL GRANTS. OSHA	117.500	2	30.9	78.8	9.7	4.3	5.4	57.5	11.6	21.2	8.3	5.5	1.1	3.2	0.0	3.2			
*S & E. MINE SAFETY & HEALTH ADMINISTRATION	--	8	18.5	59.5	7.3	6.4	0.9	48.7	3.5	40.5	4.1	13.6	6.7	10.9	0.0	5.2			
S & E. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH ADMIN.	--	8	114.5	98.3	78.3	75.8	2.5	16.4	3.7	1.7	0.0	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.0			
STATISTICAL OPERATIONAL GRANTS. OSHA	117.500	2	3.7	73.8	20.7	16.8	3.9	39.2	13.9	26.2	8.8	6.5	5.3	3.4	0.0	2.2			
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	--	--	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
S & E. MINE SAFETY & HEALTH ADMINISTRATION	--	8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
NATIONAL COMM-INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF THE CHILD	--	--	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
HEALTH SERVICES. HSA	--	8	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
PRESTUDENT'S COMM.-ACCIDENT AT THREE MILE IS.	--	--	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
OTHER AGENCIES	--	--	9.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES				NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							
					TOTAL	GREATER		TOTAL	URBANIZED		LESS		TOTALLY			
						CORE	FRINGE		ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA		
															CENT	CENT
				-MIL DOLS-	PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL											
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2
TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT	--	--		10298.4	88.0	46.1	39.0	7.2	30.8	11.1	12.0	5.9	2.0	1.0	2.0	0.4
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	--	--		110.2	22.6	15.7	11.8	4.0	4.0	2.9	77.4	10.4	7.9	9.1	25.3	3.1
*EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ASSISTANCE	--	7		110.2	22.6	15.7	11.8	4.0	4.0	2.9	77.4	10.4	7.9	9.1	25.3	3.1
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	--	--		108.4	46.6	15.1	14.3	0.8	17.6	13.9	53.4	9.7	10.7	10.0	15.5	1.7
*EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ASSISTANCE	--	7		99.4	40.7	16.1	15.2	0.9	18.2	14.4	51.3	10.1	9.9	9.3	16.3	1.1
*EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ASSISTANCE	--	8		9.0	23.5	3.9	3.9	0.0	10.9	8.6	76.5	6.2	18.9	18.4	7.3	8.9
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	--	--		10064.7	89.2	46.9	39.6	7.3	31.3	11.1	10.9	5.8	1.8	0.8	1.6	0.5
*COMPR EMPLOYMENT TRG PRGS (INCEP-GIFT), ETA	117.232	1		0.2	100.0	80.4	80.4	0.0	19.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*COMPR EMPLOYMENT TRG PRGS (INCEP-GIFT), ETA	117.232	2		0.3	100.0	78.6	78.6	0.0	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*COMPREHENSIVE ENPL. & TRNG. PROGRAMS, ETA	117.232	1		7544.4	90.6	46.1	38.4	7.8	32.8	11.6	9.4	6.1	1.6	0.4	1.0	0.4
*CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, ETA	--	7		3.3	93.1	52.9	42.8	10.1	31.6	8.7	6.9	2.3	2.0	0.0	2.6	0.0
DISASTER RELIEF (ICWF), ETA	--	1		3.9	62.1	16.0	16.0	0.0	25.7	19.6	37.9	7.3	30.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ASSISTANCE	--	8		1.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
EMPLOYMENT SERVICES (CETA-LMI), ETA	117.207	1		678.1	72.0	36.9	29.8	7.1	23.9	11.2	28.0	7.5	5.9	5.0	7.9	0.6
*JOB CORPS, ETA	117.211	2		329.1	83.7	40.4	43.1	5.4	28.4	6.9	16.3	1.6	1.7	3.3	3.7	0.5
PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION, ETA	--	8		106.2	100.0	100.0	95.9	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS, ETA	117.233	2		26.8	97.0	70.8	49.5	21.3	21.8	4.4	3.0	2.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
*SPECIAL PRGS/ACTIVITIES-DISADVANTAGED, ETA	117.243	2		151.1	96.1	71.5	67.2	4.3	19.6	5.0	3.9	2.1	1.0	0.3	0.4	0.0
*SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS, ETA	117.232	1		617.2	90.0	45.9	40.7	5.2	31.9	12.2	10.0	5.6	1.5	0.5	1.4	0.0
WORK INCENTIVES	--	8		0.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*YOUTH COMMUNITY CONSERVATION & IMPROV, ETA	117.232	1		70.6	88.3	45.7	37.9	7.8	30.7	11.8	11.7	5.0	2.5	1.3	1.7	0.1
*YOUTH EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING PROGRAMS, ETA	117.232	1		433.5	89.3	44.3	37.9	6.4	33.2	11.8	10.7	5.7	1.7	0.8	1.4	0.1
*YOUTH INCENTIVE ENTITLEMENT PILOT PRJ, ETA	117.241	1		82.7	100.0	85.6	85.3	0.2	13.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ACTION	--	--		1.3	79.7	29.8	28.9	0.8	13.1	36.8	20.3	20.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YOUTH EMPLOYMENT SUPPORT	--	2		1.3	79.5	29.3	28.8	0.6	13.1	37.1	20.5	20.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--		0.0	98.8	86.3	50.2	36.1	10.2	2.3	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	2.5
COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	--	--		5.7	72.0	23.7	23.7	0.0	31.8	16.5	28.0	0.0	12.8	0.0	8.3	0.0
COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING	117.232	2		0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
EMPLOYMENT & TRNG RESEARCH & DEV PROJECTS	117.232	2		1.6	100.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YOUTH COMMUNITY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT	117.239	2		3.6	66.2	3.5	3.5	0.0	36.2	26.5	33.6	0.0	20.5	0.0	13.3	0.0
YOUTH COMMUNITY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT	117.239	7		0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
INCOME SECURITY	--	--		171163.0	71.3	40.6	30.9	9.7	22.2	8.4	28.7	7.1	3.6	7.0	7.3	1.3
MEDICAL AND HOSPITAL BENEFITS	--	--		40880.3	71.1	42.8	34.2	8.6	20.5	7.7	28.9	6.8	3.3	7.3	7.6	1.3
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	--	--		40880.3	71.1	42.8	34.2	8.6	20.5	7.7	28.9	6.8	3.3	7.3	7.6	1.3
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, HCFA	113.714	1		12750.7	70.9	44.8	37.8	6.9	18.9	7.3	29.1	6.2	3.5	7.2	8.0	1.5
MEDICARE-HOSPITAL INSURANCE, HCFA	113.773	4		19850.2	70.1	40.9	31.4	9.5	21.2	8.1	29.9	7.1	3.3	7.7	7.7	1.3
MEDICARE-STATE SURVEY CERTIFICATION, HCFA	113.777	4		62.0	72.2	27.7	23.4	4.3	37.6	10.9	27.0	7.4	4.0	5.6	7.3	1.1
MEDICARE-SUPP. MEDICAL INSURANCE, HCFA	113.774	4		8225.4	73.8	44.8	35.5	9.2	21.4	7.7	26.2	6.8	3.0	6.5	6.5	1.2

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES				NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES						TOTALLY RURAL				
					GREATER				MEDIUM		LESSER		TOTAL			URBANIZED		LESS	
					TOTAL				CORE		FRINGE		TOTAL			URBANIZED		LESS	
					TOTAL				CORE		FRINGE		TOTAL			URBANIZED		LESS	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	FOIA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES			NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	LESS			TOTAL			
									URBANIZED	URBANIZED	RURAL	URBANIZED	URBANIZED	RURAL	
					TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE		ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	
									CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	
-MIL DOLS- -----PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----															
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.8	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.8	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	2.2
*SOCIAL SECURITY-SURVIVORS INSURANCE, SSA	113.805	4	21957.1	69.8	38.3	26.8	11.5	22.7	8.8	38.2	7.4	3.9	7.4	7.7	2.4
*SPECIAL BENEFITS FOR DISABLED COAL MINERS	--	8	7.1	83.1	58.1	1.1	57.8	21.1	3.9	16.9	6.2	1.9	1.7	7.1	0.0
*SPECIAL BENEFITS-DISABLED COAL MINERS, SSA	113.806	4	983.8	42.4	11.5	5.8	5.7	22.9	8.0	57.6	11.7	5.8	11.9	21.0	2.9
SS PAY STATES FOR DETERMIN OF DISABIL, SSA	--	7	384.4	91.1	17.8	12.5	4.4	59.8	15.2	8.9	7.3	8.9	8.3	8.4	8.0
VOC REHAB SVC SS DISABIL BENEFICIARIES, OS	113.625	4	182.2	91.3	18.2	15.2	3.8	56.8	16.3	8.7	6.8	1.1	8.4	8.4	8.0
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	--	--	1345.1	92.5	36.8	38.7	5.3	46.7	9.8	7.5	3.5	3.6	8.1	8.2	8.0
*COAL MINE WORKERS' COMP (BLACK LUNG), ESA	117.387	4	583.1	98.4	15.7	12.7	3.8	66.3	8.4	9.6	3.3	5.5	8.2	8.4	8.1
*FEDERAL EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION, ESA	--	4	788.6	93.6	47.3	35.6	7.7	34.5	11.8	6.4	3.9	2.4	8.8	8.1	8.0
*LONGSHORE AND HARBOR WORKERS' COMP., ESA	117.382	4	2.1	93.8	83.8	83.0	8.8	18.8	8.8	7.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION	--	8	52.2	100.8	100.8	100.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
SPECIAL WORKERS' COMP EXPENSES (PERMANENT)	--	8	7.1	100.8	100.8	100.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	--	--	1.4	77.5	47.4	26.6	28.7	24.5	5.6	22.5	4.8	17.3	1.1	8.8	8.8
LIMITATION ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, SSA	--	7	1.4	77.5	47.4	26.6	28.7	24.5	5.6	22.5	4.8	17.3	1.1	8.8	8.8
RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD	--	--	4281.2	68.5	33.7	25.8	8.8	23.5	11.2	31.5	7.9	4.8	6.8	8.9	1.1
*RAILROAD RETIREMENT ACCOUNT	157.881	4	4281.2	68.5	33.7	25.8	8.8	23.5	11.2	31.5	7.9	4.8	6.8	8.9	1.1
OTHER AGENCIES	--	--	8.4	100.8	100.8	100.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
NATIONAL RESOURCES	--	--	176419.4	84.4	58.7	37.7	13.8	23.9	9.7	15.6	5.4	4.8	2.4	2.6	8.5
DEFENSE CONTRACTS	--	--	56342.8	91.2	68.3	46.8	13.6	23.2	7.7	8.8	4.8	2.8	1.8	1.1	8.5
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	--	--	56278.2	91.2	68.4	46.8	13.6	23.1	7.7	8.8	4.8	2.8	1.8	1.1	8.5
*MILITARY PRIME CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS	--	7	1432.9	65.5	27.9	17.4	18.5	29.8	8.6	34.5	14.1	13.9	3.2	1.3	8.4
*MILITARY PRIME ROPE CONTRACTS	--	7	8453.5	96.4	77.7	64.1	13.6	16.2	2.4	3.6	2.6	8.3	8.4	8.2	8.8
*MILITARY PRIME SERVICE CONTRACTS	--	7	18696.9	86.3	32.8	37.7	15.1	23.9	9.7	13.7	5.2	4.4	1.5	1.2	8.1
*MILITARY PRIME SUPPLY CONTRACTS	--	7	35694.9	92.5	59.9	46.6	13.3	24.3	8.3	7.5	3.5	1.2	8.9	1.3	8.3
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	--	--	63.8	100.8	8.3	8.3	8.0	96.5	3.1	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
*FUSION-ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	181.837	7	1.2	100.8	8.8	8.8	8.0	168.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
*WEAPONS-ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	--	7	62.6	100.8	8.3	8.3	8.0	96.4	3.2	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
DEFENSE PAYROLLS AND ADMINISTRATION	--	--	43983.4	78.8	38.9	19.1	11.8	32.5	15.3	21.2	8.3	7.4	2.5	2.2	8.5
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	--	--	43898.3	78.8	38.9	19.1	11.8	32.5	15.3	21.2	8.3	7.4	2.5	2.2	8.5
*CIVILIAN PAY	--	8	15977.8	83.5	35.8	28.8	14.9	35.9	11.9	16.5	9.0	3.8	1.8	1.5	8.2
*CIVIL DEFENSE-STATE & LOC SUPPORTING SYS EQ	112.321	2	3.1	88.9	24.4	21.1	3.3	47.3	17.2	11.1	8.4	1.4	8.1	1.2	8.8
*MILITARY ACTIVE DUTY PAY	--	8	15867.3	74.3	24.6	14.6	18.0	38.8	19.7	25.7	9.1	13.8	2.2	8.9	8.1
*MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	112.488	2	27.7	52.8	6.7	1.2	5.7	14.8	31.1	47.2	7.1	17.8	3.8	18.1	1.5
*MILITARY RESERVE AND NATIONAL GUARD PAY	--	8	2218.3	74.1	34.6	22.7	11.9	28.2	11.3	25.9	6.2	5.3	5.3	7.6	8.5
*MILITARY RETIRED PAY	--	8	9812.2	79.5	32.6	22.7	9.8	32.1	14.8	20.5	6.3	4.6	3.6	4.2	8.7
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	--	--	8.8	100.8	93.8	93.8	8.8	7.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	8.8	100.8	93.8	93.8	8.8	7.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES					NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES					TOTALLY RURAL		
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	URBANIZED	URBANIZED	URBANIZED					
													ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT		ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT
				-MIL DOLS-	-----PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----												
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.6	48.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.8	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2	
PRESIDENT'S COMM.-ACCIDENT AT THREE MILE IS.	--	--	1.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	--	8	1.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
OTHER AGENCIES	--	--	3.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ENERGY AND SPACE	--	--	16273.1	81.0	54.9	46.1	18.8	17.2	8.9	19.8	4.8	5.4	3.4	3.2	1.8	0.4	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	--	--	19.1	9.7	1.1	0.8	0.2	6.1	2.6	98.3	5.9	7.7	11.0	39.4	6.8	28.5	
ENERGY CONSERVATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (ENERGY), EPA	--	2	18.7	8.4	1.1	0.8	0.2	5.1	2.2	91.6	6.8	7.8	11.2	39.8	6.1	28.6	
	--	8	0.3	82.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.0	22.9	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	--	--	11788.6	75.4	49.5	27.8	21.7	19.3	6.6	24.6	6.2	7.3	4.8	4.2	2.5	0.3	
•BIOMASS-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH & TECH DEV	01.037	2	0.1	100.6	33.8	33.8	0.0	0.0	66.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•BIOMASS-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH & TECH DEV	01.037	7	22.1	92.9	78.9	46.3	32.6	6.1	8.0	7.1	2.1	0.0	4.3	0.4	0.0	0.3	
•COAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	01.037	2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
•COAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	01.037	7	1.6	100.0	82.1	23.3	58.8	12.6	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•COAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	01.037	2	11.5	96.9	88.8	88.7	0.1	2.7	5.4	3.1	1.5	1.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•COAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	01.037	7	516.6	98.3	56.8	40.4	16.5	32.9	0.6	9.7	2.2	5.9	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	
•CONSTRUCTION PLANNING AND DESIGN	--	7	2.3	94.6	68.4	33.2	35.3	22.5	3.6	5.4	2.8	1.4	0.3	0.9	0.0	0.0	
•COST OF WORK FOR OTHERS	--	7	41.1	37.0	13.2	1.5	11.7	20.5	5.4	63.0	26.6	0.5	0.1	6.1	29.6	0.0	
•FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMM-REGULATION	01.048	7	95.1	100.0	99.7	7.1	92.7	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•FISSION-ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	01.037	7	246.5	83.4	41.8	41.8	0.0	42.4	0.0	16.6	0.0	16.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•FISSION-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD & DIST	--	7	1561.4	18.5	2.8	2.8	0.1	15.7	0.0	81.5	24.8	27.6	0.3	28.8	0.0	0.0	
•FISSION-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH & TECH DEV	01.037	2	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•FISSION-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH & TECH DEV	01.037	7	1188.6	77.0	26.0	16.4	9.6	21.8	29.2	23.0	6.8	9.1	5.1	0.1	1.9	0.0	
•FUSION-ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	01.037	7	148.5	70.5	45.3	43.4	1.9	18.5	6.7	28.5	0.3	0.0	29.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•FUSION-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	01.037	7	344.4	81.4	46.2	38.8	7.4	31.3	3.9	18.6	13.8	0.1	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•GAS-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	01.037	7	38.9	65.1	32.2	19.0	13.3	31.6	1.4	34.9	11.0	20.8	0.9	0.0	0.5	1.6	
•GEOTHERMAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD & DIST	--	0	0.6	78.2	65.4	52.1	13.4	12.6	0.0	21.8	0.0	21.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•GEOTHERMAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-RES AND TECH DEV	01.037	2	8.4	100.0	100.0	92.5	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•GEOTHERMAL-ENERGY SUPPLY-RES AND TECH DEV	01.037	7	128.5	61.8	42.8	36.6	5.4	17.5	2.3	38.2	2.6	19.1	14.5	1.5	0.3	0.1	
•HYDROELECTRIC-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	--	2	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•HYDROELECTRIC-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	--	7	6.9	25.7	22.7	18.1	4.6	0.4	2.7	74.3	9.7	68.3	9.0	1.5	0.8	2.7	
•INDUSTRIAL-CONSERVATION	01.035	2	2.0	89.4	89.4	89.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.6	4.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0	0.0	
•INDUSTRIAL-CONSERVATION	01.035	7	22.5	86.6	60.9	37.9	23.1	17.6	8.7	13.4	4.3	5.9	0.7	2.4	0.8	0.0	
•MULTI/RESOURCE-BASIC SCIENCES	01.049	2	0.2	100.0	87.1	87.1	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•MULTI/RESOURCE-BASIC SCIENCES	01.049	7	421.2	88.5	85.7	19.3	64.4	3.7	1.1	11.5	3.9	0.2	7.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	
•MULTI/RESOURCE-ENERGY INFORMATION	--	7	61.3	93.5	86.5	17.4	69.1	6.5	0.5	6.5	6.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•MULTI/RESOURCE-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD & DIST	--	7	1.6	99.1	96.9	13.8	83.1	0.0	2.2	0.9	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•MULTI/RESOURCE-ENERGY SUPPLY-RES & TECH DEV	01.049	7	287.2	66.6	55.2	22.5	38.7	8.8	4.6	33.4	28.7	1.8	2.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	
•MULTI/RESOURCE-ENVIRONMENT	01.046	2	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•MULTI/RESOURCE-ENVIRONMENT	01.046	7	199.7	76.5	48.5	29.6	18.9	15.1	12.9	23.5	13.4	2.0	5.2	0.1	2.2	0.0	
•MULTI/RESOURCE-POLICY AND MANAGEMENT	--	7	14.1	94.7	86.3	61.3	25.6	7.9	0.5	5.3	3.9	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	
•MULTI/RESOURCE-REGULATION	01.048	2	3.9	96.2	73.6	73.6	6.1	21.7	0.8	3.8	0.4	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•MULTI/RESOURCE-REGULATION	01.048	7	91.1	98.2	94.0	23.3	78.7	1.7	2.6	1.8	1.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•MULTI/SECTOR-CONSERVATION	01.035	2	0.9	100.0	99.9	99.9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•MULTI/SECTOR-CONSERVATION	01.035	7	108.8	90.8	75.2	52.6	22.7	11.6	3.9	4.2	4.1	2.2	2.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	
•OTHER COSTS AND CREDITS	--	7	296.5	50.8	28.8	20.1	8.7	18.8	3.3	99.2	4.3	0.2	29.8	0.0	15.6	0.0	
•OTHER-POLICY AND MANAGEMENT	--	2	0.9	96.6	88.3	88.3	0.0	8.2	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	
•OTHER-POLICY AND MANAGEMENT	--	8	66.6	88.6	57.5	18.4	38.6	29.3	1.8	11.4	3.0	3.1	4.9	0.3	0.1	0.0	
•OTHER-POLICY AND MANAGEMENT	--	8	392.9	95.9	78.5	7.8	70.7	14.1	3.3	4.1	0.0	2.9	8.4	0.3	0.6	0.0	
•PETROLEUM-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	01.048	2	0.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
•PETROLEUM-EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	01.048	7	2153.5	100.0	99.9	51.0	48.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION: FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES				NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							TOTALLY RURAL		
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	LESS URBANIZED	TOTALLY RURAL							
												ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA		ADJA	ADJA
PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT				
POPULATION, 1978																		
*PETROLEUM-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	1	7	112.4	79.5	11.5	1.8	9.7	68.1	0.0	20.5	0.0	20.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*PETROLEUM-ENERGY SUPPLY-RES AND TECH DEV	181-037	7	71.1	58.4	18.8	15.9	2.9	37.5	2.0	41.6	1.5	38.2	1.7	0.2	0.0	0.0		
*POWER MARKETING-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD & DIST	1	7	403.2	66.0	17.7	7.5	10.2	31.2	17.1	34.0	4.7	3.8	18.9	4.9	1.0	0.6		
*POWER MARKETING-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD & DIST	1	8	85.4	84.2	75.5	46.6	28.9	3.8	4.9	15.0	3.7	3.6	3.4	2.9	0.1	2.1		
*RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL-CONSERVATION	181-035	2	0.3	94.6	94.6	94.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL-CONSERVATION	181-035	7	64.1	88.0	78.8	54.8	24.1	5.2	4.0	12.0	10.0	0.3	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.4		
*SOLAR-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	1	2	1.1	89.5	71.3	41.4	29.9	16.0	2.3	10.5	4.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5		
*SOLAR-ENERGY SUPPLY-PROD DEMO & DIST	1	7	129.4	95.1	69.6	46.4	23.2	23.7	1.8	4.9	2.0	0.7	1.9	0.3	0.0	0.0		
*SOLAR-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	181-037	2	0.5	74.7	53.0	63.0	0.0	11.7	0.0	25.3	0.0	0.0	25.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*SOLAR-ENERGY SUPPLY-RESEARCH AND TECH DEV	181-037	7	255.8	96.2	79.6	56.7	22.9	13.7	2.9	3.0	1.6	0.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*STATE/LOCAL-CONSERVATION	181-041	2	64.4	95.0	79.3	79.2	0.1	12.2	3.5	5.0	1.1	2.4	0.3	1.2	0.0	0.0		
*STATE/LOCAL-CONSERVATION	181-041	7	17.5	99.8	71.9	67.3	4.6	11.8	16.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*TRANSPORTATION-CONSERVATION	181-035	7	83.5	98.0	90.8	71.2	19.7	6.6	0.6	2.0	1.6	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0		
*UTILITIES-CONSERVATION	181-035	7	123.0	68.9	69.1	45.6	14.5	5.9	2.9	31.1	10.5	10.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.2		
*WEAPONS-ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES OTHER PROGRAMS	1	7	2130.2	77.1	27.8	21.4	6.4	37.5	11.8	22.9	0.7	4.7	7.4	0.0	10.0	0.0		
	1	--	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	1	--	7.8	32.6	16.3	14.0	2.2	11.8	4.0	68.0	6.5	7.6	7.3	17.9	0.0	28.7		
EMERGENCY ENERGY CONSERVATION SERVICES, OS	149-014	2	7.8	32.6	16.3	14.0	2.2	11.8	4.0	68.0	6.5	7.6	7.3	17.9	0.0	28.7		
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	1	--	206.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*EXPL OF NAT'L PETRO RESERVE IN ALASKA, GS OTHER PROGRAMS	1	8	206.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	1	--	0.0	99.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	1	--	0.4	51.7	28.6	28.6	0.0	10.3	12.9	48.3	24.9	2.4	0.0	12.4	0.0	0.6		
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION, FHWA	20-205	1	0.4	51.7	28.6	28.6	0.0	10.3	12.9	48.3	24.9	2.4	0.0	12.4	0.0	0.6		
COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	1	--	100.3	66.3	38.7	26.1	4.6	20.3	7.3	33.7	10.4	6.6	6.0	0.4	0.7	1.6		
EMERGENCY ENERGY CONSERVATION SERVICES EMERGENCY ENERGY CONSERVATION SERVICES	149-014 149-014	2 7	100.0 0.3	66.3 100.0	38.7 100.0	26.1 88.6	4.6 11.4	20.3 0.0	7.3 0.0	33.7 0.0	10.4 0.0	6.6 0.0	6.0 0.0	0.4 0.0	0.7 0.0	1.6 0.0		
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	1	--	2.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
ENERGY	1	--	2.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMIN.	1	--	4156.5	96.9	74.3	61.8	12.4	11.7	10.9	3.1	0.8	0.1	1.6	0.1	0.0	0.5		
AEROSPACE EDUCATION SERVICES PROJECT	143-001	0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	99.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
CONST OF FAC-SPACE FLIGHT	1	7	34.6	100.0	28.8	28.1	0.0	5.3	65.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
CONST OF FAC-SPACE SCIENCE, APPL & TECH	1	7	6.4	100.0	51.5	3.3	48.2	0.0	48.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
CONST OF FAC-SUPPORTING SPACE ACTIVITIES	1	7	42.3	70.5	69.4	41.8	27.6	16.5	9.7	4.5	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	3.3		
RES & PROG MAN-SPACE FLIGHT	1	8	351.8	70.1	55.0	40.7	15.1	29.4	12.0	1.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7		
RES & PROG MAN-SPACE SCIENCE, APPL & TECH	1	8	291.7	70.1	55.0	40.7	15.1	29.4	12.0	1.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7		
RES & PROG MAN-SUPPORTING SPACE ACTIVITIES	1	8	51.5	70.1	55.0	40.7	15.1	29.4	12.0	1.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7		
RESEARCH & DEV-SPACE FLIGHT	1	7	2093.3	95.9	75.7	73.4	1.8	5.3	14.9	4.1	0.6	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.2		
RESEARCH & DEV-SPACE SCIENCE, APPL & TECH	1	7	1003.0	97.7	80.3	61.0	18.5	15.3	2.1	2.3	1.5	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1		
RESEARCH & DEV-SUPPORTING SPACE ACTIVITIES	1	7	254.6	97.8	95.4	20.1	67.4	2.2	0.1	2.2	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	1.3		
TECHNOLOGY UTILIZATION	143-002	7	26.3	97.8	90.8	81.8	8.2	4.6	3.2	2.2	0.4	1.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0		
OTHER PROGRAMS	1	--	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION: FUNDING AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES							NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES						
				TOTAL	GREATER			MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED		LESS		TOTALLY		
					TOTAL	CORP	FRINGE				ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	RURAL	
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%				
POPULATION, 1970	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2	
HIGHER EDUCATION AND RESEARCH	--	--	10553.6	87.1	60.9	49.3	11.6	18.4	7.8	12.9	5.2	2.9	1.6	2.4	0.1	0.5	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	--	--	1.4	48.9	7.6	5.4	2.2	19.7	21.6	51.1	34.9	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
RURAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH, SEA	10-079	1	1.4	48.9	7.6	5.4	2.2	19.7	21.6	51.1	34.9	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	--	--	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*MULTI/RESOURCE-BASIC SCIENCES	01-049	7	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	--	--	9139.1	87.1	60.3	48.1	12.2	18.8	8.0	12.9	5.0	3.1	1.7	2.7	0.1	0.4	
ACADEMIC PROGRAM, HOWARD UNIVERSITY, OS	--	2	183.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
AGING RESEARCH, NIH	13-066	2	43.0	92.7	68.0	62.3	5.6	15.6	9.2	7.3	4.0	1.1	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	
ALCOHOL NAT RESEARCH SERVICE AWARDS, ADMHA	13-272	2	1.2	90.8	66.3	65.7	0.6	20.4	4.0	9.2	9.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ALCOHOL RESEARCH CENTER GRANTS, ADMHA	13-091	2	6.0	100.0	88.4	75.7	12.7	11.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ALCOHOL RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AWARDS, ADMHA	13-271	2	0.8	95.2	57.9	40.8	9.1	22.8	14.5	4.8	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ALCOHOL RESEARCH PROGRAMS, ADMHA	13-273	2	5.1	87.4	71.5	61.0	10.5	9.6	6.3	12.6	9.6	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ALCOHOL TRAINING PROGRAMS, ADMHA	13-274	2	5.9	83.2	49.2	47.7	1.5	25.1	8.9	16.8	2.9	2.9	2.3	3.8	0.0	0.0	
ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND MENTAL HEALTH	--	8	24.5	100.0	100.0	31.7	68.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ALLERGIC & IMMUNOLOGIC DISEASES RES., NIH	13-055	2	53.3	96.8	78.8	76.2	2.6	12.8	5.3	3.2	1.0	0.9	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	
ALLIED HLTH PROFESS-SPECIAL PROJ GRTS, HRA	--	2	9.2	89.0	56.8	44.8	11.2	24.2	8.9	11.0	6.7	2.2	0.2	1.5	0.0	0.0	
ARTHRITIS, BONE & SKIN DISEASES RES., NIH	13-046	2	49.9	95.8	69.5	68.5	1.1	18.6	7.7	4.2	2.2	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ASSOC HEALTH PROFESSIONS-TRAINEESHIPS, HRA	--	2	2.5	93.2	72.6	71.5	1.1	13.1	7.4	6.8	3.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BASIC ED OPPORTUNITY GRANT PROGRAM, OE	13-539	2	1857.6	76.2	48.0	33.5	4.5	24.9	11.3	23.8	8.6	5.4	3.7	5.4	0.2	0.5	
BIOMEDICAL COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, NIH	13-036	3	3.9	100.0	74.1	48.0	26.1	19.4	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH SUPPORT, NIH	13-337	2	44.9	91.1	61.0	56.8	4.2	22.2	7.9	8.9	5.3	2.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	
BIOTECHNOLOGY RESEARCH, NIH	13-371	2	13.0	96.1	73.6	68.5	5.1	11.4	11.1	3.9	0.0	2.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BLOOD DISEASES AND RESOURCES RESEARCH, NIH	13-039	2	75.5	97.4	79.6	72.2	7.4	12.2	5.6	2.6	1.6	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	
BUILDINGS & FACILITIES, NIH	--	0	29.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CANCER BIOLOGY RESEARCH, NIH	13-396	2	89.8	93.9	73.9	61.5	12.4	15.1	4.9	6.1	3.4	1.7	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	
CANCER CAUSE AND PREVENTION RESEARCH, NIH	13-393	2	205.2	95.2	78.1	47.7	30.4	12.9	4.2	0.8	3.3	0.8	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	
CANCER CONSTRUCTION, NIH	13-392	2	17.4	100.0	86.2	69.6	16.5	5.9	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CANCER CONTROL, NIH	13-399	2	64.5	98.0	72.0	66.8	5.2	18.0	8.1	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CANCER DETECTION & DIAGNOSIS RESEARCH, NIH	13-394	2	36.9	95.2	65.6	58.8	6.8	24.8	4.8	4.8	4.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CANCER RESEARCH MANPOWER, NIH	13-390	2	36.1	95.2	65.0	62.0	3.0	22.6	7.6	4.8	2.6	2.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
CANCER TREATMENT RESEARCH, NIH	13-398	2	238.3	96.5	68.4	61.6	6.8	23.5	4.6	3.5	2.1	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	
CARIES RESEARCH, NIH	13-040	2	8.6	96.0	73.3	51.2	22.1	15.4	7.3	4.8	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CATARACT RESEARCH, NIH	13-069	2	7.4	95.1	77.9	68.1	9.8	11.5	5.7	4.9	3.1	1.5	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	
CELLULAR & MOLECULAR BASIS OF DIS RES, NIH	13-063	2	92.8	91.0	61.6	59.9	1.7	18.2	11.2	9.8	6.1	2.7	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	
CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL INFO HANDLING RES, NIH	13-077	3	1.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CLINICAL RESEARCH, NIH	13-333	2	51.8	95.9	71.5	71.4	0.1	15.3	9.1	4.1	2.4	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
COLLEGE LIBRARY RESOURCES, OE	13-406	2	9.8	62.5	30.8	21.4	9.4	21.8	10.7	37.5	9.9	6.9	7.8	11.1	0.5	1.4	
COMMUNICATIVE DISORDERS RESEARCH, NIH	13-051	2	29.1	95.7	65.3	64.1	1.1	19.5	10.9	4.3	3.1	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
COMMUNITY EDUCATION, OE	13-563	2	3.2	74.2	23.6	20.0	3.6	29.4	21.2	25.8	8.0	3.0	4.0	7.2	2.3	1.2	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND AWARDS, OE	--	4	2.0	99.9	77.1	47.7	29.3	4.4	18.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NIH	--	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, OE	--	8	0.2	99.8	99.8	98.8	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSTRUCTION, HOWARD UNIVERSITY, OS	--	2	6.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSUMERS' EDUCATION, OE	13-564	2	3.6	84.5	64.1	45.4	18.7	15.8	4.5	15.5	3.6	1.8	3.2	5.2	0.0	1.7	
CORNEAL DISEASES RESEARCH, NIH	13-060	2	14.3	99.0	84.2	83.4	0.8	8.7	6.1	1.8	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CRANIOFACIAL ANOMALIES RESEARCH, NIH	13-042	2	8.9	91.7	66.3	63.3	3.8	9.2	16.2	4.3	6.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
DENTAL RESEARCH INSTITUTES, NIH	13-045	2	7.6	100.0	37.4	37.4	0.0	25.5	37.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DIABETES, ENDOCRINOLOGY & METAB. RES., NIH	13-047	2	106.3	91.4	66.2	63.7	2.5	17.9	7.3	0.6	4.5	3.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	
DISTRICTIVE DISEASES AND NUTRITION RES., NIH	13-048	2	46.3	93.0	68.3	67.0	1.3	18.7	6.0	7.0	5.6	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	
DRUG ABUSE NAT RESEARCH SVC AWARDS, ADMHA	13-278	2	0.8	98.0	79.8	48.1	31.7	17.4	1.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES						NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES								
				TOTAL	GREATER			TOTAL	URBANIZED		LESSER		TOTAL	URBANIZED		LESS		TOTAL
					CORE	FRINGE	LESSER		URBAN	CENT	URBAN	CENT		RURAL	CENT			
-----PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----																		
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	20.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2		
DRUG ABUSE RESEARCH DEVELOP. AWARDS, ADMHA	113-277	2	1.0	97.0	78.6	74.8	3.7	11.1	7.3	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
DRUG ABUSE RESEARCH PROGRAMS, ADMHA	113-279	2	37.3	95.9	65.2	59.8	5.4	15.7	14.9	4.1	2.6	0.7	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.0		
EDUC ACT OVERSEAS-INTER-INST-CO-OP RES. OE	--	2	8.6	84.5	24.3	23.9	1.4	20.7	39.5	15.5	7.7	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0		
EDUC FOR GIFTED & TALENTED CHILD & YTH. OE	113-562	2	3.6	82.6	35.7	28.8	6.9	37.3	9.6	17.4	5.3	4.5	2.5	4.6	0.0	0.5		
EDUC FOR USE OF METRIC SYS OF MEASURE. OE	113-561	2	1.6	82.4	39.2	33.8	5.4	21.5	21.8	17.6	10.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	0.0	0.0		
EDUCATIONAL INFORMATION CENTERS, OE	113-585	1	2.7	79.6	19.4	17.3	2.6	40.5	11.2	20.4	9.3	7.4	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0		
EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY CENTERS, OE	113-543	2	7.2	81.9	38.2	32.9	5.3	41.0	2.6	18.1	5.4	5.6	4.6	0.0	2.1	0.0		
EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, NIE	113-950	2	79.6	96.0	68.3	56.6	11.7	15.7	12.0	4.0	2.1	0.3	0.1	1.3	0.0	0.2		
ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY SCH ED IN ARTS, OE	113-541	7	4.7	100.0	96.2	78.6	17.6	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH RESEARCH & DEV., NIMH	113-894	2	2.8	88.8	66.4	62.7	3.7	17.8	4.6	11.2	4.4	1.7	1.8	3.3	0.0	0.8		
ESAA-SPECIAL PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS, OE	113-529	2	14.0	90.5	65.1	64.9	0.2	19.5	5.9	9.5	9.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
EVERETT M. DIRKSEN CENTER, OE	--	2	0.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
EXPANDED FUNCTION DENTAL AUX TRNG PRG, HRA	113-889	2	2.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FOOD AND DRUG RESEARCH GRANTS, FDA	113-103	2	1.9	81.5	48.0	36.4	11.7	25.3	8.1	18.5	10.8	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FOREIGN LANG & AREA STUDIES-CENTERS, OE	113-435	2	1.9	97.1	57.7	53.1	4.6	27.6	11.8	2.9	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FOREIGN LANG & AREA STUDIES-FELLOWSHPS, OE	113-434	2	9.5	84.1	49.5	48.1	1.4	20.7	13.9	15.9	9.5	4.6	1.2	0.7	0.0	0.9		
FOREIGN LANG & AREA STUDIES-RESEARCH, OE	113-436	3	4.6	85.1	50.2	50.1	0.1	22.1	12.0	14.9	13.4	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FULBRIGHT-HAYS TRNG GTS-FAC RES ABROAD, OE	113-436	3	0.9	96.1	75.7	67.4	8.3	14.8	5.6	3.9	2.7	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9		
FUND FOR IMPROVEMENT-POSTSECONDARY ED., OE	113-925	2	3.0	88.5	60.3	57.9	2.4	19.9	8.4	11.5	7.2	3.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0		
FUNDAMENTAL NEUROSCIENCES RESEARCH, NIMH	113-854	2	0.9	83.4	26.7	21.9	4.8	46.8	9.8	16.6	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	13.1	0.9		
GALLAUDET COLLEGE-CONSTRUCTION, OS	--	2	31.1	91.8	62.4	59.6	2.8	19.9	9.5	8.2	5.5	2.2	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0		
GENETICS RESEARCH, NIMH	113-862	2	48.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
GLAUCOMA RESEARCH, NIMH	113-878	2	89.2	92.5	60.1	55.2	4.9	23.2	9.2	7.5	4.7	2.1	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0		
GRANTS FOR TRNG IN EMERGENCY MED SVCS, HRA	113-287	2	10.3	98.2	77.2	74.7	2.5	15.1	5.9	1.8	0.3	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0		
GRANTS TO MUSEUMS, OE	--	2	3.0	90.2	55.1	49.1	6.0	22.7	12.3	9.8	3.8	3.3	2.2	0.0	0.5	0.9		
GTS RES TRG-GEN INT MED AND/OR PEDIAT, HRA	113-884	2	7.1	83.0	45.7	38.3	7.4	25.4	11.9	17.0	6.8	3.0	2.2	3.7	0.9	0.1		
HEALTH FINANCING RES. DEMO AND EXPR, HCFA	113-766	2	16.9	89.6	56.6	55.0	1.6	23.9	9.1	10.4	5.7	3.5	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0		
HEALTH PROFESSIONS-CAPITATION GRANTS, HRA	113-339	2	27.1	93.5	60.2	54.7	13.5	22.8	2.6	6.5	3.1	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
HEALTH PROFESSIONS-FIN. DISTRESS GTS, HRA	113-381	2	114.1	92.3	57.5	56.0	1.5	26.2	8.6	7.7	3.4	2.8	0.3	1.1	0.0	0.2		
HEALTH PROFESSIONS-START-UP ASST., HRA	113-384	2	4.9	91.7	19.8	19.8	0.0	71.9	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
HEALTH PROFESSIONS-STUDENT LOANS, HRA	113-342	2	23.3	81.0	41.4	32.4	9.0	27.5	12.1	19.0	7.7	4.6	2.5	3.8	0.1	0.3		
HEALTH RESOURCES, HRA	--	2	9.8	91.8	57.9	56.5	1.4	26.4	7.5	8.2	3.5	2.6	0.0	0.9	0.2	0.7		
HEALTH SERVICES RESEARCH AND DEV., ASH	113-226	8	4.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
HEALTH STATISTICS TRNG. & TECH. ASST., ASH	113-227	2	23.1	94.5	70.4	46.1	24.3	7.0	17.1	5.5	2.2	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
HEART AND VASCULAR DISEASES RESEARCH, NIMH	113-037	2	11.0	92.1	33.5	22.5	11.0	25.0	33.6	7.9	6.5	1.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		
HIGHER ED ACADEMIC FAC CONS-INTEREST, OE	--	2	294.9	93.7	66.4	63.4	3.0	17.6	9.7	6.3	4.8	1.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		
HIGHER ED-LAND-GRANT COLLEGES AND UNIV, OE	113-454	1	25.2	79.0	42.2	31.2	11.0	24.4	12.5	21.0	10.8	3.2	3.7	3.3	2.0	0.0		
HIGHER ED-STRENGTHENING DEVELOP INST, OE	113-540	4	2.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
HIGHER ED-VETS COST OF INSTRUCTION PRG, OE	113-460	2	112.9	47.4	13.4	10.8	2.6	22.5	11.5	52.6	12.6	8.4	9.7	16.5	2.0	3.4		
HIGHER EDUCATION ACT INSURED LOANS, OE	113-540	4	18.8	78.9	38.8	30.6	8.2	27.8	12.4	21.1	7.0	6.7	3.1	3.9	0.2	0.2		
HIGHER EDUCATION WORK-STUDY, OE	113-460	0	604.2	86.3	46.0	38.3	7.0	33.7	6.5	13.7	3.7	2.6	2.6	3.7	0.4	0.9		
HIGHER EDUCATION-COOPERATIVE EDUCATION, OE	113-463	4	563.1	73.2	36.8	30.4	6.4	24.8	11.5	26.8	9.3	6.4	3.9	6.4	0.2	0.5		
MLTH MANPOWER EDUC INITIATIVE AWARDS, HRA	113-310	2	14.8	71.5	37.2	29.9	7.3	23.6	10.7	28.5	8.4	7.0	4.2	7.6	0.4	0.7		
MURBERT M. HUMPHREY INSTITUTE, OE	--	2	38.5	91.3	67.8	52.6	15.3	16.3	7.2	8.7	1.7	4.8	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.4		
INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES	113-283	8	5.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
INVESTIG., SURVEILLANCE & TECH. ASST., CDC	--	8	0.4	99.1	97.2	96.7	0.5	1.3	8.6	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		
JOHN E. FOGARTY CTR ADV STUDY MLTH SCI, NIMH	113-203	8	23.9	95.0	61.3	35.8	25.5	25.6	8.0	5.0	2.9	1.2	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0		
KIDNEY DISEASES, UROLOGY & HEAT RES, NIMH	113-849	2	8.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
LAR. ANIMAL SCIENCES AND PRIMATE RES., NIMH	113-306	2	47.5	96.0	69.7	68.0	1.7	17.4	8.9	4.0	1.8	1.3	0.1	0.8	0.0	0.0		
LIBRARY RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION, OE	113-475	2	23.1	97.3	69.5	62.0	2.6	28.0	4.8	2.7	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0		
LIBRARY TRAINING GRANTS, OE	113-468	2	1.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
LUNG DISEASES RESEARCH, NIMH	113-830	2	2.0	82.4	46.3	38.8	7.6	24.0	12.0	17.6	6.1	7.1	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0		
MANAGEMENT FUND, NIMH	--	8	73.1	92.9	70.0	68.6	1.4	14.7	8.2	7.1	2.3	4.6	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1		
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH RESEARCH, HSA	113-231	2	117.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH TRAINING, HSA	113-233	2	5.3	94.2	78.5	71.4	7.1	5.3	10.4	5.8	4.4	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0		
MECHANISMS-ENVIRON DISEASES & DISORD, NIMH	113-093	2	26.8	99.7	74.6	66.5	8.1	18.2	6.9	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUE--

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION: FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES						NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES						
				TOTAL	GREATER			MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	LESS			TOTALLY RURAL		
					TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE				URBANIZED	URBANIZED	URBANIZED			
				--MIL DOLS--						-----PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----						
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	2.2	2.2
MEDICAL LIBRARY ASSISTANCE, NIH	13.879	2	9.0	94.0	58.5	55.0	3.5	14.0	11.5	6.0	2.7	1.4	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.1
MENT HLTH NAT'L RESEARCH SVC AWARDS, ADMHA	13.282	2	15.0	92.9	64.6	62.3	2.4	14.9	13.3	7.1	7.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MENTAL HEALTH RESEARCH GRANTS, ADMHA	13.242	2	97.5	95.0	69.1	63.7	5.4	18.0	7.9	5.0	3.0	1.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.2
MENTAL HEALTH TRAINING GRANTS, ADMHA	13.244	2	66.8	90.5	56.2	51.5	4.7	26.7	7.5	9.5	3.6	3.7	0.3	1.7	0.0	0.2
MICROBIOLOGY AND INFECTIOUS DIS. RES., NIH	13.856	2	92.0	92.6	59.9	56.2	3.7	24.8	8.1	7.2	4.3	2.1	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.0
MINING & MINERAL FUEL CONS FELLOWSHIPS, OE	13.567	2	4.5	53.9	27.4	19.5	7.8	21.2	5.3	46.1	17.4	14.0	0.0	14.7	0.0	0.0
MINORITY ACCESS TO RESEARCH CAREERS, NIH	13.880	2	2.8	87.5	50.4	40.3	10.2	29.8	7.3	12.5	9.5	0.6	1.6	0.8	3.0	0.1
MINORITY BIOMEDICAL SUPPORT, NIH	13.375	2	17.9	82.8	43.8	33.4	10.4	28.0	11.0	17.2	7.0	0.8	5.6	3.9	0.0	0.6
NAT INST ALLERGY AND INFECTIOUS DIS, NIH	--	8	27.1	96.4	96.4	0.0	96.4	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6
NAT INST ARTHRITIS, METAB & DIGESTIVE DIS	--	8	26.5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NAT INST NEUROLOGICAL DIS AND STROKE, NIH	--	8	24.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE, NIH	--	8	112.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL CENTER-EDUCATIONAL STATISTICS, OE	--	7	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL DIFFUSION PROGRAM, OE	13.553	7	13.7	81.7	45.9	26.6	19.4	25.2	10.5	18.3	4.8	3.1	3.5	5.5	0.9	0.4
NATIONAL DIRECT STUDENT LOANS, OE	13.471	4	310.3	79.2	40.3	35.4	4.9	26.8	12.1	20.8	8.7	4.8	2.9	3.9	0.1	0.4
NATIONAL EYE INSTITUTE, NIH	--	8	6.4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL HEART, LUNG, AND BLOOD INSTITUTE	--	8	32.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL INST OF GENERAL MED SCIENCES, NIH	--	8	4.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF AGING, NIH	--	8	10.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF DENTAL RESEARCH, NIH	--	8	12.4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL LIBRARY OF MEDICINE, NIH	--	8	21.5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL TECHNICAL INST FOR DEAF-GRS, OS	--	2	18.8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATL INST CHILD HEALTH & HUMAN DEV, NIH	--	8	16.1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATL INST ENVIRONMENTAL HLTH SCIENCES, NIH	--	8	22.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS RESEARCH, NIH	13.852	2	72.0	94.4	74.1	69.2	4.9	13.1	7.1	5.6	2.8	2.6	0.8	8.2	0.0	0.0
NHS CORPS SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM, HRA	13.288	2	5.4	88.2	71.1	69.5	1.6	15.3	1.8	11.8	1.1	2.4	0.0	8.1	0.0	0.0
NURSE TRNG. IMPROVEMENT-SPECIAL PROJ., HRA	13.359	2	14.4	78.7	47.0	40.7	6.3	17.8	13.9	21.3	7.5	8.9	0.2	4.6	0.0	0.0
NURSING RESEARCH PROJECT GRANTS, HRA	13.361	2	4.9	94.0	57.6	49.3	8.3	27.0	10.4	5.1	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3
NURSING SCHOLARSHIPS, HRA	13.363	2	8.8	79.0	40.2	31.5	8.7	27.6	11.2	21.0	8.7	5.9	2.5	3.5	0.1	0.6
NURSING SCHOOL CONSTR-LOAN GUARANTEES, HRA	--	0	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NURSING STUDENT LOANS, HRA	13.364	2	13.3	78.6	41.4	34.1	7.3	26.6	10.6	21.4	8.3	6.2	2.5	3.8	0.1	0.6
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HLTH RES GRANTS, CDC	13.262	2	6.3	87.8	63.1	60.6	2.5	11.3	13.4	12.2	4.4	7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HLTH TRG GRANTS, CDC	13.263	2	9.3	97.0	67.7	67.1	0.7	18.3	11.0	3.0	1.9	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.0
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR, NIH	--	8	18.5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PAIN CONTROL AND BEHAVIORAL STUDIES, NIH	13.844	2	2.5	98.4	75.8	74.4	1.3	10.0	12.6	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PERIODONTAL DISEASES RESEARCH, NIH	13.841	2	1.1	96.9	75.6	69.2	4.4	16.0	5.4	3.1	2.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PHARMACOLOGY-TOXICOLOGY RESEARCH, NIH	13.859	2	34.7	87.0	58.8	56.5	2.3	21.3	7.0	13.0	11.4	1.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
PHYSIOLOGY AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING, NIH	13.821	2	48.3	93.8	57.3	66.1	1.2	17.2	9.4	6.2	4.2	1.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
PLANNING AND EVALUATION, OE	--	7	5.2	100.0	99.2	72.3	26.9	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
POPULATION RESEARCH, NIH	13.864	2	63.5	94.3	59.5	55.6	3.9	23.0	11.8	5.7	3.3	1.9	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0
PREDICTION, DETECTION & ASSESS OF DIS, NIH	13.892	2	23.5	92.5	57.1	49.8	7.3	22.0	13.4	7.5	5.5	0.9	0.0	0.8	0.2	0.0
PREVENTIVE HEALTH SERVICES, CDC	--	8	99.8	91.4	89.5	21.6	67.9	1.9	0.0	8.6	1.9	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PUBLIC SVC PROJ ED-INSTIT GTS & FELLOWSHP, OE	13.555	2	6.0	85.3	49.7	42.3	7.5	22.8	12.8	14.7	3.6	6.6	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0
QUALITY CARE MANAGEMENT, RESEARCH & ADMIN.	--	8	730.5	99.9	99.8	99.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
RESEARCH FOR MOTHERS AND CHILDREN, NIH	13.865	2	101.6	93.2	67.1	64.8	2.3	19.1	7.0	6.8	4.8	1.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
RESEARCH RESOURCES, NIH	--	8	3.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RESTORATIVE MATERIALS RESEARCH, NIH	13.843	2	3.7	95.0	57.7	56.5	1.2	20.7	16.5	5.0	0.8	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RETINAL & CHOROIDAL DISEASES RESEARCH, NIH	13.867	2	38.3	95.9	76.4	73.1	3.3	13.4	6.1	4.1	3.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S & E, ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HEALTH	--	8	6.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S & E, NAT'L COMM LIBRARIES & INFO SCIENCE	--	8	1.6	100.0	99.8	99.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S & E, OFFICE OF EDUCATION	--	8	125.1	99.6	98.0	96.6	1.5	1.3	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
SCHOLARSHIPS-EXCEPTIONAL FINANCIAL HD, HRA	13.820	2	6.9	98.0	54.5	52.2	2.3	28.7	7.8	9.1	3.3	3.1	0.2	1.8	0.2	0.4
SENSORY-MOTOR DISORDERS & REHAB. RES., NIH	13.871	2	20.4	98.2	71.2	65.4	5.7	21.5	5.6	1.8	1.2	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
SERVICE AND SUPPLY FUND, NIH	--	8	65.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SOP. TISSUE STOMATOLOGY-NUTRITION RES, NIH	13.878	2	6.5	95.0	68.4	64.0	4.3	19.2	7.5	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SPECTAL PROJECTS AND TRAINING, OE	--	8	0.1	100.0	96.6	84.4	12.2	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SPECIAL SVC FOR DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS, OE	13.482	2	52.5	66.1	32.2	26.5	5.7	22.1	11.7	33.9	10.4	6.9	5.9	9.1	0.4	1.4

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES				NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES															
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	LESS URBANIZED	TOTALLY RURAL	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA									
																TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT	CENT
				-NIL DOLS-				-----PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----																
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2								
STATE PLNGNG COMM PRG-INTRASTATE PLNGNG, OE	113.550	1	3.3	80.2	20.2	18.1	2.1	45.1	15.0	19.8	9.9	4.5	3.0	2.3	0.0	0.0								
STATE STUDENT FINANCIAL ASST TRNG PRG, OE	113.582	1	2.4	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
STRENGTHENING RESEARCH LIBRARY RES., OE	113.576	2	6.0	80.4	47.9	48.9	0.0	19.9	11.6	19.6	12.1	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
STROKE, NERVOUS SYSTEM TRAUMA RES., NIM	113.853	2	34.9	98.8	70.8	66.0	4.8	19.7	7.9	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
SUPP. EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS, OE	113.418	4	334.1	76.2	40.0	32.9	7.0	24.3	11.9	23.8	8.8	5.6	3.6	5.1	0.1	0.6								
TALENT SEARCH, OE	113.488	2	15.0	73.6	44.5	40.4	4.1	19.2	9.9	26.4	5.4	5.9	5.6	7.5	0.0	2.0								
TEACHER CORPS-OPERATIONS AND TRAINING, OE	113.489	2	36.3	78.4	38.6	31.8	6.9	32.8	7.0	21.6	6.4	8.7	2.1	3.2	0.6	0.7								
TRAINING OF PHYSICIAN'S ASSISTANTS, HRA	113.886	2	8.6	92.5	55.7	49.0	6.8	26.6	10.2	7.5	3.3	3.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.4								
TRNG SPEC PRG STAFF & LEADERSHIP PERS, OE	113.592	7	8.1	82.2	35.6	37.4	2.2	31.0	11.6	17.8	10.7	3.2	1.0	3.0	0.0	0.0								
UNIVERSITY COMMUNITY SVC-SPEC PROJECTS, OE	113.557	2	1.6	71.8	31.2	31.2	0.0	30.3	10.3	28.2	11.5	8.6	0.0	5.3	0.0	2.4								
UPWARD BOUND, OE	113.492	2	58.5	68.4	34.3	28.6	5.7	21.2	13.0	31.6	8.9	6.5	5.8	8.7	0.4	1.2								
*VOCATIONAL ED-PRG IMPROVE & SUPP SVCS, OE	113.495	1	3.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
VOCATIONAL ED-SPECIAL NEEDS, OE	113.499	1	0.8	100.0	36.2	36.2	0.0	63.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
WOMEN'S EDUCATIONAL EQUITY, OE	113.565	2	7.6	90.7	70.3	64.2	6.1	14.1	6.4	9.3	5.2	1.1	0.4	2.5	0.0	0.2								
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.1	100.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	--	--	2.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
HISTORIC AMERICAN BUILDINGS SURVEY, HCBS	115.410	8	0.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD, HCBS	115.413	8	0.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND	--	8	1.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
S & E. COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS	--	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	--	--	2.2	100.0	100.0	77.6	22.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
BUILDINGS & FACILITIES, NIM	--	7	1.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
CONSTRUCTION, SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION	--	7	0.5	100.0	100.0	0.9	99.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
NATIONAL COMM-INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF THE CHILD	--	--	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS	--	--	159.2	96.1	81.9	78.2	3.8	11.0	3.1	3.9	1.5	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.4								
ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND DESIGN	145.001	2	4.4	98.8	73.8	69.6	4.2	14.3	2.7	9.2	4.4	1.4	0.9	2.3	0.2	0.0								
ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND DESIGN	145.001	7	0.4	100.0	100.0	90.9	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND DESIGN	145.001	9	0.8	97.2	72.5	72.5	8.0	22.9	1.8	2.8	0.0	3.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0								
ARTIST IN SCHOOLS	145.003	7	0.6	86.7	69.4	69.4	0.0	4.9	12.4	13.3	7.4	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0								
DANCE PROGRAMS	145.002	2	10.6	98.6	84.2	79.8	4.3	11.2	3.3	1.4	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.5								
DANCE PROGRAMS	145.002	9	2.8	100.0	91.0	91.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
EXPANSION ARTS PROGRAMS	145.010	2	8.1	92.1	73.6	67.9	5.7	15.8	2.7	7.9	2.2	0.8	1.8	2.0	0.2	0.4								
EXPANSION ARTS PROGRAMS	145.010	7	0.5	100.0	97.0	97.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
EXPANSION ARTS PROGRAMS	145.010	9	0.5	100.0	98.2	98.2	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
FEDERAL-STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAMS	145.007	2	0.8	100.0	36.4	36.4	0.0	48.0	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
FEDERAL-STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAMS	145.007	9	0.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.3	21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
FOLK ARTS PROGRAMS	145.015	2	2.6	71.3	45.9	39.1	6.8	10.8	14.6	28.7	4.8	5.6	1.8	11.3	0.6	4.3								
FOLK ARTS PROGRAMS	145.015	9	0.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0								
INTERGOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES PROGRAMS	--	2	0.8	100.0	71.8	58.9	12.9	3.2	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
INTERGOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES PROGRAMS	--	7	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
LITERATURE PROGRAMS	145.004	2	3.9	87.0	63.8	58.0	5.8	15.9	7.3	13.0	7.1	2.4	0.7	1.6	0.1	1.2								
MEDIA ARTS PROGRAMS	145.006	2	8.7	97.0	90.2	86.8	3.3	5.7	1.1	3.0	0.8	0.1	0.5	1.5	0.0	0.1								
MEDIA ARTS PROGRAMS	145.006	7	1.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
MEDIA ARTS PROGRAMS	145.006	9	1.7	97.0	97.0	97.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0								
MUSEUM PROGRAMS	145.012	2	18.2	95.5	75.3	72.2	3.1	15.7	4.5	4.5	2.4	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.1								
MUSEUM PROGRAMS	145.012	9	7.4	100.0	86.8	86.8	0.0	9.1	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
MUSIC PROGRAMS	145.005	2	19.3	98.2	77.8	72.7	5.0	19.0	1.4	1.8	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0								

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES					NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES					TOTAL		
				TOTAL	GREATER CORE	MEDIUM FRINGE	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	URBANIZED	RURAL	TOTAL	TOTAL			
-MIL DOLS-				--PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL--												
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2
MUSIC PROGRAMS	145.005	9	10.1	99.5	84.9	83.1	1.7	14.3	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS	--	7	0.9	79.8	62.9	40.3	22.6	16.9	0.0	20.2	17.2	0.8	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS	--	8	10.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OPERA AND MUSICAL THEATRE PROGRAMS	145.014	2	6.9	94.5	89.7	86.9	2.8	4.3	0.5	5.5	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OPERA AND MUSICAL THEATRE PROGRAMS	145.014	5	3.9	95.5	94.3	91.3	0.0	1.3	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SPECIAL PROJECTS	145.011	2	7.5	97.4	87.7	84.6	3.1	3.2	6.5	2.6	0.1	0.0	0.7	1.6	0.0	0.2
SPECIAL PROJECTS	145.011	7	0.8	90.0	71.5	63.1	8.4	10.5	0.0	10.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
SPECIAL PROJECTS	145.011	9	5.1	98.0	91.0	88.1	2.9	0.0	7.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
THEATRE PROGRAMS	145.008	2	9.9	97.2	91.9	76.7	5.0	11.8	3.6	2.8	0.2	2.3	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
THEATRE PROGRAMS	145.008	7	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
THEATRE PROGRAMS	145.008	9	3.4	94.2	89.4	86.4	2.9	4.8	0.0	5.8	0.0	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VISUAL ARTS PROGRAMS	145.009	2	5.2	91.6	76.7	63.4	13.3	12.8	2.1	8.4	2.9	0.4	1.1	2.9	0.2	0.9
VISUAL ARTS PROGRAMS	145.009	9	0.5	100.0	100.0	63.6	36.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.0	100.0	26.5	26.5	0.0	73.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES	--	--	193.0	89.0	53.4	57.9	5.5	19.2	6.4	11.0	5.1	2.9	1.2	1.3	0.0	0.5
BASIC RESEARCH	145.140	2	8.1	94.1	84.6	83.8	0.9	6.1	3.3	5.9	2.0	2.0	1.7	0.0	0.2	0.0
CENTERS OF RESEARCH	145.124	2	13.1	93.7	83.1	81.7	1.4	8.5	2.1	6.3	4.7	1.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0
CULTURAL INSTITUTIONS	145.117	2	1.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DIVISION OF STATE PROGRAMS	145.129	2	24.4	81.5	33.0	27.3	5.7	37.3	11.2	18.5	5.9	8.1	0.0	3.2	0.0	1.3
EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT	145.139	2	6.1	71.4	44.4	38.9	5.6	18.6	8.3	28.6	13.8	0.0	7.5	7.3	0.0	0.0
EDUCATION PILOT	145.138	2	2.2	76.8	44.4	32.9	11.5	21.0	11.4	23.2	8.1	4.6	6.6	3.9	0.0	0.0
ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION PROGRAM	145.127	2	5.7	81.1	69.9	60.4	9.5	8.6	2.6	14.9	2.3	9.4	3.5	0.7	0.0	3.0
FELLOWSHIPS & STIPENDS FOR THE PROFESSIONS	145.109	2	2.0	87.7	54.3	48.6	5.7	11.7	21.7	12.3	8.4	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
FELLOWSHIPS AT CENTERS FOR ADVANCED STUDY	145.122	2	2.0	99.2	82.6	78.7	3.9	9.2	7.4	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HIGHER EDUCATION PROJECTS	145.111	2	5.5	87.8	62.2	59.3	2.9	24.6	1.0	12.2	9.5	2.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
HUMANITIES CHALLENGE GRANTS	145.130	4	55.6	89.7	59.1	50.6	8.5	23.5	7.1	10.3	5.4	1.4	2.1	1.0	0.0	0.4
MEDIA GRANTS	145.104	2	11.5	98.5	85.2	83.8	1.4	4.4	8.9	1.5	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.2
MUSEUMS & HISTORICAL ORGANIZATION PROGRAMS	145.125	2	9.2	91.6	72.5	64.3	8.2	16.3	2.9	8.4	3.0	3.2	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.4
NATIONAL BOARD OF CONSULTANTS	145.123	2	0.5	66.4	33.8	22.4	11.4	27.1	5.5	33.6	9.6	7.3	5.3	8.3	0.0	3.1
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES	--	7	0.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES	--	8	9.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW FELLOWSHIPS CATEGORIES A,B,C	145.136	2	6.7	84.0	46.0	35.1	11.0	26.9	11.1	16.0	7.9	3.8	0.8	3.0	0.0	0.5
PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT STUDIES	145.128	2	0.9	39.6	15.8	14.1	1.6	23.8	0.0	60.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PUBLIC LIBRARY PROGRAM	145.137	2	1.6	95.5	68.2	56.1	12.1	26.0	1.3	4.5	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
PUBLIC PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT	145.113	2	6.4	98.3	91.3	88.4	2.9	3.1	3.8	1.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.9
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT	145.121	2	0.5	90.3	71.4	62.9	8.5	13.4	5.4	9.7	6.9	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7
RESEARCH MATERIALS	145.126	2	8.8	81.5	51.4	47.4	4.0	23.1	7.1	18.5	8.3	8.7	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS	145.132	2	0.3	98.7	54.8	50.3	4.5	40.0	3.9	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SPECIAL PROJECTS	145.131	2	2.2	98.3	91.8	91.8	0.0	4.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
STATE, LOCAL, & REGIONAL HISTORY RESEARCH	145.141	2	1.1	76.5	39.1	31.2	7.9	31.5	5.8	23.5	9.5	12.3	0.3	1.4	0.0	0.0
SUMMER SEMINARS FOR COLLEGE TEACHERS	145.115	2	5.4	94.7	57.7	56.9	0.8	29.0	8.0	5.3	4.4	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SUMMER STIPENDS	145.121	2	0.6	83.0	49.6	39.1	10.4	4.9	9.6	17.0	7.0	5.2	1.7	2.2	0.0	0.9
YOUTH GRANTS	145.115	2	0.2	75.2	41.7	38.0	3.7	11.9	17.7	24.8	5.4	2.8	3.9	10.9	0.0	1.7
YOUTH PROJECTS	145.135	2	1.3	86.2	71.5	67.8	3.7	14.3	0.4	13.8	1.7	4.3	0.8	4.1	0.0	2.9
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION	--	--	951.9	83.8	58.3	49.0	9.2	17.3	8.2	16.2	9.1	2.4	0.8	0.9	0.0	3.0
APPLIED SCIENCE AND RESEARCH APPLICATIONS	147.041	2	125.8	68.7	41.6	41.1	0.5	12.2	14.9	31.3	29.4	1.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0
APPLIED SCIENCE AND RESEARCH APPLICATIONS	147.041	7	3.9	96.3	9.5	8.0	9.5	0.0	86.8	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ASTRO., ATMOS., EARTH AND OCEAN SCIENCES	147.050	2	100.0	70.2	27.5	13.4	14.1	39.4	3.3	29.8	16.2	7.7	4.4	1.0	0.0	0.4
ASTRO., ATMOS., EARTH AND OCEAN SCIENCES	147.050	7	66.7	61.2	61.2	0.0	61.2	0.0	0.0	38.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.8
BIOLOGICAL, BEHAVIORAL, & SOCIAL SCIENCES	147.051	2	234.5	94.1	69.2	63.9	5.4	22.5	2.4	5.9	3.8	1.4	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.0
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NSF	--	2	1.2	87.8	56.0	60.8	5.2	17.2	4.6	12.2	12.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NSF	--	7	0.5	100.0	100.0	94.8	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DONATIONS, NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION	--	7	8.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER	TYPE CODE	UNITED STATES	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES					NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							
				TOTAL	GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	LESS URBANIZED	TOTALLY RURAL					
TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE		ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT							
				-MIL DOLS-	PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL											
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2
INTERGOVERNMENTAL PROGRAMS	47.036	2	5.3	81.4	19.6	15.5	4.1	58.8	3.0	18.6	10.9	0.7	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
INTERGOVERNMENTAL PROGRAMS	47.036	7	11.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MATHEMATICAL & PHYSICAL SCIENCE & ENGINEER	47.049	2	236.2	92.1	64.1	59.4	4.7	12.2	15.8	7.9	4.1	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
MATHEMATICAL & PHYSICAL SCIENCE & ENGINEER	47.049	7	0.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RESEARCH AND RELATED ACTIVITIES, NSF	--	8	51.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SCIENCE AND SOCIETY PROGRAM	47.038	2	9.3	94.9	74.2	66.4	7.8	18.1	2.5	5.1	1.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SCIENCE ED RES & DEV & RESOURCES IMPROVE	47.048	2	47.8	74.2	44.5	35.8	8.7	16.0	13.7	25.8	19.5	5.2	3.4	4.1	0.2	2.4
SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES (SFCP), NSF	--	2	1.5	96.2	17.3	14.0	3.4	76.7	2.2	3.8	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.0	2.0
SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES (SFCP), NSF	--	7	2.0	100.0	100.0	29.2	78.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	3.8	0.2	1.1
SCIENTIFIC PERSONNEL IMPROVEMENT	47.009	2	19.4	88.6	30.0	25.1	4.9	39.1	11.4	19.4	7.2	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SCIENTIFIC PERSONNEL IMPROVEMENT	47.009	7	4.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SCIENTIFIC, TECHNOLOGICAL, & INTL. AFFAIRS	47.053	2	21.3	58.0	27.0	25.8	1.1	38.5	0.5	42.0	36.9	0.6	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0
SCIENTIFIC, TECHNOLOGICAL, & INTL. AFFAIRS	47.053	7	0.4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PRESIDENT'S COMM.-ACCIDENT AT THREE MILE IS.	--	--	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PREVENTIVE HEALTH SERVICES, CDC	--	8	0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION	--	--	102.9	100.0	100.0	98.2	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONSTRUCT & IMPROVE NAT'L ZOOLOGICAL PARK	--	7	4.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONSTRUCTION, SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION	--	7	0.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
REST. & RENOVATION OF BLDG., SMITHSONIAN	--	7	2.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S & E. SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION	--	8	98.5	100.0	100.0	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S & E. WOODROW WILSON INT'L CTR SCHOLARS	--	8	1.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SCIENCE INFORMATION EXCHANGE	--	4	2.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VETERANS BENEFITS	--	--	20789.4	77.4	43.4	33.7	9.7	24.4	9.6	22.6	6.5	3.4	5.0	5.6	0.8	1.4
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION	--	--	20694.6	77.4	43.4	33.7	9.7	24.4	9.6	22.6	6.5	3.4	5.0	5.6	0.8	1.4
ASSISTANCE TO NEW STATE MEDICAL SCHOOLS	64.020	2	12.4	81.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.9	15.1	18.9	8.0	18.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
AUTOS & SPECIAL EQUIPMENT, DISABLED VETS	64.100	4	12.3	73.4	37.5	26.9	10.5	26.5	9.4	26.6	6.6	4.2	6.0	6.6	1.0	2.1
COMMUNITY NURSING HOME CARE	64.002	8	102.3	82.5	48.7	42.5	6.2	22.3	11.6	17.5	7.8	3.5	1.9	3.6	0.8	0.7
COMPENSATION TO DEPENDENTS, VETS S/C DEATH	64.102	4	64.8	73.8	39.4	27.6	11.7	24.9	9.5	26.2	6.4	3.9	6.3	6.5	1.2	2.0
CONSTRUCTION OF MEDICAL FACILITIES	--	7	259.8	84.2	41.3	38.5	2.8	37.2	5.6	15.8	8.4	1.7	1.3	4.4	0.0	0.0
DEPENDENTS INDEMNITY COMPENSATION (DIC)	64.110	4	1088.3	73.8	39.4	27.6	11.7	24.9	9.5	26.2	6.4	3.9	6.3	6.5	1.2	2.0
EXCHANGE OF MEDICAL INFORMATION	64.004	2	0.6	96.9	89.9	89.9	0.0	0.0	7.0	3.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES	--	8	619.6	97.1	77.7	76.6	1.1	13.5	5.9	2.9	1.7	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0
GENERAL POST FUND	--	8	5.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GRANTS FOR CONST - STATE EXTENDED CARE FAC	64.005	2	15.0	59.8	6.0	6.0	0.0	12.3	41.5	40.2	0.3	2.2	33.4	2.5	1.9	0.0
GRANTS TO AFFILIATED MEDICAL SCHOOLS	64.021	2	24.4	79.0	43.4	41.7	1.7	26.2	9.4	21.0	1.8	12.1	1.4	5.7	0.0	0.0
MEDICAL ADMIN. & MISC. OPERATING EXPENSES	--	8	46.3	96.5	86.5	86.6	1.9	8.1	2.0	3.5	1.2	0.4	1.1	0.8	0.0	0.0
MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH	--	8	138.5	97.4	68.7	66.2	2.6	23.1	5.5	2.6	1.9	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.0
SONS, DAUGHTERS, WIVES & WIDOWS EDUCATION	64.117	4	194.2	72.9	36.9	26.2	1.7	26.1	10.0	27.1	6.4	4.2	6.4	6.8	1.2	2.1
SPECIALLY ADAPTED HOUSING, DISABLED VETS	64.106	4	22.5	74.6	39.9	27.1	5.0	27.1	10.6	25.4	6.7	3.8	6.0	6.1	1.0	1.4
VETERANS DEATH PENSION	64.105	4	1358.3	72.2	38.5	25.9	12.4	24.5	9.2	27.8	6.8	4.1	6.7	6.9	1.2	2.1
VETERANS DISABILITY COMPENSATION	64.109	4	5424.5	74.2	39.9	27.8	12.1	25.0	9.3	25.8	6.6	3.8	6.0	6.4	1.1	1.9
VETERANS DISABILITY PENSION	64.104	4	2086.9	69.8	35.4	24.3	11.1	24.6	9.7	30.2	6.8	4.7	7.1	7.9	1.3	2.4
VETERANS DOMICILIARY PROGRAM	64.088	8	80.5	46.8	21.5	21.5	0.0	5.4	9.9	53.2	15.0	19.2	3.9	15.1	0.0	0.0
VETERANS HOSPITALIZATION	64.009	8	5344.2	85.3	50.4	44.3	6.1	23.1	10.7	14.7	6.8	2.1	2.5	3.3	0.0	0.0
VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES	64.103	6	1084.9	76.0	43.6	30.4	13.2	23.6	8.8	24.0	6.4	3.4	5.6	5.8	1.0	1.4
VETERANS READJUSTMENT TRAINING	64.111	4	2499.4	73.2	38.7	27.7	10.9	24.9	9.6	26.8	6.4	4.1	6.4	6.7	1.1	2.1
VETERANS STATE DOMICILIARY CARE	64.014	2	11.1	85.2	64.2	64.2	0.0	16.6	4.4	14.8	1.8	3.9	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0
VETERANS STATE HOSPITAL CARE	64.016	2	4.3	97.6	84.8	84.0	0.0	13.6	0.0	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES					NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES						
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED		LESS		TOTALLY RURAL			
									ADJA- CENT	NON- CENT	ADJA- CENT	NON- CENT				
					TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE			ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	
					-MIL DOLS-	PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----										
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2
VETERANS STATE NURSING HOME CARE	64.015	2	19.9	80.4	35.2	35.2	0.0	43.7	1.4	19.6	6.3	8.1	0.0	5.2	0.0	0.0
*VETS BURIAL AWARDS & OTHER MISC BENEF PNT	64.101	4	188.9	73.0	38.7	26.8	11.9	24.9	9.4	27.0	6.6	4.0	6.3	6.8	1.1	2.1
*VOCATIONAL REHAB. TRNG. FOR DISABLED VETS.	64.116	4	95.2	71.4	36.0	23.9	12.1	25.0	13.4	28.6	6.7	4.3	6.5	7.4	1.2	2.3
OTHER AGENCIES	--	--	14.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OTHER FUNCTIONS	--	--	28637.9	85.4	61.3	48.1	13.3	17.6	6.5	14.6	3.3	2.5	2.9	3.7	0.7	1.5
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	--	--	242.3	17.8	3.2	0.8	2.4	2.6	12.0	82.2	10.9	10.6	9.6	30.8	9.7	11.6
ABATEMENT AND CONTROL, EPA	--	8	1.6	60.8	8.7	7.1	1.6	27.9	24.1	39.2	20.6	11.4	0.0	4.5	0.0	2.7
PAYMENTS TO COUNTIES, NAT'L GRASSLANDS, FS	--	4	1.4	2.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	1.5	0.5	97.8	2.8	3.1	3.5	19.3	1.8	67.3
PAYMENTS TO MINN FROM NATL FOREST FUND, FS	--	4	0.3	27.0	1.0	0.0	0.3	27.0	0.0	73.0	0.0	20.9	15.1	3.1	0.0	34.0
PAYMENTS TO STATES, NAT'L FORESTS FUND, FS	--	4	238.9	17.6	3.2	0.8	2.4	2.4	12.0	82.4	10.9	10.6	9.7	31.1	9.8	11.4
PYMTS. TO SCHOOL FUNDS, ARIZ. AND N.M., FS	--	4	0.2	9.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.2	0.0	90.8	6.3	28.8	21.3	29.7	0.0	4.6
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	--	--	1558.7	93.5	76.9	38.9	38.0	11.7	5.0	6.5	2.1	1.2	0.2	1.8	0.1	1.1
*ANADROMOUS & GREAT LAKES FISH. CONS., NMFS	11.405	1	1.0	62.0	28.3	28.3	0.0	33.6	0.0	38.0	38.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*ANADROMOUS & GREAT LAKES FISH. CONS., NMFS	11.405	2	1.1	89.1	81.4	33.8	47.6	4.3	3.4	10.9	9.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0
*ANADROMOUS & GREAT LAKES FISH. CONS., NMFS	11.405	8	0.8	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	95.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	95.0	0.0	0.0
BUSINESS ASST., SERVICES, AND INFO., ITA	11.104	8	13.7	99.6	93.0	93.0	0.0	5.9	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CENSUS SPECIAL TABULATIONS AND SERVICES	11.005	7	0.9	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CENSUS SPECIAL TABULATIONS AND SERVICES	11.005	8	3.7	75.8	62.9	10.1	52.8	12.4	0.5	24.2	0.3	22.7	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.2
*COMMERCIAL FISHERIES RESEARCH & DEV., NMFS	11.407	2	0.2	100.0	100.0	10.3	89.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*COMMERCIAL FISHERIES RESEARCH & DEV., NMFS	11.407	8	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, CENSUS	--	8	50.5	94.2	74.3	17.9	56.4	18.1	1.8	4.8	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.4	0.2	0.4
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NOAA	--	2	1.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NOAA	--	8	177.0	93.8	73.5	28.8	44.8	12.6	7.7	6.2	1.3	1.5	0.1	2.2	0.1	1.1
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, NTIS	--	8	0.3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*CONSTRUCTION, NOAA	--	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CURRENT STATISTICAL PROGRAMS, CENSUS	--	7	2.6	99.6	99.6	24.5	75.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CURRENT STATISTICAL PROGRAMS, CENSUS	--	8	37.5	97.3	76.0	10.2	65.8	20.5	0.7	2.7	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.1
EXPORT LICENSING SERVICES AND INFO., ITA	11.100	8	0.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
EXPORT TRADE PROMOTION, ITA	11.101	8	17.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*FIRE RESEARCH AND SAFETY, NBS	--	8	0.3	100.0	50.4	49.6	0.8	39.1	10.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*FISHERY PRODUCTS INSPECTION & CERTIF, NMFS	11.413	8	1.8	94.8	94.8	94.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.2	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*GEODETIC CONTROL SURVEYS, NOAA	11.400	8	12.5	96.1	94.9	1.0	93.9	0.0	1.2	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	3.4	0.0
IMPORT DUTY-FREE ED & SCIENTIFIC MAT, ITA	11.105	8	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*INFORMATION PRODUCTS AND SERVICES	--	8	13.4	100.0	99.1	1.6	97.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL INFORMATION, ITA	11.103	8	7.8	99.4	87.7	87.7	0.0	10.5	1.2	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS, ITA	--	8	3.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFO ADMIN	--	2	18.0	79.8	22.1	19.6	2.5	36.3	21.5	20.2	4.0	5.4	1.1	5.9	1.5	2.3
*NAUTICAL CHARTS AND RELATED DATA, NOAA	11.401	8	12.4	100.0	75.4	17.4	58.0	22.7	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION, ITA	--	8	25.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES, NOAA	--	1	1.4	100.0	99.7	3.0	99.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES, NOAA	--	8	444.1	88.9	55.1	27.9	37.2	15.4	8.3	11.1	2.9	1.5	0.3	3.7	0.1	2.6
PATENT & TRADEMARK TECH INFO DISSEMINATION	11.900	7	46.4	98.9	97.2	4.9	92.3	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PATENT & TRADEMARK TECH INFO DISSEMINATION	11.900	8	151.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PERIODIC CENSUSES	--	7	23.8	99.8	6.2	0.7	5.5	92.7	0.8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
PERIODIC CENSUSES	--	8	68.9	97.1	92.9	31.1	61.8	3.2	0.9	2.9	0.4	1.1	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.2
*PROMOTE AND DEVELOP FISHERY PRODUCTS, NOAA	--	8	9.2	94.9	95.6	44.5	1.0	5.5	3.8	5.1	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0
PROMOTION OF TRAVEL FROM ABROAD, USTS	11.951	2	0.6	77.7	33.6	30.1	3.5	35.2	8.8	22.3	8.5	6.6	1.9	5.3	0.0	0.0
PROMOTION OF TRAVEL FROM ABROAD, USTS	11.951	7	0.6	99.6	83.6	81.6	2.0	16.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PROMOTION OF TRAVEL FROM ABROAD, USTS	11.951	8	3.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S & E, BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	--	7	0.3	100.0	100.0	41.0	59.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S & E, BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	--	8	17.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S & E, GENERAL ADMINISTRATION, COMMERCE	--	8	60.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*SCIENTIFIC & TECHNICAL RESEARCH & SERVICES	--	8	0.7	100.0	100.0	29.1	70.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*SEA GRANT SUPPORT, NOAA	11.417	2	30.4	88.0	40.0	23.7	16.3	33.0	15.1	12.0	9.3	2.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
*SEA GRANT SUPPORT, NOAA	11.417	8	2.8	49.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.1	50.9	50.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SEE 100 NOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES			NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES								
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	LESS	TOTAL	URBANIZED	RURAL			
														TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE
					TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA	NON- ADJA
				-MIL DOLS-	-PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-											
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2
*SPECIAL STUDIES, SERVICES & PROJECTS, NOAA	--	8		6.1	100.0	97.4	44.2	53.2	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SPECIAL STUDIES, SERVICES, & PROJECTS, BEA	--	8		0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIALS, NBS	--	8	11.604	0.1	100.0	86.6	0.0	86.6	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*WEATHER & RIVER FORECASTS & WARNINGS, NWS	--	8		96.0	89.4	89.9	25.1	24.8	25.3	14.2	10.6	1.0	4.6	0.4	2.7	0.4
*WORKING CAPITAL FUND, NBS	--	8		172.5	93.9	92.2	15.0	77.2	1.3	0.4	6.1	5.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, O/S, COMMERCE	--	8		17.1	100.0	99.4	89.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--		0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	--	--		0.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*OTHER COSTS AND CREDITS	--	7		0.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE	--	--		39.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS, HEW	--	8		39.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR	--	--		925.2	81.5	64.6	33.5	31.1	10.5	6.3	18.5	2.9	4.3	1.9	6.0	2.7
ADMINISTRATION OF TERRITORIES	--	8		1.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, BUREAU OF MINES	--	7		2.0	100.0	57.7	48.8	8.9	31.5	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, BUREAU OF MINES	--	8		4.8	95.4	78.5	40.7	37.8	12.8	4.1	4.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, O/S, INTERIOR	--	8		26.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONTRIBUTED FUNDS, BUREAU OF MINES	--	7		0.1	100.0	11.6	11.6	0.0	0.0	88.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONTRIBUTED FUNDS, BUREAU OF MINES	--	8		0.4	63.2	6.8	4.2	2.6	31.0	25.4	36.8	32.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DRAINAGE OF ANTHRACITE MINES	--	7		1.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*GEOL. MIN & WTR RES INVEST & TOPO MAP, GS	--	8		416.2	86.8	69.5	7.5	61.9	11.3	6.0	17.2	2.7	5.4	0.5	4.3	0.1
MELIUM FUND, BUREAU OF MINES	--	7		4.7	93.1	55.2	55.2	0.0	36.2	1.7	6.9	0.0	0.1	6.7	0.0	0.0
MELIUM FUND, BUREAU OF MINES	--	8		8.1	69.7	22.0	20.9	1.1	5.4	42.3	30.3	0.0	0.2	13.2	0.1	16.4
MINERAL RESEARCH & RESOURCE INFO & T/A, BM	--	2		0.0	48.3	26.9	26.9	0.0	11.5	9.9	51.7	34.0	0.0	0.2	17.5	0.0
MINES AND MINERALS	--	7		62.2	91.2	59.2	39.8	19.5	29.8	2.2	8.8	3.5	2.6	0.9	1.6	0.0
MINES AND MINERALS	--	8		68.8	88.2	67.8	51.5	16.4	13.6	6.8	11.8	6.8	0.2	0.1	4.7	0.0
MISC. PERMANENT APPROPRIATIONS, LBR	--	2		0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	10.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISC. PERMANENT APPROPRIATIONS, LBR	--	7		0.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE FUND	--	1		3.0	20.0	7.6	0.8	6.8	5.2	7.1	80.0	7.9	6.5	18.7	20.7	7.1
NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE FUND	--	8		1.1	39.4	19.3	10.4	8.9	3.6	16.5	60.6	5.5	5.9	16.2	23.0	5.8
OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER FOR GUAM	--	8		141.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES, BLM	--	1		102.4	17.7	5.1	3.8	1.3	8.6	4.3	82.3	6.7	13.5	9.2	28.6	5.2
S & E, (SFCP), INTERIOR	--	8		0.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S & E, OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, INTERIOR	--	8		33.9	92.9	80.0	75.1	5.0	6.8	6.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
S & E, OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR, INTERIOR	--	8		15.0	95.7	78.2	77.6	8.6	12.0	5.5	4.3	1.5	0.4	0.7	1.4	0.0
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, O/S, INTERIOR	--	7		27.5	68.6	3.8	2.1	0.9	14.4	51.3	31.4	3.7	5.4	3.0	7.3	2.1
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, O/S, INTERIOR	--	8		2.6	95.7	35.8	3.0	32.0	0.4	60.3	4.3	0.0	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.0
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--		0.0	90.6	35.7	35.7	8.0	5.4	49.4	9.4	5.6	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	--	--		1339.6	89.0	63.2	57.4	5.8	17.5	8.2	11.0	2.6	3.4	1.7	1.6	0.9
*ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT GRANTS, OMF	--	2	16-780	40.7	94.7	73.7	72.1	1.6	17.5	3.5	5.3	2.6	1.4	0.3	1.0	0.0
*BUILDINGS & FACILITIES, BUREAU OF PRISONS	--	8		13.2	67.4	23.4	19.6	3.8	28.6	15.8	32.2	7.3	2.0	6.3	0.9	4.1
COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING GRANTS, LEAA	--	1	16-580	51.8	87.9	28.6	26.9	1.8	47.1	12.2	12.1	8.2	2.7	0.0	1.2	0.0
*CORRECTIONS-POLICY FORMULATION, BOP	--	2	16-684	1.0	100.0	49.7	44.0	5.7	24.1	26.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*CORRECTIONS-POLICY FORMULATION, BOP	--	8	16-684	0.2	100.0	100.0	91.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*CORRECTIONS-RESEARCH AND EVALUATION, BOP	--	2	16-682	0.4	78.6	33.5	26.0	7.5	26.9	18.2	21.4	8.3	1.1	10.8	1.2	0.0
*CORRECTIONS-TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, BOP	--	2	16-683	2.1	95.0	64.1	35.2	28.9	25.4	5.4	5.0	4.4	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
*CORRECTIONS-TRNG. & STAFF DEVELOPMENT, BOP	--	2	16-681	5.0	93.7	64.1	35.2	28.9	23.8	5.7	6.3	4.3	1.6	0.3	0.0	0.0
CRIME PREVENT-MOBIL PUB & NONPUB RES, LEAA	--	2	16-521	4.0	100.0	53.3	42.2	11.1	36.2	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, LEAA	--	2	16-515	2.4	100.0	49.2	32.1	17.1	32.3	18.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CRIMINAL JUSTICE-STATISTICS DEV., LEAA	--	2	16-509	4.5	98.6	74.5	74.0	0.4	19.7	2.4	3.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM			CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES				NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES						TOTAL							
							GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED	UNURBANIZED	LESS	TOTAL	RURAL									
																ADJA		ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA	ADJA
-MIL DOLS-																								
-----PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL-----																								
POPULATION, 1978							72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2					
CRIMINAL JUSTICE-STATISTICS DEV., LEAA	16-509	7	1.5	30.8	30.8	16.8	14.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	0.0					
DISCRETIONARY GRANTS, LEAA	16-501	2	73.1	89.7	43.0	37.2	5.8	33.5	13.2	10.3	5.2	1.3	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9					
EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT, LEAA	16-511	2	0.6	100.0	13.8	13.8	0.0	35.4	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
FEDERAL PRISON INDUSTRIES, INCORPORATED	--	8	2.5	82.4	14.9	14.9	0.0	30.9	36.6	17.6	0.0	0.0	2.0	8.0	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
FEES AND EXPENSES OF WITNESSES	--	8	23.5	98.5	63.0	58.6	4.4	28.0	7.5	1.5	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
INTERNSHIPS, LEAA	16-512	2	0.3	89.8	9.1	9.1	0.0	52.7	28.8	10.2	10.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
JUDP NATIONAL INSTITUTE, LEAA	16-518	2	11.1	97.4	77.2	68.1	9.1	6.5	13.8	2.6	2.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
JUDP-SPECIAL EMPHASIS PREV. & TREAT., LEAA	16-517	2	16.3	93.2	81.1	67.2	13.9	9.0	3.1	6.8	1.3	0.0	1.8	0.4	1.8	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0					
JUDP-SPECIAL EMPHASIS PREV. & TREAT., LEAA	16-517	3	0.5	100.0	91.8	91.8	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
JUDP-SPECIAL EMPHASIS PREV. & TREAT., LEAA	16-517	7	3.8	100.0	100.0	94.8	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
LEAP-STUDENT FINANCIAL AID, LEAA	16-504	4	17.1	86.3	56.9	47.3	4.6	21.8	7.5	13.7	6.8	1.5	3.1	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1					
MANAGEMENT OPERATIONS, LEAA	--	8	22.3	99.9	99.7	96.3	3.4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF CORRECTIONS	--	8	1.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY ANTI-CRIME PROGS, LEAA	16-519	2	8.2	93.9	75.3	74.6	0.9	15.8	2.5	6.1	4.3	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
ORGANIZED CRIME PROSECUTORIAL TRNG., LEAA	16-514	2	0.2	34.9	34.9	34.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.1	65.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
PRIVACY & SECURITY-CRIMINAL JUST SYS, LEAA	16-522	3	0.6	100.0	39.2	39.2	0.0	40.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER DEATH BENEFITS, LEAA	16-520	2	0.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER DEATH BENEFITS, LEAA	16-520	4	12.9	100.0	100.0	76.8	23.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
RESEARCH & DEV-GRADUATE FELLOWSHIPS, LEAA	16-505	2	8.2	82.5	34.6	49.6	5.0	11.0	17.0	17.5	12.5	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
RESEARCH & DEV-PROJECT GRANTS, LEAA	16-507	2	18.6	95.8	74.3	62.1	12.3	12.8	8.6	4.2	2.9	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
RESEARCH & DEV-PROJECT GRANTS, LEAA	16-507	7	5.8	100.0	100.0	44.5	55.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
RESEARCH & DEV-VISITING FELLOWSHIPS, LEAA	16-508	2	0.2	100.0	46.2	46.2	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, BUREAU OF PRISONS	--	8	264.1	77.4	37.6	24.4	13.4	22.4	17.2	22.6	5.8	4.6	6.2	0.6	3.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE	--	8	3.8	100.0	100.0	85.8	14.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION	--	8	161.0	97.9	86.7	85.3	1.4	8.3	2.9	2.1	0.4	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, GENERAL ADMINISTRATION, JUSTICE	--	8	26.6	100.0	100.0	95.2	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, GENERAL LEGAL ACTIVITIES	--	8	77.2	100.0	100.0	99.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SVC.	--	8	248.9	78.5	59.3	58.4	8.9	13.2	6.8	21.5	1.8	10.6	1.4	5.7	0.3	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS & MARSHALS	--	8	156.6	98.2	56.0	61.5	4.5	24.2	8.1	1.8	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
STATISTICS ON CRIME & CRIMINAL JUST, LEAA	16-510	2	1.0	100.0	55.9	0.0	55.9	0.0	44.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
STATISTICS ON CRIME & CRIMINAL JUST, LEAA	16-510	3	4.9	100.0	74.5	72.8	1.6	25.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
SUPPORT OF UNITED STATES PRISONERS	--	8	16.8	99.2	53.2	46.9	6.2	39.0	7.1	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, LEAA	16-503	2	6.5	98.9	63.8	44.9	19.4	6.9	28.2	9.1	8.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, LEAA	16-503	7	3.6	100.0	95.6	71.7	24.0	0.1	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, LEAA	16-503	8	8.1	100.0	99.6	99.5	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
TRAINING, LEAA	16-513	2	0.3	100.0	5.2	5.2	0.0	0.0	94.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
TRAINING, LEAA	16-513	7	2.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, JUSTICE	--	8	19.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	--	--	348.5	99.5	89.8	86.4	3.3	8.8	0.9	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUND, BLS	--	8	7.7	100.0	100.0	93.8	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
MISC. CONSOLIDATED WORKING FUNDS, LABOR	--	8	5.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS	--	8	51.3	100.0	100.0	96.5	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT, LABOR	--	8	71.7	100.0	100.0	99.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS ADMINISTRATION	--	8	138.3	98.7	74.7	69.1	5.6	21.8	2.3	1.3	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
S & E, LABOR-MANAGEMENT SERVICES ADMIN.	--	8	42.8	100.0	98.3	95.3	3.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, LABOR	--	8	31.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	--	--	26.3	99.1	58.3	64.6	3.7	11.6	19.3	0.9	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
ABATEMENT AND CONTROL, EPA	--	2	9.5	99.3	66.6	62.3	4.3	30.1	2.6	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
POLLUTION FUND, CG	--	7	16.8	99.0	69.2	65.9	3.3	1.1	28.7	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES					NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES								
					TOTAL	GREATER		MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED		LESS		TOTALLY RURAL			
						TOTAL	CORE				FRINGE	TOTAL	URBANIZED	CENT		URBANIZED	CENT	CENT
				PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL														
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.0	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.8	1.2	2.2		
DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY	--	--	4220.0	96.1	75.2	68.5	6.7	16.0	5.0	3.9	0.8	1.9	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.1		
*ACCOUNTS, COLLECTION AND TAXPAYER SERVICE	--	8	737.9	98.0	54.2	43.9	20.4	25.7	8.1	2.0	0.2	0.4	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0		
ADMINISTERING THE PUBLIC DEBT	--	8	11.1	99.8	77.4	76.1	1.4	19.6	2.7	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
ALLOWANCES AND STAFF FOR FORMER PRESIDENTS	--	4	0.2	89.6	44.8	44.8	0.0	44.8	0.0	10.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0		
BUREAU OF ENGRAVING AND PRINTING FUND	--	8	125.0	100.0	90.1	90.1	0.0	9.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
CLAIMS, JUDGMENTS, RELIEF (OTHER GEN GOV)	--	4	157.6	96.9	72.6	59.4	13.1	20.2	4.1	3.1	1.3	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.2		
COINAGE PROFIT FUND	--	8	1.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
COMPENSATION OF THE PRESIDENT	--	8	0.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*COMPLIANCE, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	--	8	767.8	93.9	62.9	56.3	6.6	23.5	7.5	6.1	1.8	3.0	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.0		
CONSTRUCTION, FED LAW ENFORCEMENT TRNG CTR	--	2	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS	--	8	22.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*INVESTIGATIONS AND COLLECTIONS, IRS	--	8	472.6	94.2	63.1	56.9	6.2	23.7	7.5	5.8	1.8	2.7	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.0		
NEW YORK CITY SEASONAL FINAN FUND, ADM EXP	--	8	0.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*REFUNDING INTERNAL REVENUE COLLECTIONS-INT	--	8	358.8	99.0	75.9	74.5	1.4	20.3	2.8	1.0	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
REFUNDS, TRANSFERS, AND EXPENSES, CUSTOMS	--	8	4.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*S & E, BUREAU ALCOHOL, TOBACCO & FIREARMS	--	8	102.0	95.8	74.8	66.5	7.5	17.1	4.6	4.2	1.4	1.4	0.8	0.7	0.0	0.0		
S & E, BUREAU OF GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL OP.	--	4	603.0	100.0	99.4	99.4	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
S & E, BUREAU OF THE MINT	--	8	76.0	99.2	99.2	99.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
S & E, FED LAW ENFORCEMENT TRNG CTR	--	8	15.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.6	0.0	99.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*S & E, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	--	8	139.0	100.0	93.8	91.1	2.7	5.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
S & E, OFFICE OF REVENUE SHARING	--	8	6.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
S & E, OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, TREASURY	--	8	30.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
S & E, U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE	--	8	449.1	90.3	77.0	75.0	2.0	8.7	4.6	9.7	1.0	4.0	1.4	2.5	0.0	0.0		
*S & E, U.S. SECRET SERVICE	--	8	135.5	99.3	85.9	82.3	3.7	11.8	1.5	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS	--	--	8.9	100.0	96.2	90.5	5.7	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
S & E, COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS	--	8	8.9	100.0	96.2	90.5	5.7	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	--	--	1088.1	92.5	55.9	50.2	4.9	18.2	19.2	7.5	4.5	2.0	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.1		
ABATEMENT AND CONTROL, EPA	--	2	27.4	77.0	29.1	24.6	4.5	36.2	11.6	23.0	18.4	2.3	0.8	2.3	0.0	0.0		
*ABATEMENT AND CONTROL, EPA	--	7	79.1	100.0	67.8	67.4	0.4	0.0	32.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
ABATEMENT AND CONTROL, EPA	--	8	69.9	99.8	76.9	70.7	6.2	1.3	21.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
AGENCY AND REGIONAL MANAGEMENT, EPA	--	2	0.5	82.5	67.2	56.0	11.3	14.7	0.6	17.5	17.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*AGENCY AND REGIONAL MANAGEMENT, EPA	--	7	28.3	100.0	90.5	89.0	1.5	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
AGENCY AND REGIONAL MANAGEMENT, EPA	--	8	71.1	99.9	92.6	85.8	6.9	0.3	7.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*AIR POL CON-NA AND AIR & SOURCE EM DA, ANR	--	8	2.2	100.0	100.0	65.0	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM GRANTS, ANR	--	2	83.7	89.2	32.6	31.2	1.4	46.9	9.7	18.8	7.7	2.4	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0		
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL RESEARCH GRANTS, RD	--	2	6.9	82.2	46.3	38.6	7.7	12.9	23.1	17.8	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0		
*AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECH INFO SVCS, ANR	--	7	0.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECH INFO SVCS, ANR	--	8	1.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECHNICAL ASST., ANR	--	7	3.8	100.0	4.7	4.7	0.0	0.0	95.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECHNICAL ASST., ANR	--	8	0.6	100.0	98.4	54.7	44.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECHNICAL TRNG., ANR	--	7	0.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL-TECHNICAL TRNG., ANR	--	8	0.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
*AIR POLLUTION HANPOWER TRNG. GRANTS, ANR	--	2	1.6	45.2	27.1	27.1	0.0	14.0	4.1	54.8	54.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
ARFAWIDE WASTE TREAT MGT PLAN GRANTS, UWM	--	2	94.9	84.2	26.1	20.0	6.1	40.8	17.3	15.8	9.3	3.5	0.5	2.3	0.0	0.0		
*BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES, EPA	--	7	0.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES, EPA	--	8	0.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
CONSOLIDATED GRANTS-PROGRAM SUPPORT, OPM	--	2	11.0	64.9	22.4	0.0	22.4	0.0	42.5	35.1	35.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
CONSOLIDATED RESEARCH GRANTS, RD	--	2	19.8	82.1	32.5	30.0	2.5	23.7	25.9	17.9	5.7	5.9	0.3	5.3	0.0	0.0		
*CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT ASST. GRANTS, UWM	--	1	64.0	88.7	19.0	19.0	0.0	61.2	8.5	11.3	4.9	6.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0		
DRINKING WATER SUPPLY-TECHNICAL ASST., UWM	--	7	0.1	100.0	100.0	53.5	46.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE

--CONTINUED

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER 1/	TYPE CODE 2/	UNITED STATES	TOTAL	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES				NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES								TOTALLY RURAL
					GREATER	MEDIUM	LESSER	TOTAL	URBANIZED		LESS		TOTAL				
									ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT		ADJA- CENT	ADJA- CENT		
				-MIL DOLS-				PERCENTAGE OF U.S. TOTAL									
POPULATION, 1978	--	--	3/	72.6	40.0	26.9	13.1	23.1	8.9	28.0	7.1	3.9	6.7	6.6	1.2	2.2	
*DRINKING WATER SUPPLY-TECHNICAL ASST., UWM	:66.425	8	4.5	99.3	99.3	78.9	20.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*ENFORCEMENT, EPA	--	2	0.1	62.4	62.4	52.8	9.6	0.0	0.0	37.6	37.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*ENFORCEMENT, EPA	--	7	21.4	100.0	100.0	98.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*ENFORCEMENT, EPA	--	8	46.1	99.8	99.8	79.2	20.7	3.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*NOISE POLLUTION CONTROL-TECH. ASST., ANR	:66.030	7	4.7	100.0	100.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*NOISE POLLUTION CONTROL-TECH. ASST., ANR	:66.030	8	2.9	100.0	100.0	95.4	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES, EPA	--	2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES, EPA	--	8	0.6	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*PESTICIDES ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM GRANTS, OE	:66.700	2	8.7	89.6	7.8	7.8	0.0	61.7	20.0	10.4	8.6	1.8	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
*PESTICIDES CONTROL RESEARCH GRANTS, RD	:66.502	2	5.9	94.1	13.6	12.4	1.3	8.5	72.0	5.9	3.1	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY-SUPPLY STATE PRG GY, UWM	:66.432	2	24.3	84.3	18.2	18.2	0.0	48.0	18.1	15.7	11.9	2.7	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*QUIET COMMUNITIES-ST/LOC CAP BLDG AST, ANR	:66.031	2	1.3	89.1	65.1	52.2	12.9	13.9	10.1	10.9	8.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, EPA	--	2	1.5	76.9	53.3	48.2	5.1	3.0	20.6	23.1	21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	
*RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, EPA	--	7	116.8	96.1	37.6	37.6	0.0	4.5	54.0	3.9	1.9	1.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, EPA	--	8	75.8	87.4	35.7	35.7	0.0	16.6	35.1	12.6	5.8	4.1	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*REVOLVING FUND-CERTIF. & OTHER SVCS., EPA	--	8	0.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SAFE DRINKING WATER RES & DEMO GRANTS, RD	:66.506	2	8.9	92.2	67.5	59.7	7.8	16.2	8.6	7.8	5.7	1.2	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	
*SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES OVERSEAS (ISFCP), EPA	--	7	2.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SOLID & HAZ WASTE MGMT SUPPORT GRANTS, UWM	:66.451	2	26.0	90.5	32.7	31.8	0.9	43.1	14.7	9.5	5.2	2.5	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	
*SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL RESEARCH GRANTS, RD	:66.504	2	1.4	85.8	45.6	43.6	2.0	27.1	13.1	14.2	14.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DEMO. GRANTS, UWM	:66.452	2	3.8	100.0	1.9	1.0	0.0	99.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT TRAINING GRANTS, UWM	:66.453	2	0.9	100.0	94.3	85.1	9.2	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SOLID WASTE TECH. ASST. & INFO. SVCS., UWM	:66.450	7	7.8	100.0	98.5	98.4	6.1	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*SOLID WASTE TECH. ASST. & INFO. SVCS., UWM	:66.450	8	4.4	100.0	95.6	93.3	3.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*TOXIC SUBSTANCE RESEARCH GRANTS, RD	:66.507	2	2.2	85.2	56.2	48.6	7.6	21.8	7.3	14.8	11.4	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	
*UNDERGROUND WATER SOURCE PROT ST PRG GTS, UWM	:66.433	2	4.8	88.0	12.6	11.7	0.9	61.7	13.6	12.3	7.8	0.0	0.0	4.3	8.0	0.0	
*WAY QUAL COM INF SYS-ORIENT & TRNG SEM, UWM	:66.423	7	48.0	100.0	99.9	91.3	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*WAY QUAL COM INF SYS-ORIENT & TRNG SEM, UWM	:66.423	8	51.3	99.1	98.6	74.9	23.7	0.3	8.2	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*WATER POLL CON-LAKE RESTOR DEMO GRTS, UWM	:66.435	2	9.1	56.4	15.8	14.5	1.3	38.6	2.6	43.6	2.8	35.1	3.7	2.1	0.0	0.0	
*WATER POLL CON-STATE & LOCAL MGMT DEV, UWM	:66.420	2	2.2	71.8	41.4	35.6	5.8	20.9	5.5	28.2	23.0	0.5	0.8	4.8	8.8	0.0	
*WATER POLLUTION CON RES. DEV. DEMO GTS, RD	:66.505	2	25.8	86.6	61.3	57.1	4.2	15.2	10.2	13.4	9.4	1.5	1.0	1.2	0.0	0.3	
*WATER POLLUTION CONTROL-DIRECT TRNG., UWM	:66.417	8	0.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*WATER POLLUTION CONTROL-PRO TRNG GRTS, UWM	:66.428	2	2.4	64.5	59.4	58.7	0.7	4.5	8.6	35.5	18.2	0.0	0.0	17.3	0.0	0.0	
*OTHER PROGRAMS	--	--	8.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION	--	--	88.4	98.6	85.2	84.6	0.6	12.4	1.0	1.4	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
*S & E, EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMM.	:30.001	8	73.9	99.9	89.0	89.0	0.0	10.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
*STATE AND LOCAL ANTI-DISCRIMINATION GRANTS	:30.002	2	14.6	92.2	66.1	62.5	3.6	20.1	6.0	7.8	5.9	1.5	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	--	--	3345.1	96.1	83.7	52.8	31.0	10.0	2.4	3.9	0.9	1.2	0.6	1.1	0.0	0.1	
ALLOWANCES AND STAFF FOR FORMER PRESIDENTS	--	8	0.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING FUND	--	8	72.8	100.0	86.2	79.4	6.8	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
BUILDINGS & FACILITIES, BUREAU OF PRISONS	--	7	7.4	51.9	48.3	48.3	0.0	3.6	0.0	48.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSTRUCTION, FED LAW ENFORCEMENT TRNG CTR	--	7	3.2	99.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.6	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSTRUCTION, NOAA	--	7	8.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CONSUMER INFORMATION CENTER	--	8	1.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CWF, REAL PROPERTY ACTIVITIES	--	8	4.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DISPOSAL OF FEDERAL SURPLUS REAL PROPERTY	:39.002	9	10.2	82.1	57.9	51.6	6.4	7.4	16.4	17.9	4.6	0.0	0.0	13.3	3.0	0.0	
DONATION OF FEDERAL SURPLUS PERSONAL PROP.	:39.003	9	6.8	83.2	32.9	11.6	21.2	41.7	8.7	16.8	4.6	8.7	8.8	1.4	0.0	1.5	
EXPENSES, DISP SURPL REAL & REL PERS PROP	--	8	0.4	100.0	91.9	91.9	0.0	8.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FEDERAL BUILDINGS FUND	--	7	1447.0	96.4	84.4	72.4	12.0	9.4	2.6	3.6	0.9	1.0	0.4	1.1	0.0	0.2	
FEDERAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS FUND	--	7	389.3	97.9	82.5	61.2	21.4	11.3	4.1	2.1	0.5	1.2	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	
GENERAL SUPPLY FUND, PERSONAL PROPERTY ACT	--	7	1067.0	94.6	83.9	17.1	66.8	9.1	1.6	5.4	0.8	1.7	1.1	1.6	0.0	0.1	
INTERNATIONAL CENTER, WASHINGTON, D.C.	--	7	0.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MINES AND MINERALS	--	7	0.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE 13: DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL FUNDS, FISCAL 1979--CONTINUED

BROAD FUNCTION, FUNCTION, AGENCY, AND PROGRAM	CFDA NUMBER	TYPE CODE	UNITED STATES	METROPOLITAN COUNTIES								NONMETROPOLITAN COUNTIES							
				TOTAL	GREATER		MEDIUM		LESSER		TOTAL	URBANIZED		URBANIZED		TOTAL	LESS		TOTALLY
					CORE	FRINGE	CORE	FRINGE	CORE	FRINGE		CORE	FRINGE	CORE	FRINGE				
																	1/	2/	
				TOTAL	CORE	FRINGE					TOTAL	URBANIZED	CORE	FRINGE	URBANIZED	CORE	FRINGE	TOTAL	
																	</		

-- = NONE OR NOT APPLICABLE.

* = ESTIMATED DISTRIBUTION.

1/ INDICATES THE PROGRAM IDENTIFICATION NUMBER, IF ANY, AS GIVEN IN THE U.S. OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE.

27. PROGRAM TYPES ARE INDICATED BY THE FOLLOWING CODES: (1) FORMULA GRANTS; (2) PROJECT GRANTS; (3) OTHER GRANTS; (4) DIRECT PAYMENTS; (5) DIRECT LOANS; (6) INSURANCE; (7) CONTRACTUAL PROCUREMENTS; (8) SALARIES AND EXPENSES; (9) DONATION OF PROPERTY; (10) GUARANTEED AND INSURED LOANS.

218.1 MILLION.

SOURCE: COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations. Regional Growth: Flows of Federal Funds, 1952-76. A-75. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, June 1980.
- (2) Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations. Regional Growth: Historic Perspective. A-74. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, June 1980.
- (3) Anton, Thomas J., Jerry P. Cawley, and Kevin L. Kramer. Moving Money: An Empirical Analysis of Federal Expenditure Patterns. Cambridge, Mass.: Oelgeschlager, Gunn & Hain, Publishers, Inc., 1980.
- (4) Davis, Thomas F. Persistent Low-Income Counties in Nonmetro America. RDRR-12. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., May 1979.
- (5) Hendler, Charles I., and J. Norman Reid. Federal Outlays in Fiscal 1978: A Comparison of Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Areas. RDRR-25. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Sept. 1980.
- (6) Hines, Fred K., David L. Brown, and John M. Zimmer. Social and Economic Characteristics of the Population in Metro and Nonmetro Counties, 1970. AER-272. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ., Res. Serv., Mar. 1975.
- (7) Reid, J. Norman. "Understanding Federal Programs: The Need for a Coordinated Data System," State and Local Government Review, 11 (May 1979): 42-47.
- (8) Reid, J. Norman, and William F. Fox. "Interregional Benefits from Federal Spending: A New Look at an Old Issue," Policy Studies Journal, 9 (Autumn, 1980): 95-102.
- (9) Reid, J. Norman, W. Maureen Godsey, and Fred K. Hines. Federal Outlays in Fiscal 1976: A Comparison of Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Areas. RDRR-1. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Aug. 1978.
- (10) U.S. Congressional Budget Office. Troubled Local Economies and the Distribution of Federal Dollars. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Govt. Print. Off., Aug. 1977.

RELATED REPORTS

The Economic Research Service and its predecessor agencies have published several other reports relating to State and local governments:

Fox, William F. Relationships Between Size of Schools and School Districts and the Cost of Education. TB-1621. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Apr. 1980.

_____. Size Economies in Local Government Services: A Review. RDRR-22. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Aug. 1980.

_____, Jerome M. Stam, W. Maureen Godsey, and Susan D. Brown. Economies of Size in Local Government: An Annotated Bibliography. RDRR-9. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Apr. 1979.

Hendler, Charles I., and J. Norman Reid. Federal Outlays in Fiscal 1978: A Comparison of Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Areas. RDRR-25. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Sept. 1980.

Honadle, Beth Walter. Capacity-Building (Management Improvement) for Local Governments: An Annotated Bibliography. RDRR-28. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Serv., Mar. 1981.

Lubov, Andrea. Issuing Municipal Bonds: A Primer for Local Officials. AIB-429. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., July 1979.

Reid, J. Norman, W. Maureen Godsey, and Fred K. Hines. Federal Outlays in Fiscal 1976: A Comparison of Metropolitan and Non-metropolitan Areas. RDRR-1. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Aug. 1978.

Stam, Jerome M. Coordinating Federal Programs: The Case of Office Management and Budget Circular A-95. RDRR-20. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Mar. 1980.

_____, and J. Norman Reid. Federal Programs Supporting Multicounty Substate Regional Activities: An Overview. RDRR-23. U.S. Dept. Agr., Econ. Stat. Coop. Serv., Aug. 1980.

Copies of these and other ERS publications are available from:

EMS Publications
Room 0054-South
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Washington, D.C. 20250